

Project Performance Report

Overview

| Period of Report (Dates) | 4/1/2022 - 3/31/2023 |
|---|--|
| Project Title | Ecosystem-Based Adaptation at Communities of the Central Forest Corridor in Tegucigalpa |
| Project Summary | As climate change brings more extreme weather, residents in and around Honduras' capital city have begun to struggle with adapting to the changing conditions. With financing from the Adaptation Fund, and working with Honduras' Secretaría de Recursos Naturales (SERNA), the UNDP has been building climate resilience in these and twelve other urban communities in Tegucigapla and the upper Choluteca watershed. In Campo Cielo, rooftop rainwater harvesting systems have been installed on thirty-eight homes. These direct water running off the roofs during rainfall events to a 63,000 liter storage tank at the General School San Martin, where it is used for the bathrooms, cleaning and in the school garden. In Cantarero Lopez, Adaptation Fund financing supported infrastructure improvements along the main road, including climate-proofing the road and sidewalks, and installing ditches to direct the rainfall out of the road. The overall objective of the project is to increase resilience to climate change and water-related risks for the most vulnerable population in Honduras through pilot activities and an overarching intervention to mainstream climate change considerations into water sector policies. The specific project objectives are: Strengthen institutional structures to mainstream climate risks into water resources management, national planning Assist in safeguarding water supplies of Tegucigalpa metro area against water scarcity and extreme climate events Build capacity and outreach to enable all stakeholders to respond to long-term climate change impacts |
| Database Number | AF00000104 |
| Implementing Entity (IE) | UN Development Programme |
| Type of IE | Multilateral Implementing Entity |
| Country(ies) | Honduras |
| Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water) | Central Forest Corridor (CFC) |
| Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point | Montserrat Xilotl |

| Project Milestones | |
|---|------------|
| AFB Approval Date | 3/17/2017 |
| IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date | 4/18/2017 |
| Start of Project/Programme | 3/4/2019 |
| Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable) | 12/30/2021 |
| Original Completion Date | 3/4/2024 |
| Revised Completion Date after approval of extension | |
| request (if applicable) | |

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

| List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them | | |
|--|--|--|
| Category of condition | | |
| Condition or Requirement | | |
| Current Status | | |
| Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule | | |

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each

The MTR has been prepared and submitted on December 2021

List the Website address (URL) of project

https://www.undp.org/es/honduras/proyectos/adaptacion-basada-en-ecosistemas-en-el-corredor-boscoso-central

| Project Contacts | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator Name Email | | Email | Date |
| National Project Manager | Emilia Dvicente | edvicente@serna.gob.hn | 8/1/2023 |
| Government(s) DA | Lucky Medina | despachoministerial@miambiente.gob.hn | 2/21/2022 |
| Implementing Entity | Astrid Mejia | astrid.mejia@undp.org | 2/21/2022 |

Financial Data

| Disbursement of AF grant funds | | |
|--|--|--|
| Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$) | \$3,341,632.00 | |
| Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$) | \$2,285,638.18 | |
| Project disbursement rate (%) | 82.78 | |
| Project execution rate (%) | 56.62 | |
| Add any comments on AF Grant Funds | For the period covered by this report, a total of US\$3,341,632 has been received corresponding to tranches 1, 2, 3 and 4. A total of US\$2,285,638.18 has been transferred to the project, corresponding to | |

| | US\$2,128,838.88 executed to December 2022, and |
|---|--|
| | an advance of US\$156,799.30 delivered in February |
| | 2023. From April 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022, |
| | US\$575,579.18 were executed. Of the |
| | US\$156,799.30 advance delivered in February 2023, |
| | US\$84,630.94 has been executed to March 31, 2023. |
| | Therefore, within the PPR4 period, from April 1, |
| | 2022 to March 31, 2023, US\$612,020.20 has been |
| | executed. Considering the amount executed in the |
| | PPR4 period and the execution reported up to PPR3 |
| | of US\$1,553,259.70, to date, a total of |
| | US\$2,213,469.82 has been executed, and within this |
| | amount, US\$211,804.60 has been used for project |
| | execution costs. USD 40,756 where received from |
| | the AF in the concept of IA fees as is reported below. |
| Investment Income (\$) | \$0.00 |
| Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$) | \$0.00 |

| Expenditure Data | | |
|--|--|--------------|
| Output | | Amount (\$) |
| Output 1.1. Strengthened coordination mechanisms for natural resources, including measures for the effective people | | \$36,900.81 |
| Output 1.2. Municipal level regulatory mechanisms st natural resources | rengthened for adaptive management of | \$10,055.81 |
| Output 1.3. Municipal level plans are revised and new interventions | ly established to harmonize adaptation | \$87,076.05 |
| Output 1.4. Payment for Ecosystem (Watershed) Serv operationalized for CC adaptation measures | ices (PES) schemes developed and | \$15,919.32 |
| Output 2.1. Pine and Mixed Forest areas damaged by drought-induced pest and fire hazards are reforested | | \$102,724.47 |
| Output 2.2. Protection measures are introduced agains unsustainable forest use, assisting natural regeneration | | \$133,881.82 |
| Output 2.3. Drought management adaptation measures water resources for agriculture and domestic use | s implemented to optimize the use of | \$82,117.80 |
| Output 3.1. Applied research carried out to enhance knamongst climate change, drought, pests, fires and adapted to the control of the control | <u> </u> | \$35,524.59 |
| Output 3.2. Strengthened National Climate Change Observatory for Sustainable Development (ONCCDS) | | \$35,833.04 |
| Output 3.3. Community early warning and monitoring system for bark beetle pest outbreak under CFC Platform | | \$42,621.57 |
| Output 3.4. Systematized and disseminated project kn | owledge and experience | \$29,364.92 |
| IE fee (\$) | | \$40,756.00 |
| Execution cost (\$) | | \$48,189.92 |

| Planned Expenditure Schedule | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Projected Cost (\$) | Estimated Completion Date |
| Output 1.1. Strengthened coordination mechanisms for climate-resilient | \$116,282.03 | 3/1/2024 |

| management of CFC natural resources, including measures | sures for the | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| effective participation of women and indigenous people | | | |
| Output 1.2. Municipal level regulatory mechanisms stradaptive management of natural resources | rengthened for | \$39,728.80 | 3/1/2024 |
| Output 1.3. Municipal level plans are revised and new harmonize adaptation interventions | ly established to | \$40,148.71 | 3/1/2024 |
| Output 1.4. Payment for Ecosystem (Watershed) Servi developed and operationalized for CC adaptation measurements. | | \$39,373.02 | 3/1/2024 |
| Output 2.1. Pine and Mixed Forest areas damaged by opest and fire hazards are reforeste | drought-induced | \$499,431.30 | 3/1/2024 |
| Output 2.2. Protection measures are introduced against fires, pests, land use change, and unsustainable forest use, assisting natural regeneration of forests | | \$518,754.90 | 3/1/2024 |
| Output 2.3. Drought management adaptation measures implemented to optimize the use of water resources for agriculture and domestic use | | \$298,019.75 | 3/1/2024 |
| Output 3.1. Applied research carried out to enhance knowledge and information on the links amongst climate change, drought, pests, fires and adaptation measures in the CFC | | \$44,883.36 | 3/1/2024 |
| Output 3.2. Strengthened National Climate Change Observatory for Sustainable Development (ONCCDS) | | \$48,506.57 | 3/1/2024 |
| Output 3.3. Community early warning and monitoring system for bark beetle pest outbreak under CFC Platform | | \$13,543.83 | 3/1/2024 |
| Output 3.4. Systematized and disseminated project knowledge and experience | | \$35,652.95 | 3/1/2024 |
| IE fee (\$) | | | \$35,442.00 |
| Execution cost (\$) | | \$128,794.96 | |

| Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have | 1 8 |
|--|--------|
| period, do not report on actual co-financing | 5) |
| Does this Project have Co-Financing? | No |
| How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$) | \$0.00 |
| Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$) | \$0.00 |
| Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of inkind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing. | |

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

| IIdantitiad Rick | Current Status | Steps taken to mitigate risk |
|------------------|-------------------|--|
| Government | High | The risk remains high given that, during the reporting period, a new |

| | 1 | |
|---------------------|----------|---|
| change in 2018 | | government cabinet was appointed with new authorities and a new vision by |
| (national | | SERNA. As a result, there were changes in the project's technical team |
| elections) results | | and implementation strategy. To date, the project has new staff members in |
| in changing | | the position of 5 local technicians; the gender position has been vacant as of |
| priorities that are | | April 2023. An empowered and involved environmental and social safeguards |
| not fully aligned | | specialist is required for all project activities. The Monitoring and Evaluation |
| with the expected | | position was not filled from December 2022 to April 2023, creating a gap in |
| results of the | | project data management. To mitigate this risk UNDP has been providing |
| project | | extensive support and training to the new project members and has |
| | | continuoslly been lobbying the govenment to fill missing positions. To |
| | | mitigate the risk of changes in the CBC municipal authorities, the Project |
| | | Coordinator has personally approached the Mayors of 13 out of 14 of the |
| | | municipalities and maintains contact with the Municipal Water and Sanitation |
| | | Unit of the Central District. In order to mitigate the risk of project operation |
| | | due to the change of technical personnel, the Project Coordinator and the |
| | | Administrative Assistant have assumed some functions to maintain the |
| | | dynamics of the project. In addition, the only local technician who has |
| | | remained since the previous reporting period has assumed some functions, |
| | | including training of the incoming technicians. Also, contracting processess |
| | | are on the way. As part of the new implementation strategy, SERNA has |
| | | proposed the involvement of its Technical Units of the Secretariat and the |
| | | Academy (FUNDAUNAH), instead of CSOs and NGOs, to develop outputs |
| | | of the 3 results. |
| | | To date, the Project Coordinator has personally approached the Mayors of 13 |
| | | of the 14 municipalities and maintains contact with the Municipal Water and |
| | | Sanitation Unit of the Central District. The benefits of the project have been |
| | | socialized at the community level, including the LVGs. This has mitigated the |
| Governance | | possibility of generating tensions in the municipalities and communities. To |
| tensions or | | date, the project has not received any complaints related to tensions or |
| potential conflicts | Low | conflicts at the community level, either directly or indirectly, nor have they |
| at community | | been picked up by those responsible. Regarding the implementation of the |
| level | | project's GRM, nine mailboxes have been installed in the municipalities |
| | | of Villa de San Francisco, Cantarranas, Valle de Ángeles, Cedros, Talanga, |
| | | San Antonio de Oriente, Tatumbla, Santa Lucia, and San Buena Ventura. Five |
| | | mailboxes will be installed in the municipalities of Santa Ana, Lepaterique, |
| | | Ojojona, Villa de San Antonio and Distrito Central on the following period. |
| | | To date, the risk remains high, given that the project has not been able to |
| | | implement the CBC's political and technical platform. As part of the activities |
| | | that the project has carried out to advance with the platform, the |
| Political will | | Environmental Management Directorate (known by its spanish acronym, |
| diminishes and | | DGA) was integrated. However, the actions taken by the DGA to hire trained |
| impacts | | personnel to install the platform and then hire companies for this purpose have |
| coordination | High | not been successful despite three rounds of procurement processes that failed |
| among different | | to identify bidders with the required profile to perform the work. To mitigate |
| CFC | | the risk, the project has held discussions with FUNDAUNAH to draft an |
| municipalities. | | amendment to the letter of agreement to support the installation of the CBC |
| | | platform through the Honduran Institute of Earth Sciences (known by its |
| | | spanish acronym, IHCIT). Conveniently, the IHCIT has the credibility to |
| | | maintain the political will for the project. |
| | <u> </u> | The prevailing high temperatures and lack of rain have contributed to human |
| Unexpectedly | | casualties related to forest fires, and are a constant threat in the project's area |
| extreme climatic | | of intervention. However, there are no reports of burns in the restored areas. |
| events threaten | High | To mitigate this risk, the project is supporting in strengthening the municipal |
| forest restoration | | brigades with firefighting equipment and training them in its use. Also, |
| efforts. | | through LVGs included in ICFs Letter of Agreement, and in cooperation with |
| | 1 | junousin in the inference of Agreement, and in cooperation with |

| | <u> </u> | 41 |
|--|----------|--|
| | | the municipalities, there are plans to strengthen the community brigades with the delivery of protective equipment and training them in its use. |
| Lack of political will and coordination for designing and installing payments for ecosystem services | High | The project has socialized with the CBC municipalities the need to include this payment. To date, the municipalities of Cantarranas and Villa de San Francisco have voluntarily included these payments at an amount that they have established at their discretion. As part of the project's actions for the Payments for Ecosystem Services study can be socialized and then applied, the DGA and the General Directorate of Water Resources (known by its spanish acronym, DGRH) have been assigned to carry out this task. This is due to the experience of the DGA and DGRH with municipalities in the socialization and validation of PES. The mentioned directorates have achieved that the municipalities adopt PES after a participatory process with local representatives. |
| Problems of legal security of land ownership in the CFC | Moderate | The project's technical team is working to raise awareness and sensitize the different CBC stakeholders with whom conflicts could arise due to land legal security problems, in order to promote Natural Resources protection, protection of riparian strips and the implementation of agroforestry systems for livestock, basic grains and coffee production, as measures to adapt to the new climate change scenarios. By incorporating the Municipal Development Plans or Land Management Plans, it is expected that the risk of conflicts will be lower. |
| Changes and turn over in government staff | High | The risk remains high, given that during the reporting period, there were changes at the managerial and technical level in the project. To date, the project lacks gender staff and there is a need for an empowered environmental and social safeguards specialist involved in all project activities. Some documentation that was generated years ago has not been located at this time; the Monitoring and Evaluation position was not filled from December 2022 to April 2023, creating a gap in the management of project data. The Executing Agency has planned to hire gender, environmental and social safeguards staff, and communications staff in order to implement a knowledge platform. UNDP is providing support in mitigating this risk through its own information repository and continued role within the project. A project website was established by UNDP to serve as online respository of project information. Additionally, the UNAH maintains, to a reasonable extent, documentation from the first intervention of the adaptation fund and is concluding its own knowledge mangement resources of this current intervetion. Capacities provided to the UNAH through the Adaptation Fund interventions have proven to be sutainable and create an imortant knowledge base that is used by university researchers and civil society. These resources will make the project's knowledge sustainable. |
| Corruption and lack of transparency by municipalities and communities in management of small-grants | Low | The implementation of the project is subject to annual audits to review the efficient use of the project's financial resources. For the implementation of the Low Value Grants to be allocated to finance adaptation measures on the ground in the 14 municipalities of the CBC, an operations manual based on GEF SGP procedures has been prepared. Those responsible will be in charge of implementing the approved LVG funds for each municipal/community project following this manual. In addition, the Interinstitutional Technical Committee follows the provisions of the Manual for the approval of projects, which have previously been evaluated by technicians from the relevant institutions, SANAA and ICF. |

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? Yes

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

| Comment | | | |
|---|-------------------|---|--|
| Identified Risk | Current Status | Steps taken to mitigate risk | |
| Lack of consultation processes for the Lenca people may result in complaints which may delay or halt project's activities. | Low | The project has ensured coordination and communication with the National Organization of Indigenous Lenca (known by its spanish acronym, ONILH) and the Lenca Indigenous Council of Mesa Grande (known by its spanish acronym, COLIMESA). Also, within the quarterly follow-up meetings, in which the progress of the project is discussed, project profiles have been developed to address concerns, priorities and ensure participation of Lenca people, to the extent deemed appropriate, in order to reduce constraints that their communities have at the local level, along with community leaders and indigenous organizations of the MIA Network and ALPAE (Lepateriquense Association of Organic Agricultural Producers). These spaces have had a positive effect. | |
| COVID19 pandemic | Low | Maintain biosecurity measures at the time of meetings and other actions carried out by the project team and partners. | |
| Municipal capacities are limited in the management of budget sources provided for environmental action (provided by national government sources). | | This risk presents a potential threat to the sustainability of the project's objectives. The project has been working to provide capacity building to several municipal authorities to include environmental management within their work plans, as well as capacity building to better manage centrally provided resources. It has also been working with municipalities and local communities to identify the value of ecosystems in water sources. These measures create greater awareness with municipal authorities about the value of investing in the environment, while working with stakeholders provides the opportunity to identify alternative sources of investment. Finally, work to improve and formalize the CBC will create an enabling mechanism to ensure that municipalities have the capacity to invest in environmental actions. The project is conducting a bidding process to strengthen municipal brigades with firefighting equipment and training in its use. Also, through ICF, in cooperation with the municipalities, there are plans to strengthen the community brigades with the delivery of protective equipment and training in its use, through the LVGs. Finally, the project is working on the preparation and socialization of SATs against pests and fires. | |
| Non-compliance with project indicators and outputs, given the high turnover of staff and the lack of intervention of key specialists (gender, SAS and Monitoring and Evaluation). | High | Procurement processes are being expedited in order to complete the staff. The project's monitoring and evaluation personnel, who had been absent since December 2022, has been hired, and the gender specialist process is about to begin. | |

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

Given the changes in government, the Project Coordinator has personally approached the Mayors of 13 of the 14 municipalities and maintains contact with the Municipal Water and Sanitation Unit of the Central

District. To mitigate the risk of project operation given the constant changes in the Executing Agency, the Project Coordinator and the Administrative Assistant have supported technical and administrative activities. In addition, the only local technician who has remained during the reporting period has assumed some functions, including training of incoming technicians. This has been effective in continuing project activities with municipal stakeholders, however, project activities have been slowed by the lack of personnel. Therefore, the risk remains high. Given the prevailing high temperatures and lack of rainfall, human related forest fires have been exacerbated. To mitigate this risk, the project is conducting a bidding process to strengthen the municipal brigades with firefighting equipment and training in its use. Also, through LVGs included in ICF Letter of Agreement, and in cooperation with the municipalities, there are plans to strengthen the community brigades with the delivery of protective equipment and training in its use. Even so, due to the high incidence of forest fires in the area, this risk remains high. Given the possible social risks due to the lack of consultation with the Lenca communities, the project has held quarterly follow-up meetings to discuss the progress of activities, as well as to prepare project profiles to address their needs and limitations, with community leaders and indigenous organizations from the MIA Network and ALPAE (Asociación Lepateriquense de Productores Agropecuarios Orgánicos). The project has ensured coordination and communication with the Organización Nacional del Indígena Lenca (ONILH) and the Consejo Indígena Lenca de Mesa Grande (COLIMESA). UNDP continues backstopping the project's Environmental and Social Safeguards. During the reporting year, the project worked to socialize again its strategy with newly elected officials, to ensure continuity and reduce delays. This was considered effective in allowing the project to continue to gain relevance and political commitment. In addition, the project established a series of field missions to ensure stakeholder participation in project implementation. More importantly, it was able to coordinate with various project partners in its acceleration strategy to reduce project delays. In terms of COVID mitigation measures, the following were implemented: - Request for vaccination card or proof of negative COVID test. - Providing biosafety kits to participants in project activities. - Maintain and implement the biosafety measures established by the government. Finally, during the period covered by this report, actions were initiated on the implementation of the project's GRM; nine mailboxes have been installed in the municipalities of Villa de San Francisco, Cantarranas, Valle de Ángeles, Cedros, Talanga, San Antonio de Oriente, Tatumbla, Santa Lucia and San Buena Ventura. Five mailboxes remain to be installed for the following period in the municipalities of Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Antonio and Distrito Central. This allows mitigating risks in terms of lack of involvement and/or to manage potential conflicts at the community level.

ESP Compliance

| Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Was the ESP risks identification complete at the tir | me of funding approval? Yes | |
| 1.Compliance with the law | | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | No | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require | | |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | | |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the | | |

| safeguard measures by activity. | |
|--|--|
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact | |
| identified. | |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring | |
| indicator | |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been | |
| implemented during the reporting period | |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact | |
| identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that | |
| will be taken | |
| 2.Access and equity | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table | |
| II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact | |
| assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | |
| Have impacts been identified that require | No |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | 1) A potential negative impact has been identified in the access and equity for beneficiaries to adaptation measures and technologies proposed by the project. 2) potential changes to water tariffs and access restrictions to forest resources may limit availability/accessibility to some basic services. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | 1) the project will establish and implement transparent and clear criteria, which will be socialized into the coordination mechanism of local and community organizations, as well in the CFC Platform and partner institutions, on how the selection of interventions sites and direct beneficiaries will be done, and who and how will have access to ground measures, and related capacity build support and information services to be provided by the project, particularly under component two. 2) The AF Project will support the replication of the municipal level Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) scheme from Tatumbla introduced through the previous AF project. Its implementation involved the revision of the water tariff system to internalize the costs of protection and maintenance of water source and recharge areas. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Not identified during project development |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Not identified during project development |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | 1) the project has socialized the coordination mechanism to local, community and indigenous peoples' organizations, on how the selection of intervention sites and direct beneficiaries will be carried out, and who and how they will have access to the actions on the ground, and the information and support services related to capacity building that will |

| be provided. 2) The Project has advanced with the implementation of the Payment for Ecosystem Services (PES) scheme at the municipal level in Cantarranas (San Juan de Flores), Villa de San Francisco and Talanga, according to their own calculation, to internalize the costs of protection and maintenance of water source and recharge areas on a voluntary basis. |
|---|
| n/a |
| n/a |
| |
| Yes |
| No |
| Marginalized groups, could potentially be excluded from fully participating in decisions that may affect them. |
| These groups will be analyzed in the project inception phase and prioritized for adaptation interventions. The stakeholder engagement process will be conducted in a similar inclusive fashion as it was for the proposal preparation phase consultations, assuring broad representation of existing relevant community-based organizations/groups. The CFC Platform will also facilitate broad stakeholder consultation processes in participatory ways. |
| Not identified during project development |
| Not identified during project development |
| The stakeholder engagement process was carried out in a participatory and inclusive manner starting with municipal authorities, then with local organizations present in the communities of each municipality within the CBC. The CBC Platform will also facilitate broad stakeholder consultation processes in a participatory manner. |
| n/a |
| n/a |
| |
| No |
| |
| |

| Have impacts been identified that require | |
|---|--|
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard | |
| measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, | |
| management or mitigation) identified for each impact | |
| that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented | |
| during the reporting period. Please break down the | |
| safeguard measures by activity. | |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact | |
| identified. | |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring | |
| indicator | |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been | |
| implemented during the reporting period | |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact | |
| identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | |
| | |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that | |
| will be taken | |
| 5.Gender equality and women's empowerm | ent |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table | Yes |
| II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | 168 |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact | |
| assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | |
| Have impacts been identified that require | No |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| | There is a risk of discrimination against women |
| | based on gender, especially regarding participation in |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard | design and implementation or access to opportunities |
| measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | and benefits, as women may be excluded from |
| | decision-making or not adequately participate in the |
| | design/implementation of the Project. |
| | To ensure that the project does not exclude women, |
| | or increases the inequality gap, a gender analysis will |
| | be undertaken in the first phase of the project to |
| | assess divisions of labor and women's role and |
| | access to resources and to develop recommendations |
| | on how project will promote women's equality and |
| | empowerment, including participation in project |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, | decision-making. Measures will ensure that women |
| management or mitigation) identified for each impact | • |
| that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented | status and interests are not marginalized. Women |
| during the reporting period. Please break down the | representation in project decision-making bodies (e.g. |
| safeguard measures by activity. | Project Board, CFC Platform, community water |
| · | boards) will be ensured. Participatory processes will |
| | include specially designed methodologies that |
| | enhance the participation of women and therefore |
| | enhance the inclusion of their views into the activities |
| | of the project, using existing mechanisms for |
| | representing women's views, such as the Municipal |
| | Office of Women and women's associations. |
| | |

| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Not identified during project development |
|---|--|
| | |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Not identified during project development |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | A gender diagnosis has been carried out to assess the divisions of labor and the role of women and access to resources, and then through analysis, develop recommendations on how the project will promote women's equality and empowerment, including participation in the project's decision making. A draft action plan has been generated that includes measures that will ensure that women have real participation and receive an equitable share of the benefits and that their status and interests are not marginalized. Women's representation in project decision-making bodies (e.g., Project Board) is being promoted. Participatory processes have utilized existing mechanisms to represent women's views, such as the Municipal Women's Office and women's associations. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | n/a |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | n/a |
| 6.Core labour rights | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | No |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring in dicator. | |
| indicator Describe each safeguard measure that has been | |
| implemented during the reporting period | |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | |
| 7.Indigenous people | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |

| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | |
|--|--|
| Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | No |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | The area of the CFC involves 3 Municipalities (Ojojona, Santa Ana and Lepaterique) that feature Lenca indigenous communities. These communities have been directly engaged during the proposal preparation consultations. The Project does not foresee any change or negative impact on the current livelihood of these communities or their natural resource base, in fact it will promote the use of ancestral knowledge and will support the implementation of adaptive techniques to their current livelihood activities. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | The area of the CFC involves 3 Municipalities (Ojojona, Santa Ana and Lepaterique) that feature Lenca indigenous communities. These communities have been directly engaged during the proposal preparation consultations. The Project does not foresee any change or negative impact on the current livelihood of these communities or their natural resource base, in fact it will promote the use of ancestral knowledge and will support the implementation of adaptive techniques to their current livelihood activities. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Not identified during project development |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Not identified during project development |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | The CFC area involves 3 municipalities (Ojojona, Santa Ana and Lepaterique) with Lenca indigenous peoples. These communities have been directly involved during project profiling consultations according to their needs, constraints and potential, and are currently in the process of implementation, such as improvements to catchment and irrigation systems, and bio-factories. The Project does not foresee any changes or negative impacts on the current livelihoods of these communities or their natural resource base, in fact, it is promoting the use of ancestral and cosmopolitan knowledge in the implementation of adaptation measures to their current livelihood activities. As an example, the implementation of activities according to the phases of the moon and the use of ash in the elaboration of organic fertilizers in their biofactories. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | n/a |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | n/a |
| 8.Involuntary resettlement | |

| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | No |
|--|-----|
| During project/programme formulation, an impact | |
| assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | |
| Have impacts been identified that require | |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard | |
| measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, | |
| management or mitigation) identified for each impact | |
| that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented | |
| during the reporting period. Please break down the | |
| safeguard measures by activity. | |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact | |
| identified. | |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring | |
| indicator | |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been | |
| implemented during the reporting period | |
| | |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | |
| | |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | |
| | |
| 9.Protection of natural habitats | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table | No |
| TT TT (TT T A DECK) A 1 | INO |
| II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | No |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact | INO |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | INO |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require | INO |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable | INO |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | INO |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard | INO |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | INO |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator Describe each safeguard measure that has been | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period Describe the residual impact for each impact | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) Describe remedial action for residual impacts that | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) Describe remedial action for residual impacts that | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | |

| II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | |
|--|---|
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | No |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | Potential use of alien and invasive alien species, although forest restoration will only involve planting of more resilient native tree species. Restoration activities in degraded forest areas will occur in some protected areas. Targeted productive sectors (e.g. agriculture) are expanding in some environmentally sensitive areas. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | To mitigate this risk, the work will be undertaken following the establishment of a restoration protocol/guide for CFC municipalities integrating climate change and variability. This will also involve enhanced techniques such as the use of more resilient native tree varieties, ensuring that the plants used for reforestation and completion in areas affected by the bark beetle plague and wildfires are native and appropriate. The Project will also promote reforestation of degraded forests, where the communities use forestry resources (wood, charcoal, resin extraction). In this sense, reforestation activities will be designed to enhance biodiversity and ecosystem services of degraded areas. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Not identified during project development |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Not identified during project development |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | To mitigate this risk, the work is being carried out following ICF's institutional protocol of the National Reforestation Program that integrates climate change and variability adaptation measures. The project encourages and promotes natural regeneration and reforestation of degraded forests, where communities use forest resources (timber, charcoal, resin extraction). Reforestation activities will be designed to improve biodiversity and ecosystem services in degraded areas, prioritizing water recharge areas. Reforestation will be implemented as an adaptation measure using more resistant native tree varieties, ensuring that the plants used for reforestation and to complete the areas affected by the bark beetle plague and forest fires are native and appropriate to the region of the CFC territory. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | n/a |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | n/a |
| 11.Climate change | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table | Yes |

| [| T |
|--|---|
| II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | |
| Have impacts been identified that require | No |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | The project is directly addressing climate change vulnerabilities and adaptation capacities in the Central Forest Corridor, and while it directly promotes adaptation measures, adverse impacts of extreme climatic events (particularly drought) can affect forest and agricultural areas and related livelihoods. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | To mitigate these risks, the project will be directly supporting the implementation of adaptation measures at the ecosystems and community level as well, including the reforestation of areas affected by the drought-induced bark beetle plague, protection of a broader forest area through introducing pest and fire control and monitoring mechanisms, and through introducing on-the-ground adaptation measures on water resource management for human consumption and agricultural use, as well as more sustainable forestry resource use practices in communities. Other risk management measures include expanded research and monitoring of climate impacts, adoption of Early Warning Systems, and strengthened regulations and enforcement to combat illegal/unsustainable practices. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Not identified during project development |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Not identified during project development |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | The project directly supports the implementation of adaptation measures at the ecosystem and community level, including reforestation and restoration of areas affected by the drought-induced bark beetle pest, composting, implementation of EWS against forest fires and forest pests such as Dendroctonus frontalis and ipps, as well as, the implementation of water harvesting, drip irrigation systems, diversification of production, family and school vegetable gardens, and the strengthening of regulations and enforcement to combat illegal or unsustainable practices in the forest. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | n/a |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | n/a |
| 12.Pollution prevention and resource efficient | ency |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | Yes |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | No |
| | |

| Have impacts been identified that require | |
|---|---|
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | Through the project, producers could also adopt improved farming techniques (e.g. organic agriculture, soil and water conservation) that would reduce the use of fertilizers and pesticides, thus reducing the contamination of soil and water bodies. There may be a risk of application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health. |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | Through the project, producers could also adopt improved farming techniques (e.g. organic agriculture, soil and water conservation) that would reduce the use of fertilizers and pesticides, thus reducing the contamination of soil and water bodies. There may be a risk of application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health. |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | Not identified during project development |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator | Not identified during project development |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period | Producers have adopted improved agricultural measures, such as the implementation of bio-factories (processing of liquid and solid organic fertilizers) to significantly reduce the use of fertilizers and pesticides, thus reducing soil and water pollution. |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | n/a |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | n/a |
| 13.Public health | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | No |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require | |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified. | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity. List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact | |

| . 1 (11: (1 () 11 | |
|---|----|
| implemented during the reporting period | |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact | |
| identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that | |
| will be taken | |
| 14.Physical and cultural heritage | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table | No |
| II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact | |
| assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | |
| Have impacts been identified that require | |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard | |
| measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, | |
| management or mitigation) identified for each impact | |
| that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented | |
| during the reporting period. Please break down the | |
| safeguard measures by activity. | |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact | |
| identified. | |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring | |
| indicator | |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been | |
| implemented during the reporting period | |
| Describe the residual impact for each impact | |
| identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | |
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that | |
| will be taken | |
| 15.Lands and soil conservation | |
| Are environmental or social risks present as per table | N |
| II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? | No |
| During project/programme formulation, an impact | |
| assessment was carried out for the risks identified. | |
| Have impacts been identified that require | |
| management actions to prevent unacceptable | |
| impacts? (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List the identified impacts for which safeguard | |
| measures are required (as per II.K/II.L) | |
| List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, | |
| management or mitigation) identified for each impact | |
| that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented | |
| during the reporting period. Please break down the | |
| safeguard measures by activity. | |
| List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact | |
| identified. | |
| State the baseline condition for each monitoring | |
| indicator | |
| Describe each safeguard measure that has been | |
| implemented during the reporting period | |
| 1 1 0 1 - 0 1 | 1 |

| Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s) | |
|--|--|
| Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken | |

| Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required | | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|--|
| Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out? | Yes | | | |
| Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period? | No | | | |
| If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated | | | | |

| Section 3: Categorisation | |
|--|-----|
| Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant? | Yes |
| If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization. | |

Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?

With the support of the UNDP Regional office, CO Honduras has reiterated that the AdaptarC technical team has to update the project's ESP tools and ensure compliance with SERNA and UNDP's SES in the implementation of project activities. SERNA has been requested that the Safeguards specialist has to be in charge of generating a structure within the institution for the project to establish guidelines for updating, identifying and addressing potential environmental and social impacts within the Environmental and Social Management Framework. This has additionally been a commitment that was established during the visit of the project's RTA, and that the country office has been responsible for following up on through communications to the Projects Coordination Office Manager (known by its spanish acronym, OCP). Also, during the visit of the RTA, and in the meetings that were held with the responsible partners, the tools for the compliance of the Environmental and Social Safeguards, SESP and ESMF, have been reminded. In order to strengthen the knowledge of the technical teams in the implementation of the safeguards, both the RTA of the project and UNDP Honduras have extended an invitation to the Project Coordination and Technical Team to participate in the series of Webinars -Review of the Social and Environmental Principles and Standards. This Webinar includes 6 sessions which including on UNDP's SESP principales and processes including on the preparation and review of

the SESP. The project does not yet have a defined structure for monitoring environmental and social safeguards. However, it has generated greater awareness within the team to ensure that potential environmental risks are being addressed in a daily basis. The placement of mailboxes in 9 of 14 municipalities in the CBC has been effective, given the agreement reached with the municipal authorities to install them, and that they contain information from UNDP and the Adaptation Fund that allows residents to submit their complaints. To date, no complaints have been received inside the mailboxes. Have the implementation arrangements been Yes effective during the reporting period? A mechanism for handling complaints has been installed in nine CBC municipalities: Villa de San Francisco, Cantarranas, Valle de Ángeles, Cedros, Talanga, San Antonio de Oriente, Tatumbla, Santa Lucia and San Buena Ventura. Information has been placed on the mailboxes so that residents can file

What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?

complaints. To date, no complaints have been received. Five mailboxes have yet to be installed in the municipalities of Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Antonio and Distrito Central. It is expect to install the remaining mailboxes in the following period. The technical team has been invited to the series of Webinars - Review of Social and Environmental Principles and Standards that UNDP has implemented, in order to obtain basic training in Environmental and Social Safeguards. In addition, the project has followed up on the meetings held with indigenous organizations. In the Project's RTA mission held in February 2023, the Project Coordinating Office Coordinator made a commitment to establish a safeguards structure that would include up to 3 managers, of which 1 would address the project. In addition, a commitment was made for the project team to participate in UNDPmanaged trainings. SANAA, which signed a responsible partner agreement in April 2023, has demonstrated that it has complied with these requirements by ensuring good socialization of measures and regulatory compliance in its projects. This will be useful when managing the LVG (low value grant) funds, whose manual includes the obligation to comply with the project management plan. The project has not yet been effectively monitored to ensure compliance with environmental and social safeguards. However, the project with the support of UNDP, Regional and Honduras CO, has provided support through a plan for the training of project implementers (technicians, coordinators, responsible partners, etc), in UNDP's Social and Environmental Policies, which includes the series of Webinars - Review of Social and Environmental

| | Principles and Standards. |
|---|---------------------------|
| Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period? | Yes |

| Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs. | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place? | | | | |
| Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details. | | | | |
| Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled? | | | | |
| Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period? | | | | |

| Identified USPs in the reporting period | | ESP risks identified | impact assessment | impacts | disaggregation to identify | measures | for each |
|--|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
|--|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------|----------------------------|----------|----------|

| Section 6: Grievances | |
|--|-----------|
| Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts? | Partially |
| Were grievances received during the reporting period? | No |

| List all grievances received during the reporting period | For each grievance, | |
|--|------------------------|----------------|
| regarding environmental and social impacts; gender | provide information on | Provide the |
| related matters; or any other matter of | the grievance redress | status/outcome |
| project/programme activities | process | |

Comments

With regards to the grievance mechanism, Partially. Complaint mechanism protocols have been identified, and 9 of 14 community mailboxes have been installed (municipalities of Villa de San Francisco, Cantarranas, Valle de Angeles, Cedros, Talanga, San Antonio de Oriente, Tatumbla, Santa Lucia and San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Antonio and Central District, which will be installed in the following period). The RTA field mission has socialized the existence of this mechanism, as well as the corresponding to UNDP and the Adaptation Fund. In the mailboxes that have been placed in the municipalities, and related to gender issues, there is a Follow-up Mechanism which has been designated to the WMOs. In addition, as part of the visibility actions, stickers have been placed in the mailboxes showing the contacts of the Adaptation Fund and UNDP to whom people can turn to in case of project complaints.

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

| Gender- responsive element | Level | Indicator | Baseline | - | Rated result for the reporting period |
|---|--------|---|--|--|---|
| Improved water supply for women | Output | Number of families (% including female-headed households) with enhanced water supply services | 8,000 families in CFC have improved their water supply system through the pilot initiatives of the first AF project, but it was not specified how many families with women as heads of household were benefited. | 12,000 families receive enhanced water supply services (at least a 20% of these families are female-headed households) | Good |
| Capacity- building on CCA for women | Output | training-acquired | as a result of First AF project). | local-level decision-makers, etc.) are trained (at least 50% | Good |

| Gender considerations in municipal planning for climate change adaptation | Output | Number of Municipal level plans revised and or newly established integrating CC risks and considerations with a gender approach (based on gender analysis and sex- disaggregated data) | and Forest Protection Plans in all municipalities with the support of the current AF project, but they need to be revised and updated following the 2016 bark beetle plague outbreak in order to better respond to its effects and prepare for such future risks. In the remaining 9 municipalities CC is not integrated into development plans. Plans for Micro basins have been established in 25 | By the end of the project: -14 PDM/PM-OT have been updated with climate change as a cross-cutting issue14 Municipal Forest Protection Plans (PPFM) have been updated considering climate risks3 sub-basins management plans have been established to improve water management in high populated areas of the CFC.** **See "Project Indicators" tab for the proposed changes in project indicator | Good |
|---|--------|--|---|--|------|
| | | | have been | changes in project indicator | |
| Knowledge management | Output | Lessons learned and best practices (including on gender aspects) generated by the project are captured and disseminated | 0 | least 2 of them on gender issues), using different multi- media forms and dissemination | Good |

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the

project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

| Gender equality and women's | Rated result for the | Provide justification of the |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| empowerment issues | reporting period | rating provided |

| Section 3: Implementation arrangements | |
|---|--|
| What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP | During the reporting period, the UNDP CO has followed up on the request to the EE to comply with PRODOC and maintain a Gender Specialist in the project team. A CO gender specialist has been in communication with the gender specialist in the project team for general induction and coordination. The UNDP gender specialist has conducted a review of the project's Gender Policy and these observations have been transferred to the project's gender specialist to improve the project's implementation of gender-sensitive actions and their impact. |
| Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period? | Yes |
| What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP? | As achievements and as progress in gender issues: 1. the gender diagnosis was updated in the CBC, obtaining 77 diagnoses that will help identify the next steps by municipality with the support of the OMMs. 2. To date, the project benefited with trainings, planning meetings, workshops, delivery of materials and tools, delivery of risk system kits, among others, approximately 2243 participants, distributed according to gender as follows: 903 women, 1053 men and 287 young people. These figures include the Lenca population. 3. An introductory meeting was held with the group of women producers "Mi Tierra" to carry out the first gender systematization. 4. Draft action plan for the 14 Municipalities OMMs were finalized, of which 13 have already been activated (except for the one corresponding to the Central District) and are being monitored by the specialist with the support of the area technician. In the past, the OMM in each municipality had a minimum visible role in supporting women in the community. Today, the OMM is responsible for the follow-up of local problems that arrive through the mailbox of complaints and claims related to women in the 9 municipalities in which they have been installed. |
| Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period? | Yes |
| Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented? | No |

Section 4: Grievances

| Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment? | Yes |
|---|-----|
| Were grievances received during the reporting period? | No |

| List all grievances received through the grievance | For each grievance, provide | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| mechanism during the reporting period regarding | information on the | Provide the |
| gender-related matters of project/programme | grievance redress process | status/outcome |
| activities [6] | used | |

Comments

Nine mailboxes were installed within the CBC in which the OMM of each municipality is responsible for following up on complaints and claims sent by a specific woman or group of women. As part of the field activities, the technicians have emphasized the grievance mechanism of the project, UNDP and the Adaptation Fund, which is referenced in each of the mailboxes by means of stickers. With this mechanism, women in the municipalities that previously did not have a voice or a means of help for environmental problems, violence and empowerment, now, through the delegation of responsibility from the municipalities to the OMM for the follow-up and monitoring of complaints received by women, empower women and help them to request support from the different entities that protect women. This is one of the project's achievements.

Rating

| Implementing Entity | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|
| Project components/outcomes | Alignment with AF outcomes | Expected Progress | Progress to date | Rating |
| Strengthening of local and community governance for climate resilience | Outcome 2 | By the end of the project, it is expected to have the CFC Authority fully established (through an Executive Decree), with a coordination mechanism and institutional functions formalized (to support the functions of the Platform) through supportive legislation and staff trained. Municipal plans and PES schemes consider climate change risks and help to improve community resilience. | | Marginally Unsatisfactory |
| On-the-ground adaptation measures for forest, land and water resources management | | By the end of the project, it is expected to have a medium to high implementation level of activities proposed in the Municipal Forest Protection Plan (PPFM), 1500 Ha of forest affected by the bark-beetle pest restored, and improved water access for 12,000 families. | Delayed | Marginally Satisfactory |
| Strengthening knowledge, | Outcome 3 | By the end of the project, it is expect | Ontrack | Marginally |

| information management and | to have contributed to: -the | Satisfactory |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| monitoring systems on | strengthening of the ONCCDS as the | |
| climate change vulnerability | national platform for knowledge | |
| and adaptive capacity. | management on climate change -the | |
| | development of 5 studies on forest | |
| | restoration processes, ecosystem- | |
| | based adaptation and bark-beetle pest | |

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

| Name | Email |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| Astrid Mejia | astrid.mejia@undp.org |

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project has a marginally satisfactory progress given that it has advanced in activities leading to Outcomes 2 and 3. However, there is a high risk that the governance process of the CBC Platform will not be consolidated. In the most positive scenario that there is interest from the local basin councils to to establish the CBC platform, the processes of agreements, negotiation, conciliation, legalization and operation, may exceed the 10 months remaining until project's operational closure. Likewise, the PES processes require direct involvement of the communities and municipalities in consultations to reach agreements on the water tariff scheme and/or other incentives for the protection and conservation of the basins, so given to the project's remaining time, there is a high risk of underachievement. Therefore, it is critical for the project team to prioritize and expedite the necessary actions with FUNDAUNAH and SERNA's Environmental Management Directorate to obtain concrete products that contribute to achieving the result. It should be noted that a Letter of Agreement has been signed with SANAA for the implementation of projects with low value grants for the improvement of water supply, and the Letter of Agreement with ICF has been amended for community forestry management projects. It is a priority for the project to implement these sub-projects and focus on achieving Outcome 2 and its indicators. It is very important that the project team complies with the monitoring of the Environmental and Social Safeguards in the implementation of the sub-projects, which is supported by UNDP's backstopping. Given that forest fires and land use changes for agricultural crops have increased, in and around the CBC, 1538 ha of affected pine and mixed forests restored through reforestation and natural regeneration assisted by protection measures is at risk. Therefore, the project must accelerate local capacity building, establishing the monitoring system for the restoration areas and the planning tools, to strenghten the capacities of the communities to implement the Forest Protection Plans and the EWS, so they are better prepared for adaptation and climate risk reduction. Regarding output 3, 5 studies on the relationship between climate change - bark beetle pests and restoration processes have been completed. The project communicates and disseminates through social networks its activities, lessons learned and good practices for ecosystem-based adaptation in the CBC. It is important that the project can progress in the systematization of these experiences so that this knowledge can be transferred and replicated. The project has proposed a strategy of linking the technical directorates of SERNA as a response to necessity of accelerating processes that are lagging behind. It is very important that the Project Coordinator with the Monitoring, Gender and Safeguards staff follow up on specific plans to ensure compliance with the activities of the technical directorates. For the implementation of low value grants subprojects, it is necessary that the Project Coordination along with the local technicians coordinate with SANAA and ICF for an adequate implementation, complying with ESP, GP and Gender Plan, considering the available resources (budget and time). Due to the proximity of project closure, it is important to prepare the project exit strategy, improve the database (quantity and quality of data), and prepare a repository with evidence of the activities, products and results that the project has obtained. The project should also generate partnerships to ensure that research results (Water Resources, Resilient Plants, IVCCM, weevil, etc.) are incorporated into municipal plans and CBC governance mechanisms. Related to ensuring the sustainability of restoration, forest protection and water supply activities, the project should generate alliances with programs such as Father Andres Tamayo.

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

| Project components/outcomes | Alignment with AF outcomes | Expected Progress | Progress to date | Rating |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Strengthening of local and community governance for climate resilience | Outcome 2 | At the end of the project, it is expected to have the CFC Authority fully established (through an Executive Decree), with a coordination mechanism and formalized institutional functions (to support the functions of the Platform) through supporting legislation and trained personnel. Municipal plans and PES schemes consider the risks of climate change and help improve community resilience. | Delayed | Marginally Satisfactory |
| On the ground adaptation measures for forest, land and water resources management | Outcome 5, Outcome 6 | At the end of the project, it is expected to have a medium to high level of implementation of the activities proposed in the Municipal Forest Protection Plan (PPFM), 1500 Ha of forest affected by the bark beetle plague restored and improved access to water for 12,000 families. | Ontrack | Satisfactory |
| Strengthening knowledge, information management and monitoring systems on climate change vulnerability and adaptive capacity. | Outcome 3 | By the end of the project, it is expect to have contributed to: -the strengthening of the ONCCDS as the national platform for knowledge management on climate change -the development of 5 studies on forest restoration processes, ecosystembased adaptation and bark-beetle pest -the establishment of 14 Early Warning Systems for forest fire and bark-beetle pest -the training of 2500 people on climate change adaptation planning -the communication of lessons learned and good practices for ecosystems-based adaptation in the CFC. | Ontrack | Satisfactory |

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

| Name | Email | Institution |
|--------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Jeremy Ponce | coordinacionadaptarc@gmail.com | Project Coordinator |

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

To date, the technical team of the AdaptarC+ Project has been promoting the implementation of climate change adaptation measures with local producer organizations within the area of influence of the project, such as the production of organic fertilizers in the biofactories, crop diversification, water harvesting, drip irrigation systems. Since capacities have been strengthened, interest has been captured by local actors to adopt these measures. In the forestry sector, along with ICF, volunteer and municipal brigades, after the implementation of forest fire patrols, reduction and control of forest and interface fires has been achieved, enhancing the protection and conservation of natural regeneration, as well as the construction of forest

nurseries for the reforestation of the areaS affected by the bark beetle, in the municipalities of the CBC. The implementation of EWS for the control of forest pests has been implemented, and EWS regarding forest and interface fires is in process of implementation in the 14 municipalities of the Central Forest Corridor. The Project along with the Inter-Institutional Technical Committee approved 13 Low Value Grant Projects, which 8 are related to projects of storage and distribution of drinking water that will directly benefit approximately 2,429 families. This activity will continue, hoping to reach more than 12,000 families as beneficiaries. Next steps: It is expected that the project, including its technical team, in convergence with the Responsible Parties and the five directorates of SERNA, will reach and achieve most of the objectives and indicators proposed in the AWP 2023.

| 0 | 41 | • | ^ | - |
|---|----|---|---|---|
| v | u | Ш | t | I |

| Project | Alignment with AF | Expected | Progress to | Rating |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|--------|
| components/outcomes | outcomes | Progress | date | Kaung |

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

| Name | Email |
|------|-------|
| | |

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall Rating

Overall rating

Marginally Satisfactory

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project has a marginally satisfactory progress given that it has advanced in activities leading to Outcomes 2 and 3. However, there is a high risk that the governance process of the CBC Platform will not be consolidated. In the most positive scenario that there is interest from the local basin councils to to establish the CBC platform, the processes of agreements, negotiation, conciliation, legalization and operation, may exceed the 10 months remaining until project's operational closure. Likewise, the PES processes require direct involvement of the communities and municipalities in consultations to reach agreements on the water tariff scheme and/or other incentives for the protection and conservation of the basins, so given to the project's remaining time, there is a high risk of underachievement. Therefore, it is critical for the project team to prioritize and expedite the necessary actions with FUNDAUNAH and SERNA's Environmental Management Directorate to obtain concrete products that contribute to achieving the result. Due to the proximity of project closure, it is important to prepare the project exit strategy, improve the database (quantity and quality of data), and prepare a repository with evidence of the activities, products and results that the project has obtained. The project should also generate partnerships to ensure that research results (Water Resources, Resilient Plants, IVCCM, weevil, etc.) are incorporated into municipal plans and CBC governance mechanisms. Related to ensuring the sustainability of restoration. forest protection and water supply activities, the project should generate alliances with programs such as Father Andres Tamayo. Per, the PM own assessment "It is expected that the project, including its technical team, in convergence with the Responsible Parties and the five directorates of SERNA, will reach and achieve most of the objectives and indicators proposed in the AWP 2023". However, from the implementing entinty there is a strong concern that this will be achieved in light of the various changes that have occured within the PMU and the short timeline remaining within the project.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

| Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc) | Indicator | Baseline | Progress Since Inception | Target for Project End |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Objectives | Number of CFC communities that reduce their vulnerability and increase adaptive capacity in CFC | A vulnerability index has been tested in 23 communities in 3 municipalities during the current AF project, with the support of the National University of Honduras. On a scale of vulnerability from 1 to 5 (very low-low-medium-high-very high) the municipalities showed a vulnerability of medium-high level | Unable to measure until the repor is delivered, however per initial analysis it seems the vulnerability index will indicate a reduced level of vulenrability in the municipalities The IVCC was evaluated for the base year 2019 for the water resources sector for the entire area of influence (Report and endorsements submitted). Currently, the index is being evaluated again for the base year 2022, always in the same area and with the same variables, the water quality component was concluded and the adaptive capacity and exposure variables are being evaluated for this new period. The final report will be delivered in June 2023. | At the end of the project, the Vulnerability Index improves to a medium-low level for men and women. |
| Objectives | Number of CFC municipalities that integrate climate change adaptation measures into their municipal development planning budgetary processes and investment plans, | 3 municipalities have begun to include budget items related to the adaptation of the CC in their recurring investment plans since 2013 (Tatumbla, Ojojona, Cedros), with the | 14 municipalities have been funding adaptation measures. The project has been implementing adaptation measures along with the 14 municipalities, which has led to supplementing the | |

| | including marrage | cumout of the | annual hudaat lina -f | |
|----------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | including revenues from payments for | support of the various capacity | annual budget line of each municipality in | |
| | ecosystem service | development, | activities such as: 1. | |
| | schemes. | | Payroll of municipal | |
| | schemes. | measures of the | brigades against | |
| | | current AF project. | forest fires. 2. | |
| | | Tatumbla has | Financing of patrol | |
| | | | crews for the | |
| | | PES scheme by | protection of natural | |
| | | reviewing the water | regeneration against | |
| | | tariff system to | forest fires. 3. | |
| | | | Payment of | |
| | | of protection and | personnel for the | |
| | | maintenance of the | management of the | |
| | | catchment areas and | 1 - | |
| | | water source. | for reforestation of | |
| | | water source. | water recharge | |
| | | | basins. 4. Financing | |
| | | | of personnel for | |
| | | | reforestation in areas | |
| | | | of forest that were | |
| | | | affected by the | |
| | | | weevils. 5. In the | |
| | | | construction of the | |
| | | | forest nurseries that | |
| | | | the project is | |
| | | | promoting, the | |
| | | | municipal | |
| | | | governments invest | |
| | | | in labor and the | |
| | | | project invests in the | |
| | | | materials. | |
| | | | The CFC is not fully | |
| | | | established as of yet. | |
| | | | Mainly due to | |
| | | | changes in personnel | A 4 4 h a a m d a f 22 a a m 2 . |
| | | | in several of the | At the end of year 2: |
| | | | municipal | the CFC Authority is fully established |
| | | Currently, the CFC | corporations and | (through an |
| | | Platform acts as a | technical staff of the | Executive Decree), |
| | | fairly informal | municipal units, (it | with the |
| | CFC authority and | mechanism through | was even necessary | coordination |
| | 1* | | to socialize again the | mechanism and |
| Outcomes | operational and | without supporting | project activities) | institutional |
| | trained in climate | legislation for | there have been no | functions formalized |
| | risk management | | substantive | (to support the |
| | | and an established | contributions that | functions of the |
| | | authority for their | would allow the | Platform) through |
| | | management. | project to advance | supporting |
| | | | towards the | legislation and |
| | | | indicators' target. | trained personnel |
| | | | Therefore, as an | _ |
| | | | acceleration strategy, | |
| | | | the project has | |
| | | | planned to | |

implement an extension in terms of time and scope to the Letter of Agreement signed with FUNDAUNAH, to allocate the conformation of the Technical Executing Unit (UTE), through the basin councils. and the elaboration of the Strategy and Regulations of the Platform. These actions should be articulated with the ICF and the DGA activities. The project has approached the 14 municipalities that belong to the Central Forest Corridor (CBC), through meetings with mayors and their municipal corporations. Coordination with the OMM and UMA Offices continues, for follow-up, socialization, and implementation of actions with a focus on ecosystem-based adaptation and resilience to climate change, strengthening institutional technical capacities. The project also promotes workshops for indigenous women leaders of the municipalities of Ojojona, Santa Ana, Lepaterique and plans meetings for activities to be carried out with the Lenca beneficiaries of the CBC.

| Number of regulatory mechanisms operationalized operationalized operationalized of the managed through bureaucratic processes by ICF (without clear mandate by municipalities) Number of municipal level regulatory mechanisms and operationalized operationalized on operationalized on the managed through bureaucratic processes by ICF (without clear mandate by municipalities) Number of municipalities of communities to communicipal level ordinances (e.g. creive complaints, which will be installed in the following period) to receive complaints and clear through the permits for small scale forest wood collection are managed through bureaucratic processes by ICF (without clear mandate by municipalities) Management James of Willa de San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Antonio de Oriente, Tatumbla, Santa Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Antonio de Oriente, Tatumbla, Santa Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Buena Ventura. Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Antonio de Oriente, Tatumbla, Santa Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Antonio de Oriente, Tatumbla, Santa Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Pending Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Pending S | are n each 1) n 2) and 3) private 4) ng egated ties and |
|--|---|
|--|---|

District), with the support of the project's technical team and ICF, and they are: 1. Protection of Water Sources and Courses. ARTICLE 123. Of the Honduran Forestry Law 2. Prohibition to cut, damage, burn or destroy trees, bushes and forests in general in protected zones. ARTICLE 123. Of the Honduran Forestry Law. 3. Whoever sets fires in forests endangering the life, bodily integrity or patrimony of others shall be punished with the penalties established in the law. ARTICLE 171 of the Honduran Forestry Law. 4. NO Littering in streets, lots, green areas, public buildings, rivers, highways and other prohibited places. ARTICLE 110, general regulation of the environmental law of Honduras. 5. Along with the Municipality of Valle de Angeles, firefighters and the NGO GOAL, a Municipal Ordinance has been developed to regulate burning, preventive rounds and cleaning of lots to prevent forest fires and interface fires. Includes the entire municipality of Valle de Ángeles,

| | | are best qualified to opt for decentralization and | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | identifying the municipalities that are best qualified to | |
| | | harvesting" was completed, | |
| | | small-scale timber | |
| | | mechanism for issuing permits for | |
| | | expedited | |
| | | "Decentralized the | |
| | | the consultancy | |
| | | delegated functions, | |
| | | owners and | |
| | | forest use by private | |
| | | Regarding municipal ordinances related to | |
| | | been issued. | |
| | | ordinances have | |
| | | date, no land zoning | |
| | | Lepaterique). To | |
| | | Lucía and | |
| | | Ángeles, Santa | |
| | | Francisco, Valle de | |
| | | (Villa de San | |
| | | land zoning plans | |
| | | four (4) municipal | |
| | | project has advanced in the elaboration of | |
| | | ordinances, the | |
| | | promote land zoning | |
| | | developed. To | |
| | | zoning plans | |
| | | municipal land | |
| | | population. 4 | |
| | | socialized with the | |
| | | corporation and | |
| | | municipal | |
| | | approved by the | |
| | | ordinances were | |
| | | to note that these | |
| | | plans. It is important | |
| | | according to the forest protection | |

newly established integrating CC risks and considerations with a gender approach (based on gender analysis and sex- disaggregated data)

municipalities and Forest Protection Plans in all municipalities with the support of the current AF project, but they need to be revised and updated following the 2016 bark beetle plague outbreak in order to better respond to its effects and prepare for such future risks. In the remaining 9 municipalities CC is not integrated into development plans. Plans for Micro basins have been established in 25 of the 50 in CFC in total, but still lacking responsible party, is in the other 25

zoning plans (Villa de San Francisco, Valle de Ángeles, Santa Lucía and Lepaterique) have been prepared and updated. Regarding the updating of the 14 municipal forest protection plans, a meeting was held with the Municipal Environmental Units basin action plans to socialize and prioritize the environmental activities to be carried out over the next two years, according to their needs and installed capacity. ICF, as working on the identification and selection of the subbasins and microbasins. ICF is considering the basins where the institution already approached boards and water boards, expecting better results with the management plans of the prioritized micro-basins, and ensureing that the plan execution are participatory. At this moment, and under component 2, the evaluation of the IVCC base year 2019 was delivered. and to date the information of the variables is being updated to the base year 2022. A draft of

the gender action plan focused on environmental axis 6 was developed to

project: -14 PDM/PM-OT have been updated with climate change as a cross-cutting issue. -14 Municipal Forest Protection Plans (PPFM) have been updated considering climate risks. -3 subbasin management plans and 7 microhave been established to improve water management in high populated areas of the CFC.

| | | | develop | |
|----------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | environmental | |
| | | | actions in the CBC | |
| | | | with the support of | |
| | | | the OMM of each | |
| | | | municipality. Some | |
| | | | of these activities are | |
| | | | being developed | |
| | | | under the | |
| | | | supervision of | |
| | | | project technicians. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | The following | |
| | | | activities have been | |
| | | | carried out: No | |
| | | | additional PES | |
| | | | scheme, but advance | |
| | | | on foing work | |
| | | | through preliminary | |
| | | | activities to sign | |
| | | | three agreements | |
| | | 1 0 35 11 5 | with the municipal | |
| | | 1 so far. Municipal | governments of | |
| | | level water tariff | Talanga, Cantarranas | |
| | | scheme has been | and Villa de San | |
| | | developed in | Francisco, are being | |
| | | Tatumbla, | carried out, | |
| | | | including water rates | l . |
| | | protection and | | Municipal level PES |
| | | maintenance of | 1 | schemes (revised |
| | | water source and | with the Water | water tariffs) are |
| | | recharge areas. | Boards in order to | replicated in at least |
| | | There have been | allocate a percentage | |
| Outcomes | Number of PES | efforts to establish | | municipalities by |
| Outcomes | schemes developed | inter-municipal PES | management of the | year 4 Proposal for a |
| | | scheme to | water-producing | pilot inter-municipal |
| | | compensate CFC | 1 * | PES scheme (sub- |
| | | municipalities for | 1 - | basin level) is |
| | | the provision of | _ | developed by year 4 |
| | | watershed services | developed with | of the project |
| | | to Tegucigalpa | ongoing work for its | |
| | | Central district but | implementation. | |
| | | were not successful | Involve SERNA's | |
| | | due to coordination | DGA in the | |
| | | issues and lack of | development of | |
| | | political will | workshops to | |
| | | | socialize the | |
| | | | Ecosystem Services | |
| | | | Compensation | |
| | | | Regulations. These | |
| | | | regulations were | |
| | | | elaborated by DGA. | |
| | | | DGA involvement | |
| | | | will include the | |
| | | | completion the pilot | |
| | | | of one (1) | |
| | | 1 | 010 (1) | |

| | ı | 1 | I | |
|----------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | intermunicipal | |
| | | | compensation | |
| | | | scheme (Villa de | |
| | | | San Fco/Valle de | |
| | | | Ángeles) and | |
| | | | complete the design | |
| | | | of schemes (5) of | |
| | | | municipal | |
| | | | compensation for the | |
| | | | maintenance of | |
| | | | water recharge | |
| | | | zones. It is important | |
| | | | to mention that a | |
| | | | draft document on | |
| | | | Payments for | |
| | | | Environmental | |
| | | | Services was | |
| | | | prepared and is | |
| | | | expected to be | |
| | | | updated by the DGA | |
| | | | and SERNA's | |
| | | | National Water | |
| | | | Resources | |
| | | | Directorate. | |
| | | | To date, the project | |
| | | | has prioritized, by | |
| | | | satellite, 3,006.00 ha | |
| | | | (area that was | |
| | | | attacked by the pine | |
| | | | weevil) of area to be | |
| | | | restored. Of | |
| | | | these,1,538 ha have | |
| | | 0 Ha restored so far. | been confirmed in | |
| | | The National | field visits, which | |
| | | | have been restored | |
| | | | through reforestation | |
| | Number of hectares | in May 2016, and | and natural | |
| | of affected pine and | | | |
| | mixed forests | currently | regeneration assisted | Drytha and of the |
| Ontoomoo | restored through | preparations are | by protection | By the end of the |
| Outcomes | reforestation and | underway (e.g. | measures. There are | project, 1.500 Ha |
| | natural regeneration | establishment of | approximately 500 | have been restored. |
| | assisted by | nurseries), so | ha that have | |
| | protection measures | restoration will be in | , , | |
| | | initial phases when | of land use to | |
| | | the project is | agricultural crops. | |
| | | expected to start in | ICF's Francisco | |
| | | 2017. | Morazan Regional | |
| | | | Office is working | |
| | | | with the ICF to | |
| | | | identify additional | |
| | | | areas to be restored | |
| | | | in the field. To speed | |
| | | | up the field work, | |
| | | | synergies will be | |
| • | | | made with the | |

| | | | National Forest | |
|----------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Restoration and | |
| | | | Protection Program | |
| | | | "Padre Andrés | |
| | | | Tamayo", which has | |
| | | | a budget of US\$28 | |
| | | | million, and | |
| | | | therefore more | |
| | | | personnel (one | |
| | | | forestry technician | |
| | | | for each | |
| | | | municipality in the | |
| | | | CBC), to obtain the | |
| | | | | |
| | | | data of prioritized | |
| | | | restoration areas in | |
| | | | the CBC. Other | |
| | | | restoration-related | |
| | | | activities | |
| | | | implemented to date | |
| | | | include: *LVGs (low | |
| | | | value grants): To | |
| | | | date, three forest | |
| | | | nurseries have been | |
| | | | donated to the | |
| | | | communities of the | |
| | | | Muicipalities of the | |
| | | | VIlla de San | |
| | | | Francisco and | |
| | | | Cantarranas, and to | |
| | | | the Suyapa Village | |
| | | | Ecology Committee | |
| | | | (known by its | |
| | | | spanish acronym, | |
| | | | COEAS) * MRV | |
| | | | 1 | |
| | | | System for | |
| | | | Restoration: The | |
| | | | process of | |
| | | | elaboration of the | |
| | | | TORs has been | |
| | | | initiated to continue | |
| | | | with the process of | |
| | | | hiring the consultant | |
| | | | or technician for the | |
| | | | elaboration of the | |
| | | | system, which will | |
| | | | lie within the | |
| | | | framework of the | |
| | | | Climate Change | |
| | | | Management and | |
| | | | Monitoring Unit and | |
| | | | SIGMOF, | |
| | Level (%) of | | To date, all 14 | By the end of the |
| | implementation of N | full and/or low | municipalities have | project at least high |
| Outcomes | the measures set out (d | lepending in the | implemented | level in all CFC |
| | life measures set out m | unicipality) | | |
| | in 14 CFC municipal III | | Municipal Forest | municipalities |
| | | | | |

Protection Plans, but Forest Protection Plans (levels:0% at a different level. null; <20% low; According to the 20<50% medium; original Municipal 50<80% high; >80 Forest Protection very high) Plans, the average level of implementation of the established measures is medium (25%). For each municipality, the level of implementation of the measures are: 1. Cedros: 3 measures implemented out of 10 (30%). 2. Central District: 3 measures implemented out of 11 (27%). 3. Lepaterique: 2 implemented measures out of 10 (20%). 4. Ojojona: 2 measures implemented out of 10 (20%). 5. San Buenaventura: 2 measures implemented out of 10 (20%). 6. Santa Ana: 2 measures implemented out of 10 (20%). 7. Cantarranas: 3 measures implemented out of 10 (30%). 8. Santa Lucía: 3 measures implemented out of 10 (30%). 9. Talanga: 3 measures implemented out of 10 (30%). 10. Tatumbla: 3 measures implemented out of 12 (25%). 11. Valle de Ángeles: 3

measures

measures

implemented out of 11 (27%). 12. Villa de San Antonio: 2

implemented out of 10 (20%). 13. 13. San Antonio de Oriente: 3 measures implemented out of 10 (30%). 14. Villa de San Francisco: 2 lmeasures implemented out of 10 (20%). Mainly, the following measures are being implemented: -Maintenance of fire patrols. - Hiring and organization of crews. - Prescribed burning. In order to strengthen the forest protection capacity of the municipalities, the project has carried out the following activities: *Training of firefighting committees or brigades, and implementation of measures to prevent and reduce the possibility of forest fires. *Development of workshops with agroforestry cooperatives on good resin extraction practices. *Training of UMA technicians on the "Smart Fire Monitoring System" tool in coordination with technical personnel from the Conecta+/FAO project, which will allow them to have accurate data on the fires that have occurred in their municipalities and identify the areas that burn most frequently in order to take preventive measures with land

| | | | a a 1 | |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| | | | owners and community leaders. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Water supply services have been | |
| | | | improved for | |
| | | | agricultural | |
| | | | production in | |
| | | | communities in the | |
| | | | direct/indirect area | |
| | | | of the CBC, | |
| | | | benefiting 120 | |
| | | | families individually | |
| | | | and collectively | |
| | | | through the | |
| | | | installation of 41 | |
| | | | micro-irrigation | |
| | | | projects distributed | |
| | | | as follows: 17 basic | |
| | | | level (200 m2) for | |
| | | | the installation of | |
| | | | family vegetable | |
| | | | gardens for | |
| | | | production for self- consumption, 15 | |
| | | | medium level (1000 | By the end of the |
| | | 8,000 families in | m2) and nine (9) as | project and |
| | Number of families | CFC have improved | semi-commercial | additional 12,000 |
| | (including female- | their water supply | | families receive |
| Outcomes | headed households) | system through the | latter two for self- | enhanced water |
| | with enhanced water | | consumption and | supply services (at |
| | supply services | the current AF | marketing. The | least a 20% of these |
| | | project | Letter of Agreement | families are female- |
| | | | | headed households) |
| | | | and SANAA has | |
| | | | been signed. | |
| | | | SANAA will on- | |
| | | | grant low value | |
| | | | grants for | |
| | | | community projects that will improve | |
| | | | access and | |
| | | | consumption of | |
| | | | drinking water, by | |
| | | | collecting, storing | |
| | | | and distributing it. | |
| | | | The identified | |
| | | | projects that will be | |
| | | | technically reviewed | |
| | | | by SANAA are 8 | |
| | | | and are expected to | |
| | | | benefit 12,795 | |
| | | | persons | |
| | | | (approximately | |
| | | | 2,559 families). | |
| | | | Through the Letter | |

| | <u> </u> | T | T | 1 |
|----------|----------------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | of Agreement signed | |
| | | | with | |
| | | | FUNDAUNAH, the | |
| | | | project has advanced | |
| | | | in the elaboration of | |
| | | | studies, which will | |
| | | | be used to identify | |
| | | | water projects that | |
| | | | promote water | |
| | | | recharge: *Study of | |
| | | | water demand in the | |
| | | | CBC: Under | |
| | | | evaluation by | |
| | | | specialists. | |
| | | | 1 * | |
| | | | *Diagnosis of the | |
| | | | CBC water ecology | |
| | | | and frequency of | |
| | | | biological, | |
| | | | microbiological and | |
| | | | hydrogeochemical | |
| | | | contaminants: The | |
| | | | final draft was | |
| | | | delivered to the | |
| | | | project team and | |
| | | | includes a census of | |
| | | | 579 water intakes | |
| | | | (surface 28% and | |
| | | | groundwater 72%) | |
| | | | for the CBC. HGC, | |
| | | | biological and | |
| | | | bacteriological | |
| | | | analysis of the | |
| | | | sources or points | |
| | | | have been carried | |
| | | | out. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | The project has | |
| | | | supported the | |
| | | | development and | |
| | | | completion of the | |
| | Number of studies | | following studies | |
| | carried out on the | | (5): *Pine bark | |
| | relation among | | beetle | |
| | climate change- bark | | (Dendroctonus | |
| | beetle pest, and | | frontalis) monitoring | l . |
| Outcomes | restoration | None | system using traps | comprehensive |
| Jucomes | processes, which are | 1 10110 | baited with | studies by the end of |
| | used for designing | | | the project |
| | planning tools | | Results 2021. | |
| | (protocols, | | https://icf.gob.hn/wp- | |
| | guidelines, manuals, | | content/uploads/2022 | /04/Boletin- |
| | etc.) | | Resultados-2021- | |
| | | | final.pdf *Floral | |
| | | | plant-visitor | |
| | | | interactions in pine | |
| | | | forests in the central | |
| | | | 1 51 555 III die central | |

| | | | *Analysis of landscape connectivity and natural regeneration in two pine forests affected by the bark beetle Dendroctonus spp. https://www.facebook.com/photo/*Obtaining Pisolithus arrhizus (Scop.) Rauschert strains for in vitro mycorrhization of Pinus oocarpa Schiede ex Schltdl. seedlings. | pevH/status/13193295 ?fbid=5289091544464 pevH/status/13165152 | 1745&s |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--------|
| Outcomes | Number of people (disaggregated by sex) that effectively apply training- acquired knowledge on climate change in planning activities | members, academics, technical staff in institutions, local-level decision- makers, etc.) (around | validation.) To date, 1,110 technicians have been trained, equivalent to 44% of the programmed target. One of the limitations faced by the field technicians is that they only have been able to train the personnel of the institutions that are within their scope of intervention; therefore, this activity is being incorporated into the agreement with the Secretariat's Directorates, because it broadens the spectrum of beneficiaries, given their relationship with different | By the end of the project at least 2,500 additional people (community members, academics, technical staff in institutions, local-level decision-makers, etc.) are trained (at least 50% women) | |

| | | | institutions in the | |
|----------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | public and private | |
| | | | sectors. The project | |
| | | | has involved 2,243 | |
| | | | persons | |
| | | | approximately, with | |
| | | | training, planning | |
| | | | meetings, | |
| | | | workshops, delivery | |
| | | | of materials and | |
| | | | tools, delivery of | |
| | | | risk system kits. The | |
| | | | 2,243 persons are | |
| | | | distributed as | |
| | | | follows: 903 women, | |
| | | | 1053 men and 287 | |
| | | | youth. These figures | |
| | | | 1 | |
| | | | include the Lenca | |
| | | | population. | |
| | | | No information | |
| | | | sharing agreements | |
| | | | have been made as | |
| | | | of yet. Progress has | |
| | | | been limited due to | |
| | | | ONCCDS's failure to | |
| | | | deliver the products. | |
| | | | As a result, the | |
| | | | Letter of agreemet | |
| | | | wasn't extended, | |
| | | | finalizing in | |
| | | | November 22. The | |
| | | | products will be | |
| | | | developed by the | |
| | N 1 | | 1 7 | |
| | Number of | G .1 1 | National Climate | By the end of the |
| | | Currently only one | Change Directorate | project at least 6 |
| | officially share their | | (DNCC) of SERNA | institutions share |
| Outcomes | climate-related | (MiAmbiente) has | and include the | information based on |
| | | _ | following: a) | collaboration |
| | , O | agreement with | Technical and | agreements signed |
| | formal collaboration | ONCCDS | logistical capacities | with the ONCCDS. |
| | agreements) | | of the DNCC as a | with the ONCCDS. |
| | · | | platform for | |
| | | | generating | |
| | | | information, | |
| | | | indicators, policy | |
| | | | analysis and public | |
| | | | CC strategies are | |
| | | | strengthened. b) | |
| | | | Collaboration | |
| | | | | |
| | | | agreements are | |
| | | | signed, and a | |
| | | | communication and | |
| | | | knowledge | |
| | | | ima on o como on t | |
| | | | management strategy has been | |

| | | | developed. | |
|----------|---|--------------|--|---|
| Outcomes | Number of functioning municipal EWS against the bark beetle outbreak | Non-existent | To date, the 14 EWS against bark beetle outbreaks were implemented in the 14 municipalities of the Central Forest Corridor, which are operational and functioning. The EWS against forest and interface fires in the 14 municipalities of the CBC is also in process of being implemented. The main objective of the monitoring system for the pine bark beetle (Dendroctonus frontalis) using traps baited with semiochemicals is to establish permanent monitoring of the populations of this insect, in order to know in which season of the year it moves the most (flight peaks), obtaining temporary forecasts or predictions of its actions and possible effects. | By the end of the project 14 EWS (in each municipality) are operational |
| Outcomes | Lessons learned and best practices (including on gender aspects) generated by the project are captured and disseminated | Non-existent | networks, among which we can mention: 1. Press release regarding | At least 20 (at least 2 of them on gender issues), using different multimedia forms and dissemination channels (e.g. technical reports, videos, photo essays, virtual platforms and exchange events, media and press materials) |

| COVID19. |
|---|
| https://www.climatechangenews.com/2020/09/10/ |
| change-adaptation- |
| projects-continue- |
| amid-pandemic/ 2. |
| OMM (Municipal |
| Women's Offices) |
| training to ensure |
| their participation in |
| environmental |
| management. C. The |
| following |
| information was |
| disseminated |
| through the project's |
| social media |
| channels. |
| https://www.facebook.com/336511666535306/pos |
| https://www.facebook.com/336511666535306/pos |
| https://m.facebook. |
| com/336511666535306/posts/1747889902\00ab64135 |
| https://m.facebook.com/MiAmbienteHN/videos/85 |
| UNK-UNK- |
| IOS_GK0T- |
| GK1C&refsrc=deprecated&ref=sharing&_rdr |
| d. 3. Progress has |
| been made to carry |
| out a gender |
| systematization with |
| the beneficiary |
| group of women |
| "Productoras Mi |
| Tierra" residents of |
| Nueva Suyapa |
| community in the |
| Central District. A |
| video about this has |
| been generated and |
| disseminated |
| through Twitter: |
| https://twitter.com/sernahn/status/1659277\$19741 |
| 4. Climate change |
| Adaptation |
| measures, such as domestic water |
| |
| harvesting for water |
| management in the rainy season. |
| https://twitter.com/OpevH/status/12603119398146 |
| 5. First approach for |
| the creation of the |
| gender diagnosis |
| https://www.facebook.com/hashtag/proyectoadapt |
| 6. Inventory and |
| evaluation of natural |
| o , andution of matural |

| | regeneration within |
|---|---|
| | the buffer zone of La |
| | Tigra National Park |
| | (PNLT). |
| | https://www.facebook.com/hashtag/adaptarc |
| | 7. Project visits to |
| | Agroforestry |
| | Cooperatives along |
| | with the Federation |
| | of Agroforestry |
| | Producers of |
| | Honduras (known by |
| | its acronym, |
| | FEPROAH), in |
| | order to socialize the |
| | training that the |
| | project will provide |
| | on good practices in |
| | resin production, |
| | good agroforestry |
| | practices and |
| | management of |
| | agroforestry |
| | nurseries. |
| | |
| | https://www.facebook.com/378518402341677/pos |
|] | |
| | areas affected by |
|] | fires and forest pests, |
| | carried out by |
| | families belonging to |
| | the Lenca |
| | Indigenous Council |
| | in the area of Mesa |
| | Grande, Santa Ana, |
| | supported by the |
| | technical team of |
| | AdaptarC and ICF. |
| | https://www.facebook.com/378518402341677/po |
| | 9. Forest Protection |
| | and Restoration of |
| | areas of water |
| | importance Training |
| | day, with the |
| | participation of 20 |
| | local technicians |
| | from the |
| | Municipality of the |
| | Central District and |
| | natives of the |
| | communities of El |
| | Rosario, San |
| | Juancito and |
| | Guacamayas. |
| | https://www.facebook.com/1719760374/posts/pfb |
| | 10. ICF in |
| | 10. 101 111 |

| | , |
|--|---|
| | coordination with |
| | the AdaptarC |
| | project: delivery of |
| | 5,500 plants to the |
| | water board of San |
| | José del Potrero, |
| | beneficiary |
| | community of the |
| | protected area, |
| | "Refugio de Vida |
| | Silvestre #Corralitos |
| | (RVSC)", as a |
| | follow-up to the |
| | restoration activities |
| | in water-producing |
| | areas of the |
| | "Corredor Boscoso |
| | Central de |
| | #Tegucigalpa |
| | (CBC)". |
| | https://www.facebook.com/378518402341677/ |
| | 11. ICF in |
| | coordination with |
| | the #ADAPTARC |
| | Project: 2020 Fire |
| | Campaign and the |
| | Planning of Forest |
| | Protection activities |
| | Evaluation Day to be |
| | carried out during |
| | the year 2021 in the |
| | Municipality of |
| | Valle de Angeles. |
| | Among the attendees |
| | feature UMA |
| | (Municipal |
| | Environmental Unit) |
| | of Valle de Ángeles, |
| | |
| | representatives of Water Boards, |
| | · |
| | forestry technicians |
| | and the technical |
| | coordination of the |
| | AdaptarC+ Project. |
| | https://www.facebook.com/378518402341677/ |
| | 12. Facebook Watch |
| | cast regarding |
| | LVGs. |
| | https://www.facebook.com/cafecitocalientetv/v |
| | UNK-UNK- |
| | AN_GK0T- |
| | GK1C&mibextid=5Ufylb |
| | 13. Ciudad Mujer |
| | |
| | and AdaptarC entrepeneurship |

| | training: | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| | https://www.facebool | k.com/1000018666399 | 978/pos |
| | 14. Cerra de Hula | | |
| | Microbasin | | |
| | declaration follow- | | |
| | up session: | | |
| | https://www.facebool | k.com/3785184023416 | 577/pos |
| | 15. Restoration | | |
| | activities in La | | |
| | Tigra: | | |
| | https://www.facebool | k.com/3785184023416 | 577/pos |

Comments

Lessons Learned

| Implementation and Adaptive Ma | nagement | |
|--|----------------------------|--|
| Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design) | Challenges & Opportunities | With the project's field technicians and monitoring team: to improve monitoring and recording, a progress report template was defined to plan and execute activities monthly based on results. Methodological tools were also designed, structured and socialized with project technicians to collect data from the territory of the Central Forest Corridor, which includes 14 municipalities. With project partners and monitoring team: Each of the products assigned in the partners' Letter of Agreement was reviewed, as well as their progress and the remaining time to comply with the indicators targets. ICF - AdaptarC+ was assigned new products in the extension of the letter of agreement. The Letter of Agreement to be signed between SERNA and SANAA was reviewed and agreed with the UNDP team, SANAA and AdaptarC. Consideration has been given to amend FUNDAUNAH's letter agreement, to establish the governance platform and to generate, systematize and use |

| | | knowledge, respectively. With |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | SERNA Directorates and |
| | | monitoring team: in a |
| | | complementary and integral |
| | | manner, creating convergence |
| | | between the different SERNA |
| | | Directorates, products and |
| | | budget of the project's |
| | | exection plan were reviewed |
| | | and analyzed, assigning |
| | | activities according to the |
| | | capacity and scheme of each |
| | | Directorate. According to the |
| | | indicators assigned to each |
| | | Directorate an information |
| | | collection template was |
| | | harmonized . |
| | | Yes, they have been effective, |
| | | considering the following: |
| | | Environmental safeguards: To |
| | | mitigate vulnerability of |
| | | climate change effects, the |
| | | project is directly supporting |
| | | the implementation of |
| | | adaptation measures at the |
| | | ecosystem and community |
| | | level, including reforestation of |
| | | areas affected by drought- |
| | | induced bark beetle infestation, |
| | | protection of a wider forest area |
| | | through the introduction of pest |
| | | and fire control and monitoring |
| | | mechanisms, and through the |
| | | introduction of on-the-ground |
| Have the environmental and social | | adaptation measures in water |
| safeguard measures that were taken been | Challenges & Opportunities | resource management for |
| effective in avoiding unwanted negative | | human consumption and |
| impacts? | | agricultural use, as well as |
| | | sustainable forest resource |
| | | usage practices in communities. |
| | | Other risk management |
| | | measures include expanded |
| | | research and monitoring of |
| | | climate impacts, adoption of |
| | | early warning systems, and |
| | | strengthening regulations and |
| | | enforcement to combat illegal |
| | | or unsustainable practices. Also |
| | | through the project, producers |
| | | have adopted improved |
| | | agricultural techniques (e.g., |
| | | organic farming, soil and water |
| | | conservation) that would reduce |
| | | the use of fertilizers and |
| | | pesticides, thereby reducing |

contamination of soil and water bodies. Social safeguards: The stakeholder engagement process was carried out in an inclusive manner starting with municipal authorities, then with local organizations present in the communities of each municipality within the Central Forest Corridor. The CFC Platform will also facilitate broad stakeholder consultation processes in a participatory manner. For the municipalities of Ojojona, Lepaterique and Santa Ana, in presence of the native Lenca people, the project has carried out consultations to identify local organizational structures, their eventual affiliation to second level organizational bodies; different approaches have been made to learn about their limitations and needs, prioritizing their projects to benefit the population of the Lenca communities of the CBC. UNDP has reiterated to SERNA the need to strengthen the environmental and social safeguards approach, including the protection of Lenca communities and vulnerable people in the intervention area during the implementation of project actions. UNDP Regional actions have generated awareness within the project team to manage safeguards within their work activities and field visits. Regarding the implementation of projects executed through low value grants, SANAA and AdaptarC have been committed tocomply the environmental and social safeguards since projects formulation. Gender Policy A A new gender diagnosis was carried out in the CBC, obtaining a total of 77 surveys applied in the municipalities corresponding to the area of influence of the project, in order to update the

gaps and progress of gender issues in the CBC. The work was carried out by each of the technicians of the area and the gender team of the OCP. A report is available that includes the tabulation of data from the 77 gender diagnostics and the analysis of the results is being developed to make the respective recommendations and take specific actions in the municipalities with the support of the OMMs. Regarding the grievance mechanism for reporting complaints related to environmental and social safeguards and the gender policy, the project has made progress in the installation of 9 of 14 community mailboxes (installed in the municipalities of Villa de San Francisco, Cantarranas, Valle de Angeles, Cedros, Talanga, San Antonio de Oriente, Tatumbla, Santa Lucia and San Buena Ventura, pending for the next period Santa Ana, Lepaterique, Ojojona, Villa de San Antonio and Distrito Central). In the field missions, the existence of this UNDP and Adaptation Fund mechanism has been socialized. In the mailboxes that have been placed in the municipalities, and in particular related to gender issues, there is a Follow-up Mechanism which has been designated to the OMMs. As part of the visibility actions, stickers have been placed in the mailboxes showing the contacts of the Adaptation Fund and UNDP to whom people can turn to in case of project's complaints. How have gender considerations been In the reporting period, the taken into consideration during the project has progressed on reporting period? What have been the gender issues. The gender lessons learned as a consequence of Challenges & Opportunities specialist covered relevant inclusion of such considerations on project areas: therefore, in the last quarter of 2022, the following performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing progress is reported: 1. The

measures and project/programme-specific gender diagnosis was updated indicators highlighting the role of women in the CBC, obtaining 77 as key actors in climate change adaptation. diagnoses that will help identify the next steps to carry out a gender plan in each municipality, with the support of the OMMs. 2. In 2022, the project benefitted approximately 2243 people with training, planning meetings, workshops, delivery of inputs and tools, delivery of risk system kits, among others, distributed as follows: 903 women, 1053 men and 287 young people. These figures include the Lenca population. 3. A preparatory meeting was held with the women group "Mi Tierra" to carry out the first gender systematization. 4. The Gender Action Plan's draft finalized and its activities have been activated in 13 municipalities and was being monitored by the gender specialist with the support of the project's technicians. To date, the lessons learned are: 1. Sustainable women':s groups have been established thanks to empowerment training, creating a positive impact on the project in terms of the percentage of women benefited. 2. The Gender Diagnosis Report analysis highlights that 90% of the surveyed women have been trained by the AdaptarC+ project on climate change, family vegetable gardens and women's empowerment, which is positive in terms of new roles for women in the environmental area. 3. The capacity of the Municipal Women's Offices (known by its acronym in spanish, OMM) to manage complaints and claims has been enhanced by the project's training. In the past, the OMM in each municipality had an invisible

| | | role in supporting women in the |
|---|----------------------------|---|
| | | community. To date, the OMM |
| | | is responsible for following up |
| | | on local problems regarding |
| | | women that arrive through |
| | | mailboxes that have been |
| | | installed in the 9 municipalities |
| | | of the CBC. |
| | | In the reporting period, there |
| | | was a change of government, |
| | | which led to changes of |
| | | authorities at the minister and |
| | | vice-minister levels, as well as |
| | | technical and administrative |
| | | officials. In the project there |
| | | was a change of coordination |
| | | with a period of interruption |
| | | between July and August. As a |
| | | result, the project has a new institutional leadership, aligned |
| | | with the government's |
| | | execution strategy, focused on |
| | | community empowerment. In |
| | | addition, there were other staff |
| | | changes in December 2022; |
| | | M&E and Field |
| | | Technicians, positions that |
| | | were filled between January |
| | | and April. The Gender and |
| Were there any delays in implementation? | | Administrative Assistant |
| If so, include any causes of delays. What | | positions have been vacant |
| measures have been taken to reduce | Challenges & Opportunities | since April. All this has slowed |
| delays? | | down project execution, due to |
| | | the learning curve and |
| | | differences in the project |
| | | execution approach, which affected the planning and |
| | | preparation of technical |
| | | activities and the relationship |
| | | with the Project's |
| | | Responsible Partners, resulting |
| | | in limited implementation of |
| | | activities of the Annual Work |
| | | Plan and low budget execution. |
| | | The challenge of establishing |
| | | the CBC governance platform |
| | | remains (Component 1). There |
| | | were delays in formalizing the |
| | | agreement with SANAA, and |
| | | therefore the Low Value Grant |
| | | projects to support water supply |
| | | to communities have not yet |
| | | been implemented (Component |
| | | 2). There were difficulties with |
| | | the ONCCDS in the |

implementation of the work plan to contribute to the generation, systematization and use of climate change knowledge and information that contributes to research, capacity building, monitoring and informed decision making (Component 3). The measures that have been implemented are: general coordination with the technical field team, gender activities, and monitoring of the complaints and claims mechanism, according to project documents. Regarding project personnel, SERNA has already launched a recruitment processes to fill the positions for Gender and for the Environmental and Social Safeguards structure. To date, the communications and M&E staff have been hired. To reinforce the project team's knowledge of Environmental and Social Safeguards, UNDP CO and the RTA have reiterated the importance of participating in the training spaces that the UNDP Regional has been developing since March 2023. To accelerate the implementation of Component 1 and 3 of the project, the responsible partner, FUNDAUNAH has been identified to establish the CBC's governance platform, and to generate, systematize and use knowledge respectively, and is currently in discussions to amend its letter of agreement. Likewise, to accelerate the implementation and achievement of project results, SERNA has proposed the involvement of its technical directorates to promote PES, a platform for the generation of information on CC, and the signing of agreements to receive and disseminate data related to CC. The Letter of

| | | Agreement was formalized with SANAA for the implementation of Low Value Grant projects to enhance water supply to communities. Currently, communication and visibility products are being generated of the project's actions. Additionally, just after the new Coordinator was appointed, the Project Work Plan (2022 - 2024) was reviewed, the progress of the AdaptarC+ Project was verified and based on the actual progress, the route to follow was outlined and permanent meetings were |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| | | established with the technical team, monitoring, gender and project management to provide guidelines to follow. |
| What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress? | Challenges & Opportunities | In this reporting, the project pursue a greater focus on communities and prioritize the goals in terms of the project's adaptation solutions. This due to the fact that a lag in the work with the communities was identified, which reflected in the indicators. By the end of 2022, the project has implemented a strategy through local organizations as governance platforms for the communities. The project has been able to reach out to different entities at the municipal level; mayors' offices (where the Municipal Women's Offices stand out), indigenous people organizations, local producers, and organized women's groups. Based on this actions, to continue promoting the strategy with local organizations, the project proposes that through the Responsible Partner FUNDAUNAH (which has experience with governance mechanisms with local actors, and a good performance in this project and in the previous Adaptation Fund project), the |

CBC Authority and platform will be formalized and trained in climate risk management. Also, through the strategy of working with communities, it has been possible to advance in the identification of 13 LVG projects (low value grants). The project has captured the interest of the municipalities to execute the micro-basin action plans. The selection of the 10 municipalities in which microbasin action plans will be carried out has initiated. This municipalities have suffered forest fires during the critical times of the year (summer/dry season). After discussing with these municipalities the benefits of the action plans for fire fighting and prevention, the municipalities along with the interest in participating in the elaboration of the plans, are interested in the implementation of the activities. The project has positioned itself as a benchmark in knowledge transfer/capacity building with the Water Boards, especially on firefighting themes. Even though the training on fire prevention and control, management of natural regeneration and protection activities was completed in the 14 municipalities, it should be noted that the members of the Water Boards of the municipality of Lepaterique, given the recurring problem of fires, have requested specific training on firefighting in water recharge areas. Regarding restoration activities, it is still a challenge for the municipalities to assume their legal responsibilities to decentralize the expeditious mechanism for issuing permits for small-scale timber harvesting. This due to the fact that municipalities perceive that this type of

management entails risks to the personal safety of staff and the municipality in general. As a lesson learned, although the project was able to advance in the prioritization of 1,780 ha of forest to be restored, the fact that municipal ordinances, especially land zoning, have not been established, has meant that many of these areas have already been occupied by individuals for private purposes. There were difficulties in complying with the ONCCDS Work Plan suscribed under a Low Value Grant agreement, which affects the generation, systematization and use of climate change knowledge and information that contributes to research, capacity building, monitoring and informed decision making (project indicators 3.2, 3.3 and 3.5). The organization strengthened the technical unit with equipment and tried to formalize agreements with some organizations to share Climate Change information, however, during the COVID pandemic of 2020-2021 it had internal organization and governance problems, which generated difficulties to comply with the agreed activities. After finalizing the agreement, the project has established a plan with the National Directorate of Climate Change of SERNA, especially to achieve the following: a) Technical and logistical capacities of the DNCC as a platform for generating information, indicators, policy analysis and public CC strategies are strengthened. b) Collaboration agreements are signed, and a communication and knowledge management strategy has been developed. On the other hand, the project, with its technical team, and also considering a

possible extension to the Letter of Agreement signed with FUNDAUNAH, contemplates generating lessons learned and best practices (including gender aspects). As part of the research carried out by FUNDAUNAH in order to generate knowledge and execute the project products according to scientific methods that make the intervention effective, the following lessons have been learned: *Behavior analysis of the water recharge elevations found in the CBC area, through the study of the isotopic composition of the different sources of water and rain: since the beginning of the study the participation of the communities in the data collection was contemplated, meaning that even when the product is finished, the communities continue to generate the data. Also, the dissemination of information with other bodies and projects is key to strengthening the results, as the linkage with the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and SANAA evidences. *The diagnosis of native, drought-resilient and infiltration-enhancing plants in key points of the CBC: the study was carried out, therefore, the obtained results in forestry must be used by ICF to achieve an effective restoration in the CBC. * The study of water demand in the CBC and the diagnosis of the CBC water ecology and the frequency of biological, microbiological and hydrogeochemical contaminants: has brought about the exchange of technological knowledge with the communities, highlighting that at local level, there is willingness to learn and apply techniques for the collection of

| information and to carry out |
|--------------------------------|
| actions aimed to improve the |
| water supply system. An |
| important lesson is that the |
| involvement of communities |
| and water boards is key to the |
| develop studies focused on |
| water optimization. In this |
| period, the implementation of |
| biofactories was promoted in |
| 25 groups of basic grains and |
| vegetable garden producers in |
| the 14 municipalities of the |
| CBC, where producers have |
| expressed the positive cost- |
| benefit ratio in production. |

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

| Climate Resilience Measures | |
|---|--|
| What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change? | |
| What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area? | |
| Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to | NIEs that received one or more readiness |
| grants) | |
| What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes? How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change? | |
| Concrete Adaptation Interventions | |
| What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions? | |

| What is the potential for the concrete adaptation | |
|---|----------------------|
| interventions undertaken by the project/programme | |
| to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside | |
| the project area? | |
| Knowledge Management | |
| How has existing information/data/knowledge been | |
| used to inform project development and | |
| implementation? What kinds of | |
| information/data/knowledge were used? | |
| Has the existing information/data/knowledge been | |
| made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what | |
| chanels of dissemination have been used? | |
| Please list any knowledge products generated and | |
| include hyperlinks whenever posssible (e.g. project | |
| videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, | |
| case studies, tranining manuals, handbooks, strategies | |
| and plans developed, etc.) | |
| If learning objectives have been established, have | |
| they been met? Please describe. | |
| Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing | |
| or retrieving existing information (data or | |
| knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please | |
| provide suggestions for improving access to the | |
| relevant data. | |
| Has the identification of learning objectives | |
| contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what | |
| ways have they contributed? | |
| Innovation | |
| Describe any innovative practices or technologies | |
| that figured prominently in this project. | |
| Complementarity/ Coherence with other cli | mate finance sources |
| Has the project been scaled-up from any other | |
| climate finance? Or has the project build upon any | |
| other climate finance initiative? | |
| If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the | |
| Fund/Organization. | |
| | |

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report? Not Applicable

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

| Core Indicator: No. | of beneficiaries | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Total | % of female beneficiaries | % of Youth beneficiaries |
| Baseline information | Direct beneficiaries supported by the project | | | |
| Baseline information | Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project | | | |
| Baseline information | Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries) | | | |
| Target performance at completion | Direct beneficiaries supported by the project | | | |
| Target performance at completion | Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project | | | |
| Target performance at completion | Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries) | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | Direct beneficiaries supported by the project | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries) | | | |
| Performance at completion | Direct beneficiaries supported by the project | | | |
| Performance at completion | Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project | | | |
| Performance at completion | Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries) | | | |

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

| | Number of targeted stakeholders - Total | Number of targeted stakeholders - % of female targeted | Hazards information generated and disseminated | Overall effectiveness |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| Baseline information | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | |

| Performance at mic term | d- | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | _ | | | | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ! | | ļ. | | |
| Output 1.1 Risk ar | nd vu | llnerability | assessi | ments cond | lucted and | l updat | ed | |
| Indicator 1.1: No. o | of pro | jects/progra | mmes t | hat conduc | t and upda | te risk a | and vulnerabili | ty assessments |
| | No | o. of | | | | | | |
| | pr th | ojects/prog at conduct date risk a | and | Sector | | Scale | | Status |
| | vu | lnerability sessments | | | | | | |
| Baseline information | on | | | | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | е | | | | | | | |
| Performance at mic | i- | | | | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | | | | | |
| | | _ | | | | | | |
| Output 1.2 Target | ed po | pulation g | roups c | covered by | adequate | risk re | duction syster | ns |
| Core Indicator 1.2: | No. o | of Early Wa | rning S | ystems | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| F | | f adopted Warning ms | Catego targeto | _ | Hazard | | Geographical coverage | Number of municipalities |
| F | Early | Warning | _ | _ | Hazard | | | |
| Baseline information | Early | Warning | _ | _ | Hazard | | | |
| Baseline F | Early | Warning | _ | _ | Hazard | | | |
| Baseline information Target performance at | Early | Warning | _ | _ | Hazard | | | |
| Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at | Early | Warning | _ | _ | Hazard | | | |
| Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid-term Performance at | Early | Warning | _ | _ | Hazard | | | |
| Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid-term Performance at completion | Early System engt | Warning ms hened ins | targeto | onal capa | city to re | educe | coverage | |
| Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid-term Performance at completion Outcome 2: Streen | Early System engt | Warning ms hened ins mic and e | targeto | onal capa | city to re | | risks associa | municipalities ated with climate- |
| Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid-term Performance at completion Outcome 2: Strend induced socioec | engt | Warning ms hened ins mic and e | targeto | onal capa nmental l | city to re osses tigate imp | | risks associa | municipalities ated with climate- |
| Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid-term Performance at completion Outcome 2: Stranduced socioec | engt onoi | Warning ms hened ins mic and e | targeto stitution nviron espond | onal capa amental l to, and mi Number o targeted - | city to reosses tigate imp | | risks associa | municipalities ated with climate- |
| Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid-term Performance at completion Outcome 2: Strenduced socioec Indicator 2: Capacitargeted institution | engt onol | hened insmic and excreased | targeto stitution nviron espond | onal capa nmental l to, and mi | city to reosses tigate imp f staff % of | acts of | risks associa | ated with climate- |
| Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid-term Performance at completion Outcome 2: Stronduced socioec Indicator 2: Capacitargeted institution | engtonoi city on Nutar | hened insmic and excreased | targeto stitution nviron espond | onal capa amental l to, and mi Number o targeted - | city to reosses tigate imp f staff % of | acts of | risks associa | ated with climate- |
| Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid-term Performance at completion Outcome 2: Strenduced socioec Indicator 2: Capacitargeted institution | engtonoi city on Nutar | hened insmic and excreased | targeto stitution nviron espond | onal capa amental l to, and mi Number o targeted - | city to reosses tigate imp f staff % of | acts of | risks associa | ated with climate- |

| term | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|
| Performance at | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | |
| Output 2.1 Strengther rapidly to extreme with the indicator 2.1.1: No. or | veather events | | | | | - |
| | T-4-1-4-66 4 | | 0/ -66 | 14- CC 4 | 1/T | - |
| Baseline information | Total staff t | trained | % of fema | ale staff train | iea i ypo | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | |
| Target performance a completion | .t | | | | | |
| Performance at mid-to- | erm | | | | | |
| Performance at find to | CHI | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2.1.2: No. o variability risks | | Scale | cased capaci | Sector | e expost | , |
| Baseline information | Type | Scale | | Sector | | Capacity Level |
| | | | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid- | | | | | | |
| term | | | | | | |
| Performance at | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | |
| Output 2.2. Increase and program adapta Indicator 2.2.1: No. or modality | ntion finance | | | | | · |
| | Number of beneficiaries | Scale | | Sector | | Capacity Level |
| Baseline information | | | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | | | | | | |
| Performance at | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Outcome 3: Stren | _ | eness and o | owernship | of adaptat | ion and | l climate risk |
| Indicator 3.1: Increa | | of appropri | ate adaptati | on responses | 6 | |

Percentage of targeted

Sector

| | population applying adaptation measures | |
|--|---|------------------------------|
| Baseline information | | |
| Target performance at completion | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | |
| Performance at completion | | |
| Output 3.1: Targeted population activities | groups participating in adaptation | and risk reduction awareness |

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

| | No. of targeted beneficiaries | % of female participants targeted | Level of awareness |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | |

Output 3.2: Stengthened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

| | NO. 01 technical | % of women represented in committes/associations | Level of awareness |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--|--------------------|
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | |

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

| | No. of tools and guidelines | Туре | Scale |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | |

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services

| and infrastructure as | ssets | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Indicator 4.1: Increased changing and variable cl | | opment sector services to | evolving needs from |
| | Project/programme sector | Geographical scale | Response level |
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | |
| Core Indicator 4.2: Asse | ts produced, developed, | improved or strengthene | |
| | Sector | Targeted asset | Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative) |
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | |
| climate variability and cha | ange | | v conditions resulting from |
| D 1: | Number of services | Type | Sector |
| Baseline information Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | |
| | | | |
| Outcome 5: Increase variability-induced s | <u> </u> | ce in response to clima | nte change and |
| Indicator 5: Ecosystem s change and variability-in | nduced stress | ource assets maintained o | r improved under climate |
| | Natural resource improvement level | Sector | Туре |
| Baseline information | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | |
| Performance at mid-term | | | |
| Performance at | | | |

| | | ral asset or ystem (type) | Total num natural as ecosystem | ssets or ns | Unit | | Effectiveness of protection/rehabilita |
|---|---------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------|--|
| Baseline information | | | protected | /rehabilita | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | | | | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | | | | |
| Indicator 6.1: Incre | | households ar | | ities having | g more secure | access | to livelihood assets |
| Indicator 6.1: Incre | | | nd commun | ities having % of fema | le headed | | s to livelihood assets |
| | ase in | households ar | nd commun | % of fema | le headed | | |
| Baseline information Target performance a | ase in | households ar | nd commun | % of fema | le headed | | |
| Baseline information Target performance a completion | ase in | households ar | nd commun | % of fema | le headed | | |
| Baseline information Target performance a completion Performance at mid-topic at completion | ase in | households ar | nd commun | % of fema | le headed | | |
| Baseline information Target performance a completion Performance at mid- Performance at completion | ase in | households ar No. of targete households | nd commun d | % of fema household | ale headed s | Imp | rovement level |
| Baseline information Target performance a completion Performance at mid- | ase in | households ar No. of targete households | nd commun d | % of fema household stained clin | s | Imp | rovement level |
| Baseline information Target performance a completion Performance at mid- Performance at completion Indicator 6.2: Incres | ase in Ase in No. o | households ar No. of targete households targeted popu | d commun d d dation's sus | % of fema household stained clin | nate-resilient a % increase in income level v | Imp | ntive livelihoods |
| Baseline information Target performance a completion Performance at mid-termine at completion Indicator 6.2: Incres Baseline information Target performance at completion | ase in Ase in No. o | households ar No. of targete households targeted popu | d commun d d dation's sus | % of fema household stained clin | nate-resilient a % increase in income level v | Imp | ntive livelihoods |
| Baseline information Target performance a completion Performance at mid- Performance at completion | ase in Ase in No. o | households ar No. of targete households targeted popu | d commun d d dation's sus | % of fema household stained clin | nate-resilient a % increase in income level v | Imp | ntive livelihoods |

| | | | | | | strategy |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------|
| Baseline information | | | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | | | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | + | | | | |
| Completion | | | | | | |
| Core Indicator 6.1.2: | Increased income | , or avoided | l decrease i | n income | | |
| | Number of ho | · | | | | |
| | (total number project area) | r in the | Income so | ource | Inco | me level (USD) |
| Baseline information | T U | | | | | |
| Target performance a completion | t | | | | | |
| Performance at mid-to | erm | | | | | |
| Performance at | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Indicator 7: Climate | change priorities a | are integrate | ed into nat Integratio | | ent st | rategy |
| Baseline information | | | | | | |
| Target performance a | t completion | | | | | |
| Performance at mid-te | | | | | | |
| Performance at compl | letion | | | | | |
| Output 7:Improved i | | | | - | | opment plans |
| | No. of Policies introduced or adjusted | Sector | | Scale | | Туре |
| Baseline information | | | | | | |
| Target performance at completion | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid- term | | | | | | |
| Performance at completion | | | | | | |
| Indicator 7.2: No. of enforced | | | es with inc | orporated clima | ate ch | nange priorities |
| | No. of Develo strategies | pment | Regulation | n | Effe | ctiveness |

Baseline information

| Target performance a completion | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Performance at mid-to | erm | | | | | | |
| Performance at | | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Outcome 8: Supp | ort t | he developr | nent and | diffusion o | of innovati | ive ada | ptation practices |
| tools and technolo | ogies | | | | | | |
| Indicator 8: Innovat at regional, national | | | | rolled out, sc | aled up, end | couraged | l and/or accelerate |
| , | | Sector of inn | | Coographi | ia Caala | Tym | • |
| | | practice | | Geographi | ic Scale | Тур | е |
| Baseline information | | | | | | | |
| Target performance a | t | | | | | | |
| completion | | | | | | | |
| Performance at mid-to | erm | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Completion Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of ireplicated | nnova | ative adaptatio | | | | | |
| Completion Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated | nnova No. o pract | ative adaptation of innovative tices/ tools | | s, tools and tec | | | |
| Completion Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated | nnova No. o pract | ative adaptatio | on practices | s, tools and tec | chnologies a | | d, scaled-up and/or |
| Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated Baseline information Target performance | nnova No. o pract | ative adaptation of innovative tices/ tools | on practices | s, tools and tec | chnologies a | | d, scaled-up and/or |
| | nnova No. o pract | ative adaptation of innovative tices/ tools | on practices | s, tools and tec | chnologies a | | d, scaled-up and/or |
| Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid- | nnova No. o pract | ative adaptation of innovative tices/ tools | on practices | s, tools and tec | chnologies a | | d, scaled-up and/or |
| Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid- term Performance at completion Indicator 8.2: No. of | No. o pract techr | of innovative tices/ tools nologies | Sector | s, tools and tec | Status | ccelerate | Effectiveness |
| Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid- term Performance at completion Indicator 8.2: No. of | No. o pract techr | of innovative tices/ tools nologies indings on efforms. | Sector fective, eff | s, tools and tec | Status | ces, prod | Effectiveness |
| Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid- term Performance at completion Indicator 8.2: No. of technologies generat | No. o pract techr | of innovative tices/ tools nologies | Sector fective, eff | icient adapta | Status | ces, prod | Effectiveness Lucts and |
| Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid- term Performance at completion Indicator 8.2: No. of technologies generat | No. o pract techr | of innovative tices/ tools nologies indings on efforms. | Sector fective, eff | icient adapta | Status | ces, prod | Effectiveness Lucts and |
| Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid- term Performance at completion Indicator 8.2: No. of technologies generat Baseline information Target performance a | No. o pract techr | of innovative tices/ tools nologies indings on efforms. | Sector fective, eff | icient adapta | Status | ces, prod | Effectiveness Lucts and |
| Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid- term Performance at completion Indicator 8.2: No. of technologies generat Baseline information Target performance a completion | No. o pract techr key f ed | of innovative tices/ tools nologies indings on efforms. | Sector fective, eff | icient adapta | Status | ces, prod | Effectiveness Lucts and |
| Output 8: Viable inn Indicator 8.1: No. of i replicated Baseline information Target performance at completion Performance at mid- term Performance at completion Indicator 8.2: No. of technologies generat | No. o pract techr key f ed | of innovative tices/ tools nologies indings on efforms. | Sector fective, eff | icient adapta | Status | ces, prod | Effectiveness Lucts and |