

Project Performance Report

Overview

| Period of Report (Dates) | 8/26/2022 - 8/25/2023 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Project Title | Climate Change Adaptation through small-scale & protective infrastructure interventions in coastal settlements of Cambodia |
| Project Summary | |
| Database Number | AF00000114 |
| Implementing Entity (IE) | UN-Habitat |
| Type of IE | Multilateral Implementing Entity |
| Country(ies) | Cambodia |
| Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water) | Kep Province and Prey Nob District of Preah Sihanouk Province along the coastal regions of Cambodia |
| Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point | Laxman Perera - UN-Habitat |

| Project Milestones | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--|
| AFB Approval Date | 1/26/2021 | |
| IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date | 3/26/2021 | |
| Start of Project/Programme | 8/26/2021 | |
| Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable) | | |
| | 8/26/2025 | |
| Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable) | 12/25/2025 | |

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

| List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Category of condition | | | |
| Condition or Requirement | | | |
| Current Status | | | |
| Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule | | | |

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each

1. Inception report - submitted on 26 August 2021 2. Project no cost extension request (Ref: 2023/147 issued on 21 June 2023) 3. Request for proposed output scale-up (UN-Habitat Letter issued on 31 July 2023)

List the Website address (URL) of project

https://ncsd.moe.gov.kh/

| Project Contacts | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|------------|
| National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator | Name | Email | Date |
| National Project Manager | Vanna Sok | vanna.sok@un.org | 12/31/2020 |
| Implementing Entity | Laxman Perera | laxman.perera@un.org | 12/31/2020 |
| Government(s) DA | Tin Ponlok | etap@online.com.kh | 7/13/2021 |
| Executing Agency | Mao Hak | maohakccd.se@gmail.com | 7/13/2021 |

Financial Data

| Disbursement of AF grant funds | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$) | \$2,792,566.00 | |
| Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$) | \$2,496,176.00 | |
| Project disbursement rate (%) | 60.6 | |
| Project execution rate (%) | 54.17 | |
| Add any comments on AF Grant Funds | So far, there are a total of USD 2,913,095 have been recerived from AF, in which the amount of USD 2,496,176 transferred to Executing Entity (EE) under the Agreement of Cooperation (AOC). The EE has to follw procurement process which took longer time to select a legal entity to implement the infrastructure outputs. It also consumed lengthy time for the reconfirmation of the sites and approval from local authorities. Therefore, there is only 30% of the fund has been spent till this report period. The project will speed up the activities in November 2023 after the raining season that expects 70% of the fund will be spent in Nov-Dec 2023 | |
| Investment Income (\$) | \$0.00 | |
| Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$) | \$0.00 | |

| Expenditure Data | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Output | Amount (\$) | |
| Output 1.1: Community capacity built to collect and manage solid waste | \$101,395.00 | |
| Output 1.2: Communities in target areas have been trained on resilient house construction techniques | \$82,995.00 | |
| Output 1.3: Communities have been organised to manage, monitor and maintain the infrastructure investments under Component 3 | \$90,769.00 | |
| Output 2.1: Government officers at the provincial and districts/cities trained to plan effectively for sustaining and enhancing the project's adaptation benefits | \$75,684.00 | |
| Output 2.2: Government officers at the provincial and district provided with comprehensive technical training to manage, operate and maintain the infrastructure | \$84,649.00 | |
| Output 2.3: Institutional systems strengthened to monitor adaptation investments and replicate their benefits | \$62,978.00 | |
| Output 2.4: Knowledge from the project implementation is captured and disseminated to local and national stakeholders, focusing on sustainable adaptation actions and policy enhancement | \$17,089.00 | |
| Output 3.1: 134ha of Mangroves restored in Kep City and Angkaol Communes, Kep Province | \$239,000.00 | |

| Output 3.2: (a) Water gates repaired in 3 locations in Pong Teuk and Angkaol; (b) Canals Rehabilitated in Pong Teuk and Angkaol Communes | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Output 3.3: Prevention of salt water ingress through improved channels | \$179,000.00 | |
| Output 3.4: Bank strengthening work at Roness reservoir to provide additional water retention and safety | | |
| Output 3.5: Resilient Housing designs developed and demonstrations constructed (both provinces) | | |
| Output 3.6: Green-grey protective infrastructure in Ou Ohkna Heng Commune, P. Sihanouk Province | | |
| Output 3.7: Drainage and Rainwater Harvesting installed at Veal Rinh Market, P. Sihanouk Province | | |
| ESP Compliance | \$60,000.00 | |
| IE fee (\$) | \$148,894.00 | |
| Execution cost (\$) | \$217,301.00 | |

| Planned Expenditure Schedule | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Output | Projected Cost (\$) | Estimated Completion Date | |
| Output 2.2: Government officers at the provincial and district provided with comprehensive technical training to manage, operate and maintain the infrastructure | | \$35,839.00 | 1/31/2024 |
| Output 2.3: Institutional systems strengthened to moninvestments and replicate their benefits | itor adaptation | \$25,939.00 | 2/29/2024 |
| Output 2.4: Knowledge from the project implementation disseminated to local and national stakeholders, focus adaptation actions and policy enhancement | - | \$22,989.00 | 4/30/2024 |
| Output 3.1: 134ha of Mangroves restored in Kep City Communes, Kep Province | and Angkaol | \$30,000.00 | 1/30/2024 |
| Output 3.2: (a) Water gates repaired in 3 locations in Pong Teuk and Angkaol; (b) Canals Rehabilitated in Pong Teuk and Angkaol Communes | | \$40,000.00 | 4/29/2024 |
| Output 3.3: Prevention of salt water ingress through in | nproved channels | \$17,841.00 | 8/30/2024 |
| Output 3.4: Bank strengthening work at Roness reservoir to provide additional water retention and safety | | \$430,000.00 | 8/30/2024 |
| Output 3.5: Resilient Housing designs developed and demonstrations constructed (both provinces) | | \$50,000.00 | 5/30/2024 |
| Output 3.6: Green-grey protective infrastructure in Ou Ohkna Heng Commune, P. Sihanouk Province | | \$75,000.00 | 9/29/2024 |
| Output 3.7: Drainage and Rainwater Harvesting installed at Veal Rinh Market, P. Sihanouk Province | | \$420,000.00 | 6/29/2024 |
| Output 3.8: Tide gauge with early warning system broadcast capabilities installed. Tide Gauge in Ou Okhna Heng Commune, Prey Nob District. | | \$102,380.00 | 10/30/2024 |
| ESP Compliance | | \$60,000.00 | 11/29/2024 |
| IE fee (\$) | | | \$212,713.00 |
| Execution cost (\$) | | | \$123,050.00 |

| Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| period, do not report on actual co-financing) | |
| Does this Project have Co-Financing? | No |

| How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$) | \$0.00 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$) | \$0.00 |
| Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of inkind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing. | |

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

| Identified Risk | Current Status | Steps taken to mitigate risk |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Environmental/ social: Current climate and seasonal variability and/or hazard events result in infrastructure construction delays or undermine confidence in adaptation measures by local communities. | Low | To avoid any climate-related delay or impact on the quality of construction works, the project focused on procurement, contractual processes to select a construction company, site investigation and inspection, designing of the infrastructure, and stakeholder consultations. The construction company will initiate construction work of infrastructure at the end of or after the rainy season in October. |
| Institutional: Loss of government support (at all levels) for the project (activities and outputs) may result in lack of prioritization of AF project activities. | Low | During the reporting period, the Project Management Committee (PMC) met in May 2023. The PMC reviewed the project implementation progress and project periodical reports, approved annual work plans, and the possibility of the scale-up request. In addition, Local Commune Committees with district officials and community representatives in the target areas supported project implementation. UN-Habitat has signed legal agreements (MoUs and AoCs) with the NCSD to ensure that the executing partner delivers project activities and outputs as planned. |
| Institutional: Capacity constraints of local institutions may limit the effective implementation of interventions. | Low | The project has put capacity building at the forefront of its approach to implementation. In year 2, the project conducted capacity building training for provincial officials and community people based on the needs assessment results. |
| Institutional/social: Lack of commitment/buy-in from local communities may result in delay at intervention sites. | Low | Community engagement has taken place throughout the project development stage and implementation stage including before, during and after each construction. The participatory project implementation approach enhanced commitment and ownership of local communities. The project will also provide them with training to manage, operate, and maintain the infrastructure investments in year 3. |
| Institutional/social: Disagreement amongst stakeholders with regards to adaptation measures (infrastructure) and site selection. | Low | Through a series of consultations with provincial/district/municipal/commune/Sangkat government officials and community representatives, household beneficiaries for the resilient housing (Output 3.5) and site and design of drainage systems (Output 3.7)were selected in year 2. |

| Institutional: Communities may not adopt activities during or after the AF project, including infrastructure maintenance. | Low | As the project supports the local communities in building their climate change adaptive capacity/ resilience to climate hazards and improving the quality of life, the communities have been very active. The project mainstreams the participatory approach (People's Process) and community engagement has taken place throughout the project development stage, especially the selection of suitable infrastructure investments and investment sites. This participatory approach and regular communication during the project development and implementation stages enhanced commitment and ownership of local communities. The project will also provide them with training to manage, operate, and maintain the infrastructure investments in year 3. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Financial: Complexity of financial management and procurement. Certain administrative processes could delay the project execution or could lack integrity. | Low | The overall financial and administrative management of the project are governed by established UN rules and regulations. The activities executed by the executing entity, NCSD within the Ministry of Environment, based on the Standard of Operation, procurement and recruitment framework have been completed by the NCSD as a signed Agreement of Cooperation. |
| Institutional: Delays in project implementation, and particularly in the development of infrastructure interventions. | Low | 'Some of the planned activities are behind schedule due to the delay in the initiation of the procurement process related to the prolonged formation process of PMC in year 1 because their approval is required for the process. The occurrence of flooding in both Kep and Prey Nob also affected the decision to postpone the initiation of some investment construction works under Outputs 3.3, 3.5, and 3.7. However, community mobilisation and most of the planned capacity building training were completed in year 2 without much effect from the procurement challenges as in-person communications and meetings are the main tools for the activities under Outcomes 1 and 2. A request for change was raised to the secretariat. |
| Institutional: A lack of coordination between and within national government Ministries and Departments. | Low | Coordination between MoE and other relevant government agencies has continued and regularly updated the project activities through the PMC including focal persons from line ministries. During the reporting period, the Project Management Committee (PMC) met once in May 2023, and the Local Commune Committees in the target areas supported project implementation. |
| Legal: Delays or barriers in gaining approval for infrastructure and housing due to delays in the development process or due to land tenure issues. | Low | The project selected the infrastructure investment including housing through a series of consultations with provincial/district/municipal/commune/Sangkat government officials and communities. In addition, the sites of the target infrastructures are on the public land and gained approval from relevant stakeholders during the project development stage. The land for the resilient houses belongs to the beneficial household. Therefore, the project did not face any delays or barriers in gaining approval. |

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? Yes

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

| Identified Risk | Current Status | Steps taken to mitigate risk |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Another stakeholder undertakes the envisaged project work by | lH10n | The project team together with the UN-Habitat have been working continuously with the PMC, the Project Team, the local |

using their own budget. The rehabilitation work of Roness Reservoir (Output 3.4) envisaged during project preparation has been undertaken by the Ministry of Water Resources & Meteorology (MoWRAM) using their own funds in 2019.

authorities, and local communities to find an alternative subproject to change the output 3.4. to utilize the budget allocated for rehabilitation of Roness Reservoir under Output 3.4. A series of discussions and consultations with relevant stakeholders have taken place and all relevant stakeholders suggested scaling up the existing activities under the project will provide solutions to a wider group of vulnerable communities and enhance the impact of the investment. UN-Habitat requested the AFB approval to scale-up output 3.5 and output 3.7 by repurposing the allocated budget for output 3.4. Accordingly request for change was raised to the secretariat.

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

N/A

ESP Compliance

| Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--|
| Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? Yes | | |
| 1.Compliance with the law | | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | No | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | | |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | | |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | | |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | | |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | | |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | | |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | | |

| 2.Access and equity | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | Yes |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | 'Activities could lead to preferential access to training (3.5) or denial of services (3.7) for some people if management and/or mitigation measures are not taken. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | open to ensure that people can still move themselves and products in and out. The small road at the side of the market will also be kept open if and when vehicle access is required. In addition, signs and other public information will inform people that the market is open for business during the construction period. Construction work will be undertaken in continued discussion with sellers. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | 'Output 3.5: Register/list of trainees. Training needs assessment/trainee identification exercise completed. Output 3.7: Surveys with market traders before and after construction completed. This will include discussing the construction plans (i.e. specific procedures to ensure continued trading) with the traders for their suggestion and agreement |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Output 3.5: Women are excluded from education and training opportunities and then earn less as a consequence. Output 3.7: Some market traders may lose more income than others effectively because of where they are located in the market. |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | Output 3.5: 'Both male and female semi-skilled and unskilled residents including youth were invited for the training opportunities to learn the resilient housing techniques. Training reports including sex-disaggregated attendance lists for education and training opportunities were developed and maintained by the EE field staff to ensure the beneficiaries' equal participation. Aligned with the Gender Action Plan in the full proposal, women in the communities were encouraged to participate in the project, and their attendance was tracked by using a sex-disaggregated attendance sheet. However, it remains a challenge to engage female participants in some capacity building training due to the local |

| | content. Therefore, the female participant rate was less than 40%, even though the project encouraged women to participate in the training and construction work. Output 3.7: The project team discussed with local authorities and market traders on construction methodology and agreed to construct works in 10m sections to maintain access to the local market during the construction. Output 3.7: Market-related construction may lead to a risk of denial of services. However, it is noted that |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | surveys with market traders before the construction was completed. It was observed that no relocation or closure of the market is required during the construction period and the safety of users in the market and road are ensured using safety measures. Therefore, risks of losing income will not arise. |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | Output 3.7: While conducting a survey and continuous discussion with market traders, the project will implement the construction works in 10m sections to maintain access to the shops and the local market. Vehicle access will be also possible except for these 10m sections under construction. The project will also inform overview of construction to the market traders and customers before the construction, and to inform people that the market still opens for business during the construction period. Walkways will be provided for the shopkeepers and buyers, and ropes will be placed to cordon off the site. |
| 3.Marginalized and vulnerable Groups | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | Yes |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | - There is some risk in terms of access and equity in investments 3.5 and 3.7 This particularly relates to people living below the poverty line. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | Output 3.5: the project will use 'ID Poor' programme data to ensure that the poorest are targeted and are the most likely to benefit. Training materials will be prepared in a visual and easy to use format to aid those who are illiterate or less literate. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Output 3.5: Identification of the poorest, most vulnerable and most in-need people using the ID Poor programme. Also captured through the training needs assessment/trainee identification exercise. This could be captured through the training needs assessment/trainee identification exercise. Output 3.7: Surveys with market traders before and after construction completed. This will include discussing the construction plans (i.e. specific procedures to |

| | ensure continued trading) with the traders for their |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | suggestions and agreement. |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Output 3.5: There is a possibility that people could be excluded from the housing investment activity in Investment 3.5 based on poverty. Output 3.7: The market traders would have a substantial impact on the local economy and the incomes of local, low-income people. |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | Output 3.5: 8 household beneficiaries of the resilient house in Kep Province and Prey Nob District were selected by using the agreed selection criteria based on the IDPoor registration, which indicates households below the national poverty line. The selection criteria were reviewed and agreed upon by local authorities and community representatives. In addition, the project prepared all training materials with inclusive manner including a visual and reader-friendly format/descriptions by considering beneficiaries who are illiterate or less literate. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | Output 3.5: The participation rate of women could be less than the target rate (40%) due to the local culture. Output 3.7: Surveys with market traders before and after construction completed. |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | Output 3.5. Monitoring of beneficiary engagement will be continued to ensure no one who are under poverty line is left behind with the risk of implementing project activities. The project will continuously encourage female participants in capacity building training to be involved in the construction works. Output 3.7: After the construction a survey among the traders will be conducted to see the impact. Since there is no relocation of traders or closure of market stalls, no risk of losing income is expected. Survey report and ESS report for this activity will be available in the next reporting period. |
| 4.Human rights | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | Yes |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | - Human rights risks relate primarily to land rights, and are discussed in involuntary resettlement. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | Please refer to the descriptions of Principle 5: gender equality, Principle 6: core labour rights, and Principle 8: involuntary resettlement. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Output 3.3: Regular (at least quarterly) site visits documented by photographs and, where necessary, |

| | informal discussions. At least 6-monthly meeting between the project (represented by the M&E officer), PDoWRAM and PDLMUPC conducted. Output 3.4: Regular (at least quarterly) site visits documented by photographs and, where necessary, informal discussions conducted. At least 6-monthly meeting between the project (represented by the M&E officer), PDoWRAM and PDLMUPC condcuted. If evidence of encroachment is found during the construction period, additional monitoring measures will be proposed to the PMC. Output 3.7: As Access and Equity plus a survey of foot traffic into the market to ensure that there has not been a | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | decline in the number of people using it (a proxy for ensuring that there has been no loss of income). Human rights issues mainly present themselves in terms of involuntary resettlement, core labour rights and gender equality. | |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | Output 3.3: Detailed inspection of the identified location for embankment improvement was conducted and ensured the intervention would not have any risk of involuntary resettlement and equal accessibility to all. Outputs 3.3 and 3.7: The ToR and contract between EE and the subcontractor included a description of labour rights and health and safety standards during the construction. EE also provided guidance on the 15 principles of the AF ESP and the Gender Policy to a subcontractor in May 2023. | |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | Output 3.3: Improvement work of the embankment may interrupt access of people on the embankment. Output 3.7: A possibility to interrupt people's access to the shops and the local market, and reduce the income of the market traders. | |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | Output 3.3: At least quarterly site visits will be organized to ensure construction works without full blocking of the embankment by construction works or materials/equipment storage. Reports of these site visits and meetings will be prepared by EE and confirmed by UN-Habitat. Output 3.7: A survey of foot traffic into the market will be conducted. The project will implement the construction works in 10m sections to maintain access to the shops and the local market. Walkways will also be provided for the shopkeepers and buyers. | |
| 5.Gender equality and women's empowerment | | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | Yes | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | - As identified in the gender assessment, compared with some other least developed countries, women in | |

| | Cambodia face comparatively little discrimination |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | including many indicators of socio-economic |
| | development, such as literacy, remain lower for |
| | women There is therefore a risk across the project |
| | that women are not included in the project, and as |
| | such their potential to benefit is reduced. Women are |
| | the primary beneficiaries in investment 3.7. |
| | Output 3.5: Target 40% participation of women. All |
| | trainings will ensure that clean, segregated sanitation |
| | facilities are provided. Women will be allowed to |
| List have the sefectioned measures (i.e. evoidence | bring infants and small children under their care to |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact | trainings under investment 3.5 if they don't have any |
| that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented | other options (assuming that one potential barrier for |
| during the reporting period. Please break down the | women is the unavailability of child care). Training |
| safeguard measures by activity. | facilitators will encourage all group members to |
| sareguard measures by activity. | speak up and be active participants during training (to |
| | avoid a dynamic where a few more assertive men |
| | dominate the group). Output 3.7: Ensure a continued |
| | access to the market. |
| | Output 3.5: Register/list of trainees. Training needs |
| | assessment/trainee identification exercise completed. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact | Output 3.7: Surveys with market traders before and |
| identified. | after construction completed. This will include |
| identified. | discussing the construction plans (i.e. specific |
| | procedures to ensure continued trading) with the |
| | traders for their suggestion and agreement. |
| | Output 3.5: Without proactive measures to include |
| | and ensure women's participation, services will tend |
| | to be oriented towards men and benefit them |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring | disproportionately (if we assume agricultural |
| indicator | extension services are comparable with architectural |
| | training). Output 3.7: There is a potential impact |
| | which could cause a loss of income to market traders, |
| | who are primarily women. |
| | Output 3.5: Both male and female semi-skilled and |
| | unskilled residents including youth were invited for |
| | training opportunities to learn resilient housing |
| | techniques. Training reports including sex- |
| | disaggregated attendance lists for education and |
| | training opportunities were developed and maintained by the EE field staff to ensure the |
| | beneficiaries' equal participation. Aligned with the |
| | Gender Action Plan in the full proposal, women in |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | the communities were encouraged to participate in |
| | the capacity building training with infants and small |
| | children if they didn't have any other options. |
| | However, it remained a challenge to engage female |
| | participants in some capacity building training due to |
| | the local content. Therefore, the female participant |
| | rate was less than 40%, even though the project |
| | encouraged women to participate in the training and |
| | construction work. Output 3.7: Surveys with market |
| | traders before construction was completed. It was |
| | observed that no relocation or closure of the market |
| | |

| | T |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | will be required during the construction period and the safety of users in the market and road was ensured by using safety measures. Therefore, it was concluded that risks of losing income would not arise. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | Output 3.5: The participation rate of women could be less than the target rate (40%) due to the local culture. Output 3.7: No residual impacts identified because the surveys with market traders before construction concluded that risks of losing income for men and women as well as other marginalized populations would not arise during the construction. |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | Output 3.5: The project will continuously encourage female participants in capacity building training to be involved in the construction works. Concerning "infants and small children under their care were brought to the training session", the EE will announce this point to potential participants before future training sessions. |
| 6.Core labour rights | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | Yes |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | - The project will use unskilled and semi-skilled labour sourced from the communities for the planting and construction works that will take place in investments 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.6, and 3.7 Without management and mitigation measures, there is a risk that these labourers could be mistreated. This includes low salaries below minimum wage or market rate, hiring school-age workers, discrimination against women, poor facilities, lack of safety equipment and informality. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | Outputs 3.1 - 3.7: All workers employed under the project and by its contractors will be formalized, with proper, legally binding contracts that workers understand. As low levels of education and illiteracy remain problematic, all construction contract workers will have the right to have their contracts explained to them, and will be empowered to report anonymously to UN-Habitat's National Project Manager or through the grievance mechanism if they feel they are being unfairly treated in any way. All women and men will be paid equally (US\$15 per day for unskilled construction workers). Construction workers will not be asked to work more than 9 hours per day and will be given rest and meal breaks per International Labour Organization guidance. All construction workers employed by the project will be over the age of 18 and under the age of 65. all |

| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | workers will be given basic safety equipment, including hard hats and high visibility jackets. Construction will only take place during the daylight hours and where construction works take place outside during the rainy season, workers will be given shelter from storms and heavy rains. Outputs 3.1 - 3.7: Example contracts (personal details redacted) made available and comments invited from ILO representatives and the Ministry of Labour. Worker testimony gained through informal |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | discussions. |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | There is a risk to people working on construction and planting activities under the project. This risk must be seen in the context of fairly high rates of informality in unskilled and semi-skilled work in Cambodia. |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | Outputs 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, and 3.7: The ToR and contract between EE and the subcontractor included a description of labour rights and health and safety standards during the construction. EE also verbally explained to subcontractors their responsibility to verbally inform the contents of the contract to labourers, while setting a fair wage for male and female workers. UN-Habitat and the EE visited the site and confirmed to the workers that they received fair wages from a subcontractor. EE also provided guidance on the 15 principles of the AF ESP and the Gender Policy to a subcontractor in May 2023. The project hired only labourers aged between 18 and 65 and they worked 9 hours (during day time) per day with sufficient rest and meal breaks per International Labour Organization guidance, all female and male skilled construction workers received \$18-\$25 per day (60,000 riel), and all female and male unskilled construction workers received \$15 per day (40,000 riel). The contractor also provided basic security equipment to labourers. During the hiring process, the subcontractor and EE informed labourers about their rights to submit anonymous grievances through the grievance mechanisms or report to UN-Habitat's National Project Manager and EE if they feel they are being unfairly treated in any way. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | Outputs 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, and 3.7: A risk that labourers could be mistreated, such as low salaries below minimum wage or market rate, hiring school-age workers, discrimination against women, poor facilities, lack of safety equipment and informality. |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | Outputs 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, and 3.7: The EE will regularly visit the site and confirm the contractor's compliance with International Labour Organization guidance. When the EE identify mistreatment of labours at the site, they will guide the contractor for the immediate improvement. UN-Habitat and the EE will ensure that all men and women will be equally paid, and all |

| | labour rights will be ensured. UN-Habitat and the EE will also request subcontractor to follow labour laws including not to hire below 18 and over 65 years workers. Construction safety measures: during the construction period it will be expected to provide all workers with safety equipment, including hard hats and high visibility jackets" and to ensure that |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | construction only will take place during daylight hours and that construction works take place outside |
| | during the rainy season, workers will be given shelter |
| | from storms and heavy rains. |
| 7.Indigenous people | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table | No |
| II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | INO |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard | |
| measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been | |
| implemented during the reporting period | |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that | |
| will be taken | |
| 8.Involuntary resettlement | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | Yes |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | - This risk has been identified for all physical components of the project, with the exception of 3.8 While all target sites have been evaluated, most recently in November 2019 and it has been concluded that no one is living at the site or making a livelihood from it. Moreover, all investments are being made entirely on public land, and all site access is possible by public road, with the exception of investment 3.3. |

| | I |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, | - Investment 3.3 includes the provision to build a temporary access track, which will be cited entirely on public land. At present, this land is not occupied, but the situation can change rapidly in Cambodia However, this risk has been triggered acknowledging that Cambodia has experienced involuntary resettlement in the past throughout the country, and the rapid nature of development in the country, including investment in nearby Sihanoukville, means that UN-Habitat can't be certain at this moment that there won't be informal settlers on the sites between now and project commencement. Output 3.1 - 3.7: A further re-inspection of the sites will take place prior to the start of planting or construction works. If no evidence of dwelling or livelihood activity at the sites is found at the point (through visits to the sites, photographs, and |
| management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Outputs 3.1 - 3.3 and 3.6: Regular (at least quarterly) site visits documented by photographs and, where necessary, informal discussions. At least 6-monthly meeting between the project (represented by the M&E officer), PDoE and PDLMUPC conducted. Output 3.4: Regular (at least quarterly) site visits documented by photographs and, where necessary, informal discussions. At least 6-monthly meeting between the project (represented by the M&E officer), PDoWRAM and PDLMUPC conducted. If evidence of encroachment is found during the construction period, additional monitoring measures will be proposed to the PMC. Output 3.7: Surveys with market traders before and after construction. This will include discussing the construction plans (i.e. specific procedures to ensure continued trading) with the traders for their suggestion and agreement. Plus a survey of foot traffic into the market to ensure that there has not been a decline in the number of people using it (a proxy for ensuring that there has been no loss of income). |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Outputs 3.1, 3.4, and 3.6: There is some evidence for historical (illegal, and failed) attempts at agriculture at the mangrove (3.1, 3.6) and Roness (3.4) sites. Outputs 3.2 and 3.3: There are potential risks that people are living along the canals. Output 3.7: Temporary eviction or inability to access the market to trade could lead to serious economic, loss of income impacts for local people without management or mitigation measures. |

| | Output 3.1: Inspection including stakeholder consultation with local authorities and fishery |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | communities confirmed the identified 7 hectares of land for mangrove plantation will not cause any involuntary resettlement and not overlap with other governmental interventions. Output 3.3: Detailed inspection of the identified location for embankment improvement was conducted and ensured the intervention would not have any risk of involuntary resettlement and equal accessibility to all. Output 3.7: The contractor conducted a re-inspection of the sites prior to the start of planting or construction works |
| | and this was confirmed by the EE. Outputs 3.2, 3.4, and 3.6: Without proper reinspection before the construction works, the project |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | interventions may cause involuntary resettlements who live in or near the project sites. Outputs 3.1, 3.3, and 3.7: Although the re-inspection processes clarified no risk of involuntary resettlement, some residents' property may be damaged during the construction. |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | Outputs 3.2, 3.4, and 3.6: Pre-inspection will be conducted before the construction works. The construction will be commenced if there is no evidence of dwelling or livelihood activity at the sites. If evidence is found that people have recently moved to the site (either dwelling or for livelihood), the project will enter into a consultation period with the affected people to reach a negotiated and agreed solution. Outputs 3.1, 3.3, and 3.7: UN-Habitat and the EE will prepare and inform residents of their rights to report anonymous grievances through the grievance mechanism or UN-Habitat's National Project Manager and EE before and during the construction works. |
| 9.Protection of natural habitats | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | Yes |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | '- Investments 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.6 and 3.8 take place in or near critical habitats Investments under 3.1 inherently involve mangrove areas Investments 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 are in peri-urban or rural areas at the edge of Kep 3.6 and 3.8 are located close of the Kampong Smach protected area With this proximity in mind, there is potential for disruption of habitats through construction activities, transporting materials to and from the sites and the presence of casual labour. Investment 3.1 also carries risks — while the investment is intended to benefit the natural |

| | 1. 1. 1. 1 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | habitat, there is a risk, without management or mitigation measures that the investment could be counterproductive and damage the mangrove it is designed to help. For example, without a mangrove planting and management plan, there is a risk that invasive or incompatible species could be introduced to the area, risking both project failure and existing mangroves. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | the actions. A very detailed assessment will be conducted to re-confirm that the species identification conducted jointly between the UN Food and Agriculture Organization and the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries is accurate. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Outputs 3.1 and 3.6: Mangrove Planting and Management Plan in place before planting starts. The Plan has been approved by the Project Management Committee and is subject to annual technical review. Outputs 3.2 and 3.3: Weekly report by the site manager. Pre and post construction site inspection by the M&E officer submitted. Output 3.4: Site manager's weekly report and periodic inspection by the Project M&E Officer submitted. Output 3.8: Pre and post installation inspection by the Project M&E Officer. |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Outputs 3.1 and 3.6: Mangrove forests have been in alarming decline in Cambodia in recent years. The country lost 42 per cent of its mangroves in the period 1989-2017. Mangrove loss has been especially high in Preah Sihanouk Province, where 52 per cent of forests have been lost. 34 per cent have been lost in Kep in the same period. Outputs 3.2 and 3.3: There are risks arising from ineffective oversight of development activities and thus local impacts arising from damage to or loss of local habitats. Output 3.4: The non-demarcated area of natural habitat immediately to the north of the reservoir is a particular area of potential impact to the reservoir. Output 3.8: There is potential disruption of the local environment in installing equipment. |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | Outputs 3.1 and 3.6: The Mangrove Planting and Management Plan (MPMP) including specific mangrove plantation sites, species and planting process was created by the national consultant. MPMP was approved on 2 November 2022 during a validation meeting with relevant local authorities including the Provincial Department of Environment, Fisheries Administration, and fisheries communities. Outputs 3.2 and 3.3: Site investigation and inspection of consultants confirmed no risk of damaging natural/local habitats through construction works. |

| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | Outputs 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.6 and 3.8: There is a potential risk for disruption of habitats through construction activities, transporting materials to and from the sites and the presence of casual labour. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | Outputs 3.2, 3.3, and 3.8: Weekly reports will be developed based on the field visit/observation. Preand post-construction site inspections will be conducted. Output 3.8: Pre- and post-installation inspection by the Project M&E Officer will be conducted. |
| 10.Conservation of biological diversity | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | Yes |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | See above. In particular, 3.1, 3.6 and 3.8 are in areas that are important for biodiversity (though note that none of the project is implemented in an officially designated biodiversity conservation area). Investments 3.1, 3.6 and 3.8 are implemented in or close to mangrove areas, and as such this ecosystem is critical to support marine biology as well as coastal human livelihoods (particularly crab fishing, which is a common form of livelihood, especially in Kep) |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | Outputs 3.1 and 3.6:Development of the Mangrove Planting and Management Plan in consultation with local communities and provincial/district/municipal government officials ensuring no negative impact of the actions. A very detailed assessment will be conducted to re-confirm that the species identification conducted jointly between the UN Food and Agriculture Organisation and the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries is accurate. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Outputs 3.1 and 3.6: Mangrove Planting and Management Plan in place before planting starts. The Plan has been approved by the Project Management Committee and is subject to annual technical review. Output 3.4: Site manager's weekly report and periodic inspection by the Project M&E Officer submitted. Output 3.8: Pre and post installation inspection by the Project M&E Officer conducted. |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Output 3.1: The Mangrove Planting and Management Plan will ensure that appropriate mangrove species will be chosen, planted correctly and management and maintained in a way that ensures they have a long lifespan. This is critical as mangrove is only effective as a coastal adaptation measure. Output 3.4: The works will enhance the ability of the reservoir to store and distribute water, improving water access in the dry season (which is projected to be longer and warmer). Moreover, as the southern embankment has |

| | been classified as unsafe by two international engineers who inspected, the works proposed under this project also have a safety benefit as full or partial failure of the southern embankment would – at minimum – flood surrounding farmland, damage houses and damage the nearby railway line. Output 3.6: The mangroves will also be planted in accordance with a to-be developed mangrove |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | planting and management plan (see 3.1 – though a separate, location specific plan will be developed). The water gate repairs will restore water management to original design standards (together with mangroves they will enhance adaptation). Ouput 3.8: The weather station and tide gauge will be imported, but no emissions are generated from their operation. Regional suppliers for the equipment will be sought, but it may not be possible to avoid importing |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | equipment from North America or Europe. Outputs 3.1 and 3.6: The Mangrove Planting and Management Plan (MPMP) including specific mangrove plantation sites, species and planting process was created by the national consultant. MPMP was approved on 2 November 2022 during a validation meeting with relevant local authorities including the Provincial Department of Environment, Fisheries Administration, and fisheries communities. Outputs 3.2 and 3.3: Site investigation and inspection of consultants confirmed no risk of damaging existing biological diversity through construction works. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | Outputs 3.1, 3.6 and 3.8: There is a potential risk for damaging the ecosystem in or close to mangrove areas, which is critical to support marine biology as well as coastal human livelihoods (particularly crab fishing, which is a common form of livelihood, especially in Kep). |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | Outputs 3.1, 3.6 and 3.8: Weekly reports based on the field visit/observation will be developed. Pre- and post-construction site inspections will be conducted by the Project M&E Officer. |
| 11.Climate change | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | Yes |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | Maladaptation risks occur throughout the project. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the | Output 3.1: The Mangrove Planting and Management Plan will ensure that appropriate mangrove species will be chosen, planted correctly and management and maintained in a way that ensures they have a |

| safeguard measures by activity. | long lifespan. Output 3.5: Sustainably sourced materials will be used to construct demonstration houses. Output 3.6: The mangroves will also be planted in accordance with a to-be developed mangrove planting and management plan. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Outputs 3.5 and 3.8: Bidding/tender documents must explicitly identify sustainably sourced materials (wood and other construction materials) and explain why such materials are sustainable options are being chosen. |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | N/A |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | Outputs 3.5: ToR and contract between EE and the subcontractor included a description of their role in using sustainably sourced construction materials. During the contract award, the EE also verbally explained to subcontractors their responsibility to verbally inform the contents of the contract to labourers, while setting a fair wage for male and female workers. EE also provided guidance on the 15 principles of the AF ESP and the Gender Policy to a subcontractor in May 2023. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | No residual impacts identified. |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | N/A |
| 12.Pollution prevention and resource efficient | ency |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | Yes |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | There are some small risks arising from the construction. With the exception of 3.1, all investments under Component 3 involve construction using common building materials, such as concrete and building sand/fill material. Without management and mitigation measures, there is a risk of small-scale, localized pollution in and around the construction sites. This risk is exacerbated by the solid waste management system in Cambodia being imperfect in its coverage. No construction will involve hazardous materials such as chemicals other than basic paints (for painting water gates, for example). |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the | Outputs 3.2 - 3.7: Where materials used are safe to go to landfill, they will be disposed by private contractors. Old concrete can be re-cycled locally at small, artisan foundries in Kep. |
| safeguard measures by activity. | sman, arusan roundries in Kep. |

| identified. | submitted. Pre and post construction site inspection |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| identified. | by the M&E officer (merged with Protection of |
| | Natural Habitats) conducted. |
| | Outputs 3.2 - 3.7: All investments will generate waste |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring | materials resulting from the construction. None of the |
| indicator | investments will generate significant waste products |
| | or pollutants from their ongoing use/operation. |
| | Outputs 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, and 3.7: ToR and contract |
| | between EE and the subcontractor included a |
| | description of the waste management plan. During |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been | the contract award meetings, the EE explained the |
| implemented during the reporting period | requirement of following the waste management plan |
| amplemented during the reporting period | and adhering to environmental safeguards. EE also |
| | provided guidance on the 15 principles of the AF |
| | ESP and the Gender Policy to a subcontractor in May |
| | 2023. |
| | Outputs $3.2 - 3.7$: Without management and mitigation measures during the construction works, |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact | there is a risk of small-scale, localized pollution in |
| identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | and around the construction sites. For example, it |
| dentified if any using the momentum materials | could be caused without proper solid waste |
| | management at the construction site. |
| | Outputs 3.2 – 3.7: The EE will ensure the |
| | subcontractor will prepare a specific plot for |
| | temporal waste accumulation with proper |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that | segregation, and that construction materials used are |
| will be taken | safe to go to landfill, and will be properly disposed of |
| | by private contractors. Weekly reports and conduct |
| | pre- and post-construction site inspections will be |
| 13.Public health | developed. |
| | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact | |
| assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | |
| Have impacts been identified that require | Yes |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| | There are some localized to risks to public health |
| | arising from the project. In all investments, except |
| | 3.1 and 3.8 involve creating a temporary construction |
| | site during implementation. This carries typical |
| | construction site risks (i.e. risks from vehicles |
| | entering and leaving the site, risk to children, etc). |
| | Sites that involve embankments – investments 3.3 |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard | and 3.4 – and the retention of water carry a risk throughout the lifespan of the infrastructure (i.e. |
| measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | during the project and beyond). If the embankment |
| | were to fail, there could be outflow of substantial |
| | amounts of water (especially Investment 3.4). |
| | Management and mitigation measures are required. |
| | Sites that involve water gates (3.2a&b, 3.6) also carry |
| | |
| | small health and safety risks throughout the lifespan of the infrastructure, particularly relating to broken |

| | parts or accidental misuse. Investment 3.7 involves |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | construction works in a large market that is busy (at any point in the day there are hundreds of people working or shopping in it) and sells food products including meat, fish and vegetables. Without management and mitigation measures, there is a risk that construction works would pose a health hazard to people shopping or working in the market, and of food contamination. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | Outputs 3.2 and 3.6: Effective construction site management practices will be ensured. Outputs 3.3, 3.4 and 3.7: More sophisticated standards, such as the Zero Accident Technique of the Construction Institute will apply. Measures to be put in place will include (but are not limited to) alternative access arrangements, no-go zones for members of the public that are fenced off an inaccessible and training for all workers on the site. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Outputs 3.2 - 3.7: Training completed prior to construction starting. All signs, fences and other safety equipment are in place, as signed off by the site manager and M&E Officer. Weekly site reports by the site manager and inspection by the M&E Officer submitted. |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Outputs 3.2 and 3.6: The investments involve relatively small construction sites with no heavy equipment beyond cement mixers and basic construction tools. Outputs 3.3, 3.4, and 3.7: The investments involve larger sites with larger, more complex equipment. |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | Outputs 3.2 and 3.6: Safety signs and barriers were placed on construction sites to avoid any health risks to the public during the construction period. Output 3.3, 3.4 and 3.7: Safety fences are erected by the EE and subcontractor to ensure typical construction site risks (i.e. risks from vehicles entering and leaving the site, a risk to children). The subcontractor also inspected prior to the construction of the embankment to confirm the possible highest water level and necessary embankment level to prevent the saltwater intervention to the paddy field. EE also provided guidance on the 15 principles of the AF ESP and the Gender Policy to a subcontractor in May 2023. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | Outputs 3.2 – 3.7: During the construction works, there are typical construction site risks (i.e. risks from vehicles entering and leaving the site, risks to children, etc.). Outputs 3.2a, 3.3, 3.4, and 3.6: There are risks throughout the lifespan of the infrastructure, such as the outflow of substantial amounts of water by the embankment failure, and broken parts or accidental misuse. Output 3.7: There is a risk that construction works would pose a health hazard to people shopping or working in the market, and of |

| | food contamination. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Outputs 3.2 - 3.7: The site manager and M&E Officer |
| | will conduct regular field visits/observations to |
| | ensure continuous installation of necessary signs, |
| | fences and other safety equipment in the construction |
| | sites. Weekly site reports will be developed by the |
| Describe name diel action for maddyal immagts that | site manager and inspections by the M&E Officer. |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | Output 3.7: The project will implement the |
| will be taken | construction works in 10m sections with the shortest |
| | construction period to minimize possible public |
| | health hazards to customers and market traders. The |
| | project will also inform overview of construction to |
| | the market traders and customers before the construction. |
| 14.Physical and cultural heritage | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table | No |
| II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | INO |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact | |
| assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | |
| Have impacts been identified that require | |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, | |
| management or mitigation) identified for each impact | |
| that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented | |
| during the reporting period. Please break down the | |
| safeguard measures by activity. | |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact | |
| identified. | |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring | |
| indicator | |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been | |
| implemented during the reporting period | |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact | |
| identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | |
| 15.Lands and soil conservation | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table | |
| II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact | |
| assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | |
| Have impacts been identified that require | Yes |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | There is some modicible side to lead and and |
| | There is some negligible risk to land and soil conservation. Investments 3.1 and 3.6 involve |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard | mangroves, the planting of which involves disturbing |
| measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | soil. Investments 3.3 and 3.4 involve using |
| | embankment fill material, which will be procured on |
| | 1 Section of Protocol on |

| | the open market. Investment 3.3 involves creating a temporary access track on public land, which nevertheless will disturb soils. For those reasons, this risk has been triggered. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | Please refer to the descriptions of Principle 9: Natural Habitats and Principle 11: Climate Change. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Outputs 3.1 and 3.6: Mangrove Planting and Management Plan is in place before planting starts. The Plan has been approved by the Project Management Committee and is subject to annual technical review. Outputs 3.3 and 3.4: Weekly report by the site manager. Pre and post construction site inspection by the M&E officer submitted. |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Outputs 3.1 and 3.6: Mangrove forests have been in alarming decline in Cambodia in recent years. The country lost 42 per cent of its mangroves in the period 1989-2017. Mangrove loss has been especially high in Preah Sihanouk Province, where 52 per cent of forests have been lost. 34 per cent have been lost in Kep in the same period. Output 3.3: There are risks arising from ineffective oversight of development activities and thus local impacts arising from damage to or loss of local habitats. Output 3.4: The non-demarcated area of natural habitat immediately to the north of the reservoir is a particular area of potential impact to the reservoir. |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | Outputs 3.1 and 3.6: The Mangrove Planting and Management Plan (MPMP) including specific mangrove plantation sites, species and planting process was created by the national consultant. MPMP was approved on 2 November 2022 during a validation meeting with relevant local authorities including the Provincial Department of Environment, Fisheries Administration, and fisheries communities. Output 3.1: Based on the MPMP, mangrove seedlings were planted in 4 hectares of Phum Thmey Fishery Community and 3 hectares of Ou Krasar Fishery Community. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | Outputs 3.1 and 3.6: The mangrove plantation may involve disturbing soil. Outputs 3.3 and 3.4: Embankment fill material from the open market and a temporary access track on public land may disturb soils. |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | Outputs 3.3 and 3.4: Weekly reports and conduct preand post-construction site inspections will be developed. |

| Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been | Yes |
| carried out? | l es |

| Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period? | No |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated | |

| Section 3: Categorisation | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant? | Yes |
| If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization. | |

| Section 4: Implementation arrangements | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures? | Under the supervision of PMC and collaboration with the PEU in target provinces, UN-Habitat and NCSD aim to conduct technical monitoring and supervision to implement the project's activities, especially monitoring the identified and unanticipated ESP risks. UN-Habitat is also preparing the refresher session on the 15 principles of AF ESP and UN-Habitat's ESSS to the subcontractor and the team at the beginning of year 3. |
| Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period? | Yes |
| What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures? | ToR and contract between EE and the subcontractor included a description of their role in using sustainably sourced construction materials (Outputs 3.1, 3.3, and 3.5). During the contract award, the EE also verbally explained to subcontractors their responsibility to verbally inform the contents of the contract to labourers, while setting a fair wage for male and female workers. EE also provided guidance on the 15 principles of the AF ESP and the Gender Policy to a subcontractor in May 2023. |
| Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period? | Yes |

| Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs. | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place? | | | | |
| Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details. | | | | |
| Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled? | | | | |
| Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period? | | | | |

| Identified USPs in the reporting period | | ESP risks identified | impact assessment | impacts | disaggregation to identify | measures | for each |
|--------------------------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
|--------------------------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------|----------------------------|----------|----------|

| Section 6: Grievances | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts? | Partially |
| Were grievances received during the reporting period? | No |

| List all grievances received during the reporting period | For each grievance, | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| regarding environmental and social impacts; gender | provide information on | Provide the |
| related matters; or any other matter of | the grievance redress | status/outcome |
| project/programme activities | process | |

Comments

N/A

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

| Gender- responsive element | Level | Indicator | Baseline | | Rated result for the reporting period |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Component 1 - Community- scale knowledge and capacity enhanced to sustain the adaptation benefits of the project's investments | Output | No. of female training beneficiaries | 0 | 50% of trainees are women | Good |
| Component 1 - | Output | No. of female | 0 | 200 people 50% | Satisfactory |

| | T | | ı | | |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|
| Community- | | beneficiaries | | of whom women | |
| scale knowledge | | | | trained | |
| and capacity | | | | | |
| enhanced to | | | | | |
| sustain the | | | | | |
| adaptation | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | |
| benefits of the | | | | | |
| project's | | | | | |
| investments | | | | | |
| Component 2 - | | | | | |
| Government | | | | | |
| planning and | | | | | |
| technical | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| capacity | | No. of | | 60 government | |
| enhanced and | | government staff | | officers trained, | |
| knowledge | Output | <u>ا</u> | 0 | · | Satisfactory |
| captured and | Output | | O | whom are | Satisfactory |
| disseminated to | | disaggregated by | | | |
| sustain and | | sex | | women | |
| enhance the | | | | | |
| project's | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| adaptation | | | | | |
| benefits | | | | | |
| Component 2 - | | | | | |
| Government | | | | A | |
| planning and | | | | A system in | |
| technical | | | | place to | |
| capacity | | | | systematically | |
| enhanced and | | | | monitor | |
| | | No. of | | adaptation | |
| knowledge | Output | monitoring | 0 | investments and | Good |
| captured and | 1 | systems in place | | plan and | |
| disseminated to | | | | advocate for | |
| sustain and | | | | replication, | |
| enhance the | | | | _ · | |
| project's | | | | upscaling and | |
| adaptation | | | | further funding | |
| benefits | | | | | |
| Component 3- | | | | Land and 17.754 | |
| | | | | Land and 17,754 | |
| Resilience built | | No. of people | | people, at least | |
| through | | who benefit from | | 50% of whom | |
| investment in | | the restored | | are women have | |
| small-scale | Output | | 0 | greater | Good |
| protective and | | mangrove, | | protection from | |
| basic service | | disaggregated by | | coastal flooding, | |
| infrastructure | | sex | | erosion and salt- | |
| and natural assets | | | | water incursion. | |
| | | | | ,, atc. mearsion. | |
| Component 3- | | | | | |
| Resilience built | | | | 3,500 people, at | |
| through | | No. of people | | least 50% of | |
| investment in | Ovetmost | who benefit from | | | Cood |
| small-scale | Output | the rehabilitated | U | whom are | Good |
| protective and | | canals | | women will | |
| basic service | | | | benefit | |
| infrastructure | | | | | |
| mmasmucture | | | | | |

| and natural assets | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Component 1 - Community- scale knowledge and capacity | Output | No. of women trained | 0 | 50% of the eventual total are female | Good |
| Component 2 - Government planning and technical capacity enhanced and knowledge captured and disseminated to sustain and enhance the project's adaptation benefits | Output | No. of female government staff trained | 0 | 25 government officers trained, at least 10 of whom women | Poor |
| Component 2 - Government planning and technical capacity enhanced and knowledge captured and disseminated to sustain and enhance the project's adaptation benefits | Output | No of knowledge products produced and estimated number of people reached | 0 | At least 20 local good practice documents (which could include local language articles, radio broadcasts or brochures) and 3 major policy recommendations At least 3 of the knowledge products will focus specifically on the adaptation priorities and actions of women. At least 100,000 people reached with knowledge products. | Poor |
| Component 3- Resilience built through investment in small-scale protective and basic service | Output | No. of people who benefit from the repaired water gates and rehabilitated canals, disaggregated by | 0 | 1960ha of paddy with greater water access. | Poor |

| · c . | | | | , , | |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------|---|--------------------|------|
| infrastructure | | sex | | water access for | |
| and natural assets | | | | non-agri families | |
| | | | | A total of 19,553 | |
| | | | | people, at least | |
| | | | | 50% are women, | |
| | | | | benefit | |
| | | | | 24,470 people, of | |
| | | | | whom 50% are | |
| | | | | women, will | |
| Component 3- | | | | have year-round | |
| Resilience built | | | | access to water | |
| through | | No. of people | | even during | |
| investment in | | who have | | especially dry | |
| small-scale | Output | improved access | 0 | years, 600ha of | Poor |
| protective and | | to water No. of | | • | |
| basic service | | ha land protected | | rice paddy will | |
| infrastructure | | _ | | be irrigated. | |
| and natural assets | | | | People will be | |
| | | | | protected from | |
| | | | | the unsafe | |
| | | | | embankment | |
| Component 3- | | | | | |
| Resilience built | | No. of locally | | 9,720 people, of | |
| through | | l | | whom, 50% are | |
| investment in | | appropriate | | women, benefit | |
| small-scale | Output | housing designs | 0 | from design, | Poor |
| protective and | | developed No. of | | training and 8 | |
| basic service | | demo houses | | demo houses | |
| infrastructure | | constructed | | built | |
| and natural assets | | | | | |
| Component 3- | | | | | |
| Resilience built | | No of people | | 20,000 people, of | |
| through | | whose land is | | whom 50% | |
| investment in | | protected from | | women, in the | |
| small-scale | Ovetmost | saltwater | | area (of a total | Poor |
| | Output | incursion, | 0 | population of | Poor |
| protective and | | disaggregated by | | 27,667) and | |
| basic service | | sex No. of ha of | | 2,000ha of rice | |
| infrastructure | | land protected | | fields protected | |
| and natural assets | | 1 | | • | |
| | | | | The market | |
| | | | | retains 365 day | |
| | | | | per year | |
| Component 3- | | | | functionality, not | |
| Resilience built | | | | losing any days | |
| through | | TD1 | | to heavy rainfall. | |
| investment in | | The number of | | The market has | |
| small-scale | Output | days' income lost | 0 | | Poor |
| protective and | 1 | to flooding | | harvested | |
| basic service | | events | | rainwater 4,500 | |
| infrastructure | | | | people, up to | |
| and natural assets | | | | 90% of whom | |
| and natural assets | | | | are women, have | |
| | | | | improved year- | |
| | | | | round income | |
| G 2 | 0.1 | NT C I | 0 | | D |
| Component 3- | Output | No. of people | 0 | An estimated | Poor |

| Resilience built | who have | 30,000 people, of |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| through | improved access | whom, 50% are |
| investment in | to tidal | women have |
| small-scale | information and | access to early |
| protective and | early warning, | warnings. Tidal |
| basic service | disaggregated by | information is |
| infrastructure | sex | also available to |
| and natural assets | | the local |
| | | government and |
| | | beneficiaries in |
| | | the coastal area. |

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

| Gender equality and women's | Rated result for the | Provide justification of the |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| empowerment issues | reporting period | rating provided |

| Section 3: Implementation arrangements | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP | 1. A sex disaggregated template for a meeting/event attendance was developed for meeting participants' data collection and it is included in the activity reports. 2. Selection of resilient house beneficiaries based on the agreed selection criteria to avoid a risk of preferable selection without any discrimination including sex. 3. IE ensured the EE to provided guidance on the 15 principles of the AF ESP and the Gender Policy to a subcontractor prior to their activities. |
| Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period? | Yes |
| What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP? | During capacity strengthening workshops for both local authorities and communities, the EE invited the participation of both men and women in all meetings/ trainings. In addition, the EE is using sex dissagregated template for recording information on meeting attendance to ensure gender disparity and women's engagement. Based on these tools, GP compliance has been closely monitored. The EE also provided guidance on the 15 principles of the AF ESP and the Gender Policy to a subcontractor prior to their activities. The construction sector is predominantly led by men and most of the construction labour in Cambodia. Through capacity building training on resilient housing techniques, the EE trained 44 women in addition to 168 men. |
| Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period? | Yes |
| Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, | No |

| Section 4: Grievances | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment? | Partially |
| Were grievances received during the reporting period? | No |

| List all grievances received through the grievance | For each grievance, provide | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| mechanism during the reporting period regarding | information on the | Provide the |
| gender-related matters of project/programme | grievance redress process | status/outcome |
| activities [6] | used | |

Comments

what remediation was implemented?

There is no grievance received during the second year of project implementation. Currently, the IE, EE, and a subcontractor are discussing developing enhanced grievance mechanisms for the project. It was rediscussed during the refresher session of the 15 principes of AF ESP and UN-Habitat's Environmental and Social Safeguards System (ESSS). The project plans to enact the enhanced grievance mechanisms in the beginning of year 3 and introduce it to the local authorities and communities.

Rating

| Implementing Entity | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--|
| Project components/outcomes | Alignment with AF outcomes | Expected Progress | Progress to date | Rating | |
| Outcome 1 Community- scale knowledge and capacity enhanced to sustain the adaptation benefits of the project's investments | Outcome 3 | Output 1.1 - Conduct the training needs assessment - Complete capacity building on solid waste collection and management Output 1.2 - Conduct the knowledge/training needs assessment - 200 people (50% female) trained on resilient house construction techniques Output 1.3 - 8 training clusters implemented with 50% participation from women | Ontrack | Satisfactory | |
| Outcome 2 Government planning and technical capacity enhanced to sustain and enhance the project's adaptation benefits | Outcome 2 | Output 2.1 - Complete capacity building of 60 subnational government officers including at least 20 females to plan the replication and upscaling of climate change actions. Output 2.2 - Conduct capacity building of 25 governmental officers including at least 10 females to manage, operate, and maintain the infrastructure (Continue until the 3rd year). Output 2.3 - Strength institutional systems strengthening adaptation investments and climate change adaptation actions (Continue until the 3rd year). Output 2.4 - Produce at least 20 local | | Satisfactory | |

| | | good practice documents and 3 major polity recommendations (Continue until the 4th year). | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|
| Outcome 3 Resilience built through investment in small-scale protective and basic service infrastructure and natural assets | Outcome 4 | Outcome 3 Resilience built through investment in small-scale protective and basic service infrastructure and natural assets | Delayed | Marginally Satisfactory |

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

| Name | Email |
|-----------|------------------|
| Vanna Sok | vanna.sok@un.org |

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The overall implementation performance of the project is satisfactory because the project team has made excellent progress, especially in implementing Outcomes 1 and 2. Despite the challenging situation due to the delayed formulation of the PMC and its influence on the delayed initiation of the procurement process, the team recruited a series of consultants and executed most of the activities which were planned for year 2. UN-Habitat requests the executing entity to fasten the implementation of activities behind schedule and ensure all activities are on track and completed in a timely manner.

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

| Project components/outcomes | IWITH A H | Expected Progress | Progress to date | Rating |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Outcome 1 Community-scale knowledge and capacity enhanced to sustain the adaptation benefits of the project's investments | Outcome 3 | 100% of the work is completed. | Completed | Satisfactory |
| Outcome 2 Government planning and technical capacity enhanced to sustain and enhance the project's adaptation benefits | Outcome 2 | 25% of the planned activities are completed. | Ontrack | Satisfactory |
| Outcome 3 Resilience built through investment in small-scale protective and basic service infrastructure and natural assets | | 25% of the planned activities are completed. | II Je i sved | Marginally Satisfactory |

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

| Name | Email | Institution |
|---------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Hak Mao | maohakccd.se@gmail.com | National Council for Sustainable Development |

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Most of the activities under Outcome 1 were implemented as planned without any major challenges except Output 1.3 to build the capacity of communities to manage, operate and maintain the infrastructure investment. The project team coordinated well with the NCSD, PMC, Project Execution Units in the target provinces, and local stakeholders. It allowed the project to collect the required data through training/knowledge needs assessments and conduct inclusive trainings/ meetings aligned with the 15 principles of the

AF ESP and the Gender Policy. Planned activities of the reporting period under Outcome 2 were completed by providing training to subnational government officers related to climate change adaptation and funding (Output 2.1). The project also reviewed the institutional system of climate change investments in Cambodia and related capacity building sessions (Output 2.3). The project recruited a consultant for Output 2.2 to start the planned activities in the 3rd year smoothly. Knowledge products are also under development based on the project implementation focusing on sustainable adaptation actions and policy enhancement (Output 2.2). Regarding the outputs under Outcome 3, the team completed subcontractor identification, site investigations and inspections, and the designing of some infrastructure investments with the technical support of UN-Habitat. However, some of the planned activities under Outputs 3.1 and 3.2) could not be completed within the planned timeframe due to the delay of PMC formation because of the COVID-19 pandemic and related restrictions in the first year. The procurement process was delayed because PMC approval was required to initiate the procurement process. A national election also affected the project timeframe because both national and subnational government offices prioritize preparation and political campaigns for a few months prior to the election. The project also faces situational changes because some of the envisaged works have been undertaken by the Government with its institutional funds during the subsequent application and consideration periods before the project approval. Specifically, rehabilitation works on the rehabilitation of Roness reservoir in Kep (Output 3.4) and the planned mangrove plantation area in Prey Nob (Output 3.6). Considering the envisaged rehabilitation work under Output 3.4 undertaken by the Government, the team submitted the output scale-up request to the AFB based on the guidance of the PMC and a series of discussions and field assessments with UN-Habitat, local authorities, and PEU in each target areas.

| Other | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|
| Project components/outcomes | Alignment with AF outcomes | Expected Progress | Progress to date | Rating |
| | | | | |

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name Email

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall Rating

Overall rating

Satisfactory

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The overall implementation performance of the project is satisfactory because the project team has made excellent progress, especially in implementing Outcomes 1 and 2. Despite the challenging situation due to the delayed formulation of the PMC and its influence on the delayed initiation of the procurement process, the team recruited a series of consultants and executed most of the activities which were planned for year 2. UN-Habitat requests the executing entity to fasten the implementation of activities behind schedule and ensure all activities are on track and completed in a timely manner.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

| Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc) | Indicator | Baseline | Progress Since Inception | Target for Project End |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outputs | No. and type of trainings conducted to strengthen capacity on solid waste management No. of female training beneficiaries | No training has been conducted on solid waste management, and solid waste is a critical factor in preventing the functionality of critical infrastructure | 8 trainings (4 in Prey Nob and 4 in Kep) 321 (183 females) | 2x trainings per commune completed. 50% of trainees are women |
| Outputs | No. of people trained on resilient house construction techniques No. of female beneficiaries | There are few if any local carpenters that have sufficient capacity to build resilient houses. | 212 people (44 women) | 200 people (50% of whom women) trained |
| Outputs | No. of trainings provided to communities on managing, monitoring and maintaining infrastructure investments No. of women trained | Communities have not received training of infrastructure management, monitoring and maintenance and are unaware of the need and approaches | The training will be conducted in 3rd years of the project implementation. | 8 Training clusters implemented with 50% participation from women |
| Outputs | No. of government staff trained disaggregated by sex | There is constrained capacity (both in terms of manpower and technical knowhow) to plan for the replication and upscaling of climate change actions | 57 people (16 women) | 60 government officers trained, at least 20 of whom are women |
| Outputs | No. of government staff trained No. of female government staff trained | There is constrained capacity (both in terms of manpower and technical knowhow) to manage, operate and maintain infrastructure | The training will be conducted in 3rd years of the project implementation. | 25 government officers trained, at least 10 of whom women |
| Outputs | No. of monitoring systems in place | There is currently no systematic way to review 'what works' in terms of climate change adaptation and to upscale its benefits | 1 | A system in place to systematically monitor adaptation investments and plan and advocate for replication, upscaling and further funding |
| Outputs | No of knowledge products produced | There is currently no systematic approach | _ | At least 20 local good practice |

| | number of people reached | successful or | developed in 3rd years of the project implementation. | documents (which could include local language articles, radio broadcasts or brochures) and 3 major policy recommendations. At least 3 of the knowledge products will focus specifically on the adaptation priorities and actions of women At least 100,000 people reached with knowledge products |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outputs | disaggregated by sex | flooding, erosion, | 17,754 people (50% female) through mangrove plantation in 7 ha. | This land and 17,754 people, at least 50% of whom are women have greater protection from coastal flooding, erosion and saltwater incursion. There will be \$600 benefit to fishermen per hectare of mangrove planted |
| Outputs | | arising from inadequate water management | The water gates and canals will be repaired and rehabilitated in 3rd years of the project implementation. | 1960ha of paddy with greater water access. Increased rice yield for agricultural families, and water access for non-agri families. A total of 19,553 people, at least 50% are women, benefit |
| Outputs | No. of people who benefit from the rehabilitated canals | | rehabilitated in 3rd years of the project | 3,500 people, at least 50% of whom are women will benefit |
| Outputs | nave improved | rely on rainfed | - | 24,470 people, of whom 50% are women, will have year-round access to water even during especially dry years, 600ha of rice paddy will be irrigated. People will be |

| | | threatened by the unsafe embankment People in the coastal area are highly vulnerable to coastal flooding | | protected from the unsafe embankment. The same number of people will benefit from increased protection from flooding |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Outputs | | Up to 200 houses per commune are destroyed or severely damaged by strong winds every year. Women are more likely to be injured or affected from or by damaged houses. Houses are not well constructed and use poor materials | | 9,720 people, of whom, 50% are women, benefit from design, training and 4 demo houses built |
| Outputs | No of people whose land is protected from saltwater incursion | that rice paddies are unproductive and | The embankment and water gates will be rehabilitated in 3rd years of the project implementation | 20,000 people, of whom 50% women, in the area (of a total population of 27,667) and 2,000ha of rice fields protected |
| Outputs | The number of days' income lost to | The market floods every time there is heavy rain. Sellers lost around 30 days' income per year as a result. The vast majority of sellers (up to 90%) are women. | The drainage system will be constructed in 3rd years of the project implementation | The market retains 365 day per year functionality, not losing any days to heavy rainfall. The market has access to harvested rainwater. 4,500 people, up to 90% of whom are women, have improved year-round income |
| Outputs | No. of people who have improved access to tidal information and early warning, disaggregated by sex | There is no accurate or local tidal information provided to people, and no early warning system | | An estimated 30,000 people, of whom, 50% are women have access to early warnings. Tidal information is also available to the local government and beneficiaries in the coastal area. |
| Outcomes | Level of knowledge capacity at the | Communities in the target area are not | 100% of the work is completed. | At least one community group |

| | community | organised to manage | | per investment and |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | increased, measured | or maintain | | one group per |
| | by the number of | infrastructure, | | commune formed |
| | community groups | collect solid waste or | | and functional, |
| | performing basic | repair houses | | performing these |
| | maintenance, clean- | | | tasks. Each group |
| | ups or house | | | should include 50% |
| | maintenance | | | women |
| Outcomes | Level of capacity at the sub-national level increased, measured by the number of adaptation actions planned in the target area | Capacity is limited, especially outside the national level. It is unclear if any adaptation projects have been planned | 25% of the planned activities are completed. | 5 projects prepared and planned for through the government system that enhance this project's adaptation benefits, and extend climate change adaptation to a greater number of people in the target area. These projects should include the particular, differentiated adaptation needs of women |
| Outcomes | No of people that benefit from climate change resilient infrastructure, access to natural assets and improved livelihood options to withstand conditions resulting from climate variability and change | 62,521 people, at least 50% of whom women, have been assessed as vulnerable to climate change impacts | 25% of the planned activities are completed | 100% of the vulnerable population (62,521 people) of which at least 50 percent women have access to resilient infrastructure and/or protective natural assets |

Comments

N/A

Lessons Learned

| Implementation and Adaptive Management | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design) | Challenges | UN-Habitat requested the AFB approval to scale-up output 3.5 and output 3.7 by repurposing the allocated budget for output 3.4. This is because rehabilitation works envisaged under output 3.4 on the rehabilitation of Roness reservoir in Kep province have | | |

| | T | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | been undertaken by the Government with its institutional funds through the Ministry of Water Resources & |
| | | Meteorology (MoWRAM). |
| Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts? | Opportunities | Based on the ESMP in the proposal and common understanding of the 15 principles of AF ESP and UN-Habitat's Environmental and Social Safeguards System (ESSS), the project didn't face any unwanted negative impacts in year 2. To ensure the infrastructure interventions without any risk and adverse impacts on the environment and communities, EE included a description of labour rights and health and safety standards during the construction in ToR and the contract with the subcontractor. EE also provided guidance on the 15 principles of the AF ESP and the Gender Policy to a subcontractor in May 2023. The team also plans to provide a refresher session to |
| | | subcontractors at the beginning of the next reporting period. |
| How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation. | | Yes, gender considerations have been taken into consideration. Aligned with the Gender Action Plan in the full proposal, women in the communities were encouraged to participate in the project, and their attendance was tracked by using a sex-disaggregated attendance sheet. However, it remains a challenge to engage female participants in some capacity building training due to the local content. For example, the number of female subnational government officers and female construction workers including females interested in the construction sector is less than that of males. Therefore, the female participant rate was less than 50%, even though the project encouraged women to participate in the training and |

| | T | |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | construction work. In year 3, |
| | | the project will continuously |
| | | encourage females to attend |
| | | capacity building training and |
| | | meetings. For example, female |
| | | participants in the refresher |
| | | session on resilient housing |
| | | construction techniques will be |
| | | re-encouraged to be involved in |
| | | the construction works. |
| | | During the reporting period, the identification of areas for |
| | | mangrove plantations and a |
| | | canal for rehabilitation was |
| | | delayed due to limited support |
| | | and cooperation from |
| | | subnational stakeholders 3 |
| | | months prior to the national |
| | | election in July 2023. Their |
| | | priority shifted to election- |
| | | related preparation and |
| | | campaigns rather than project |
| | | activities during 3 months. The |
| | | occurrence of flooding in both |
| | | Kep and Prey Nob also affected |
| | | the decision to postpone the |
| | | initiation of some investment |
| | | construction works under |
| Were there any delays in implementation? | | Outputs 3.3, 3.5, and 3.7. These |
| If so, include any causes of delays. What | | factors induced the delay of |
| measures have been taken to reduce | Challenges | project activities, specifically |
| delays? | | the mangrove plantation, 3 |
| | | water gates repairments, and 2 |
| | | canal rehabilitations were |
| | | delayed. UN-Habitat requested |
| | | EE to fast-track the |
| | | identification of the remaining |
| | | target areas through close |
| | | communication with local |
| | | authorities in the target areas |
| | | and initiation of procurement to |
| | | overcome the delay within year |
| | | 3. UN-Habitat and EE also |
| | | agreed to proceed with some |
| | | on-hold activities immediately |
| | | after the rainy season. For |
| | | instance, the construction of |
| | | drainage systems will be |
| | | initiated immediately after the |
| | | end of the rainy season. |
| • | İ | one of the fully boubon. |
| | | Coordination with the national |
| What implementation issues/lessons | | Coordination with the national |
| What implementation issues/lessons, | Onnortunities | and subnational government |
| either positive or negative, affected | Opportunities | and subnational government offices has continued to be very |
| <u> </u> | Opportunities | and subnational government |

| guidance during the PMC |
|----------------------------------|
| meeting. Regular |
| communication/ consultation |
| between UN-Habitat and EE as |
| well as local authorities |
| including community |
| representatives also allowed the |
| smooth project implementation. |
| Although restrictions related to |
| the COVID-19 pandemic |
| including lockdowns |
| minimized in the country, |
| administrative and procurement |
| processes of the government |
| are not fully functional and |
| caused delays. In addition, the |
| prolonged formation process of |
| PMC in year 1 caused the delay |
| of initiation of the procurement |
| process under Component 3 |
| because their approval was |
| required for the process. |
| However, community |
| mobilization and most of the |
| planned capacity building |
| training were completed in year |
| 2 without much effect from the |
| procurement challenges as in- |
| person communications and |
| meetings are the main tools for |
| the activities under Outcomes 1 |
| and 2. |
| anu 2. |

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

| Climate Resilience Measures | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change? | |
| What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area? | |
| Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to | NIEs that received one or more readiness |
| grants) | |
| What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future | |

| concrete adaptation projects/programmes? | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, | |
| procedures or the experience from providing peer | |
| support, etc) from employing readiness grants been | |
| used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender | |
| issues, and environmental and social aspects in | |
| developing and implementing concrete | |
| projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to | |
| climate change? | |
| Concrete Adaptation Interventions | |
| What have been the lessons learned, both positive | |
| and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation | |
| interventions that would be relevant to the design and | |
| implementation of future projects/programmes | |
| implementing concrete adaptation interventions? | |
| What is the potential for the concrete adaptation | |
| interventions undertaken by the project/programme | |
| to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside | |
| the project area? | |
| Knowledge Management | |
| How has existing information/data/knowledge been | |
| used to inform project development and | |
| implementation? What kinds of | |
| information/data/knowledge were used? | |
| Has the existing information/data/knowledge been | |
| made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what | |
| chanels of dissemination have been used? | |
| Please list any knowledge products generated and | |
| include hyperlinks whenever posssible (e.g. project | |
| videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, | |
| case studies, tranining manuals, handbooks, strategies | |
| and plans developed, etc.) | |
| If learning objectives have been established, have | |
| they been met? Please describe. | |
| Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing | |
| or retrieving existing information (data or | |
| knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please | |
| provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data. | |
| | |
| Has the identification of learning objectives | |
| contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed? | |
| · · · | |
| Innovation | |
| Describe any innovative practices or technologies | |
| that figured prominently in this project. | |
| Complementarity/ Coherence with other cli | mate finance sources |
| Has the project been scaled-up from any other | |
| climate finance? Or has the project build upon any | |
| other climate finance initiative? | |
| If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the | |
| Fund/Organization. | |
| | |

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report? Not Applicable

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries % of female % of Youth **Total** beneficiaries beneficiaries Direct beneficiaries Baseline information supported by the project Indirect beneficiaries Baseline information supported by the project Total (direct + Baseline information indirect beneficiaries) Direct beneficiaries Target performance supported by the at completion project Indirect beneficiaries Target performance supported by the at completion project Total (direct + Target performance lindirect at completion beneficiaries) Direct beneficiaries Performance at midsupported by the term project Indirect beneficiaries Performance at midsupported by the term project Total (direct + Performance at midlindirect term beneficiaries) Direct beneficiaries Performance at supported by the completion project Indirect beneficiaries Performance at supported by the completion project Performance at Total (direct +

| completion | indirect beneficiaries) | | |
|------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | |

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

| | Number of targeted stakeholders - Total | Number of targeted stakeholders - % of female targeted | Hazards information generated and disseminated | Overall effectiveness |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Baseline information | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | |
| Performance at mid- | | | | |
| term | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | |

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

| | update risk and vulnerability assessments | Sector | Scale | Status |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|--------|
| Baseline information | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | |
| Performance at mid- | | | | |
| term | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | |

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

| | Category targeted | Hazara | 0 1 | Number of municipalities |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----|--------------------------|
| Baseline | | | | |
| information | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | |

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climateinduced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased

| | Number of staff | Number of staff targeted - % of female targeted | Sector | Capacity level |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Baseline information | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | |

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

| | Total staff trained | % of female staff trained | Туре |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | |

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

| | Type | Scale | Sector | Capacity Level |
|----------------------------------|------|-------|--------|----------------|
| Baseline information | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | |

Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

| | Number of beneficiaries | Scale | Sector | Capacity Level |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------|----------------|
| Baseline information | | | | |

| TD | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|-----|----------------|
| Target performance | | | | | | | |
| at completion | | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | | | | | | | |
| Performance at | | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | I | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Outcome 3: Strengtl reduction processes | nened aw | areness and o | wernship | of ad | aptation | and | l climate risk |
| Indicator 3.1: Increase i | n applicat | | | on res | ponses | | |
| | | Percentage of ta | | | | | |
| | | population apply | ying adapta | ation | Sector | | |
| | | measures | | | | | |
| Baseline information | | | | | | | |
| Target performance at co | | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | | | | | |
| Performance at completion | on | | | | | | |
| Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage and of appropriate respon | | argeted | - | | | - | l of awareness |
| Baseline information | | | 8 | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | | | | | |
| Performance at | | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | | |
| Output 3.2: Stengthened disseminate knowledge Indicator 3.2.1: No. of tea | and learni | ng | | | | | - |
| | No. of te | echnical tees/associations | % of wom in committes | _ | | | l of awareness |
| Baseline information | | | | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid term | - | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | I | |
| completion | | | | | | | |

| Indicator 3.2.2: No. of too relevant stakeholders | ls and guidelines develop | ed (thematic, sectoral, insti | itutional) and shared with |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | No. of tools and guidelines | Туре | Scale |
| Baseline information | 6 | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at | | | |
| completion | | | |
| Outcome 4: Increased and infrastructure as | | within relevant develo | pment sector services |
| Indicator 4.1: Increased changing and variable cl | - | opment sector services to | evolving needs from |
| | Project/programme sector | Geographical scale | Response level |
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | |
| | ta mus dues d'aveloue d | : | a |
| Core indicator 4.2: Asset | roduced, developed, | improved or strengthene | Changes in asset |
| | Sector | Targeted asset | (quantitative or qualitative) |
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | |
| response to climate chan | ge impacts, including varies of development sector | · | re assets strengthened in |
| | Number of services | Туре | Sector |
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at | + | <u> </u> | |

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress

Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress

| 8 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|------|--|--|
| | Natural resource improvement level | Sector | Туре | | |
| Baseline information | | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | | |

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthned in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

| | Natural asset or Ecosystem (type) | Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilita | Unit | Effectiveness of protection/rehabilita |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------------|
| Baseline information | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | |

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas

Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets

| | % of female headed households | Improvement level |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Baseline information | | |
| Target performance at completion | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | |
| Performance at completion | | |

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

| | | households | % increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline | Alternate Source |
|----------------------|--|------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Baseline information | | | | |

| | | | | i | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|
| Target performance | | | | | | |
| at completion | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid- | | | | | | |
| term | | | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | |
| Output 6 Targeted in change impacts, including Indicator 6.1.1: No. a | uding variability | • | | | | |
| community livelihood | • • • | r assets erea | ica of stren | guienea in supp | 511 01 | maryradar or |
| | I | | | | | A .1 4 - 4 ° |
| | Number of Assets | Type of A | ssets | Sector | | Adaptation strategy |
| Baseline information | | | | | | |
| Target performance | | | | | | |
| at completion | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid- | | | | | | |
| term | | | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Core Indicator 6.1.2 | | | decrease i | in income | | |
| | Number of ho (total number | | Incomo so | NI MOO | Inco | mo lovel (USD) |
| | project area) | in the | Income so | ource | linco | me level (USD) |
| Baseline information | | | | | | |
| Target performance a | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid-t | erm | | | | | |
| Performance at | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Outcome 7: Impr | oved nolicies and | d regulation | one that r | romote and | enfoi | rce resilience |
| measures | oved policies and | u regulati | ons that p | oniote and | | ecc resinence |
| Indicator 7: Climate | e change priorities a | re integrat | ed into nat | ional developm | ent st | rategy |
| | g- <u>F</u> | | Integration | | | |
| Baseline information | | | | | | |
| Target performance a | nt completion | | | | | |
| Performance at mid-t | | | | | | |
| Performance at comp | oletion | | | | | |
| Output 7:Improved | | | | - | | opment plans |
| Indicator 7.1: No. of p | | aujusieu ii | , audiess Cl | maw change 118 | N.S | |
| | No. of Policies introduced or adjusted | Sector | | Scale | | Туре |
| | | | | | | |

| lopment strategies with in Regulation | on | ate change priorities Effectiveness |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| evelopment Regulation | on | |
| s Regulation | | Effectiveness |
| lopment and diffusion | | |
| lonment and diffusion | 0 • 4 • | |
| lopment and diffusion | 6 • 4 • | |
| | scaled up, encour | aged and/or accelerated |
| innovative | hic Scale | Туре |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| tation practices, tools and t | S | |
| • | G4 . 4 | Effectiveness |
| ive s Sector | Status | |
| | Status | |
| t | tional level f innovative Geograp colled out, saled up, encou | |

| | No. of key findings generated | Туре | Effectiveness |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|---------------|
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | |
| 1 | | | 1 |