ADAPTATION FUND

Project Performance Report

Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	4/7/2025 - 4/7/2026
Project Title	Resilient Coastal Fisheries and Aquaculture in Nauru
Project Summary	
Database Number	AF00000329
Implementing Entity (IE)	The Pacific Community
Type of IE	Regional Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Nauru
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	Nauru (national / island-wide) - Coastal areas around Nauru - Anibare (Community Fish Market site) - NFMRA aquaculture facilities (including milkfish hatchery)
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	Lizzie Conway, Climate Finance Officer Anna Deinhard, Climate Finance Adviser Dirk Snyman, Climate Finance Coordinator

Project Milestones	
AFB Approval Date	3/24/2023
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	5/11/2023
Start of Project/Programme	4/8/2025
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	10/7/2028
Original Completion Date	4/7/2032
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them	
Category of condition	
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each
Inception report: May 8, 2025

List the Website address (URL) of project
n/a

Project Contacts			
National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	**Name**	**Email**	**Date**
Implementing Entity	Anna Deinhard	annad@spc.int	5/31/2026
Implementing Entity	Dirk Snyman	dirks@spc.int	5/31/2026
National Project Manager	Malady Giouba	maladygiouba@gmail.com	9/21/2025
Government(s) DA	Reagan Moses	secccnr@gmail.com	3/30/2025
Executing Agency	Sergio Bolasina	sergiob@spc.int	3/30/2025
Executing Agency	Being Yeeting	byeeting@gmail.com	3/30/2025

Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date ($)	$837,939.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date ($)	$475,339.00
Project disbursement rate (%)	9.65
Project execution rate (%)	0.64
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	
Investment Income ($)	$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception ($)	$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	**Amount ($)**
Output 1.1. Capacity enhancement of national institutions to mainstream climate change resilience across the coastal fisheries and aquaculture sectors.	$144,787.00
Output 1.2. Awareness raising campaigns conducted to enhance understanding of the CFA Act and NFMRA roadmap and promote grant facility	$36,441.00
Output 2.1. Provision of infrastructure to national aquaculture institutions to enhance their ability to provide training, extension services and supplies to existing and new aquaculture operators, increasing the resilience of the sector	$63,894.00
Output 2.2. Increased adaptive capacity of artisanal fishers and resilience of marine ecosystems in the face of climate variability and change	$56,923.00
Output 2.3. Grant Facility –Provision of infrastructure and equipment to enable the sustained production of milkfish for increased domestic food supply and income	$56,914.00
Output 3.1. Establishment of a knowledge management strategy (KMS) to sustain climateresilient practices in environmental and natural resource management	$45,808.00
Output 3.2. Learning and dissemination of project results	$43,823.00
IE fee ($)	$84,380.00
Execution cost ($)	$26,749.00

Planned Expenditure Schedule		
Output	**Projected Cost ($)**	**Estimated Completion Date**
Output 1.1. Capacity enhancement of national institutions to mainstream	$324,664.00	4/7/2027

climate change resilience across the coastal fisheries and aquaculture sectors.		
Output 1.2. Awareness raising campaigns conducted to enhance understanding of the CFA Act and NFMRA roadmap and promote grant facility	$66,909.00	4/7/2027
Output 2.1. Provision of infrastructure to national aquaculture institutions to enhance their ability to provide training, extension services and supplies to existing and new aquaculture operators, increasing the resilience of the sector	$460,935.00	4/7/2027
Output 2.2. Increased adaptive capacity of artisanal fishers and resilience of marine ecosystems in the face of climate variability and change	$223,335.00	4/7/2027
Output 2.3. Grant Facility –Provision of infrastructure and equipment to enable the sustained production of milkfish for increased domestic food supply and income	$90,935.00	4/7/2027
Output 3.1. Establishment of a knowledge management strategy (KMS) to sustain climateresilient practices in environmental and natural resource management	$79,930.00	4/7/2027
Output 3.1. Establishment of a knowledge management strategy (KMS) to sustain climateresilient practices in environmental and natural resource management	$77,930.00	4/7/2027

IE fee ($)	$116,500.00
Execution cost ($)	$106,500.00

Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)	
Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? ($)	$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). ($)	$0.00
Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

Identified Risk	**Current Status**	**Steps taken to mitigate risk**
Changes in political leadership at national and local level result in delays ora refocus or suspension of project activities	Low	During the first year of implementation, the PMU and national coordination team maintained regular engagement with key government counterparts, including line ministries and local authorities, through quarterly coordination meetings and bilateral consultations. These engagements ensured continued

		alignment of project activities with national priorities, including aquaculture development and coastal fisheries. No major disruptions linked to political changes were observed, and government commitment to the project has remained strong.
COVID-19 pandemic prevents or increases the costs of transport and freight for necessary supplies and construction materials	Low	During Year 1, the PMU closely monitored transport and freight conditions and incorporated flexibility into procurement planning. Early procurement planning was initiated for key inputs required for small-scale aquaculture activities. In addition, several coordination meetings and technical discussions were successfully conducted virtually to reduce dependency on travel. No major delays were experienced, although cost fluctuations continue to be monitored.
Insufficient buy-in from local communities to engage in aquaculture and coastal fisheries	Low	In the first year, the project implemented initial awareness-raising and stakeholder engagement activities. Preparatory work for training and technical support activities was also initiated to ensure communities are equipped to participate in aquaculture and coastal fisheries initiatives in subsequent phases.
Spillage of construction materials: The transport and supply of material, and any other machinery may have impacts that may arise from accidental spillage of construction materials (e.g. cement).	Low	During the reporting period, the project ensured that all preparatory activities were aligned with the project ESMS. Environmental and social safeguards procedures were introduced to relevant stakeholders, and compliance requirements were integrated into planning and procurement processes. No incidents of material spillage were reported during Year 1.
Nauru operates in Australian Dollars whilst AF disbursements are in US Dollars. As such, Fluctuations in foreign exchange rates could result in unpredictability in the availability of funds for project interventions	Low	The PMU implemented regular financial monitoring during Year 1, including periodic budget reviews to track exchange rate variations. Minor adjustments were made to budget planning where necessary to ensure continuity of activities. Exchange rate risks continue to be monitored as part of ongoing financial management.
Insufficient transparency and accounting in project financial management	Low	During Year 1, project financial management systems were operationalised in line with SPC procedures. The Procurement and Finance Officer (PFO) was engaged and oversaw financial transactions and procurement processes. Initial financial monitoring and reporting were conducted, including internal reviews and reporting to the Steering Committee. In addition, oversight by the Climate Finance Unit, in its role as IE, was initiated, including review of financial reports and provision of guidance as needed.
Delays in budget process and disbursements impede timely implementation of project activities	Low	In the first year, the PMU prepared and submitted the Annual Work Plan and Budget (AWPB), which was reviewed and approved by the Steering Committee. This enabled timely initiation of planned activities. Financial agreements and disbursement procedures were established, contributing to improved clarity and efficiency in fund flows. No significant delays in disbursement were recorded during the reporting period.
High staff turnover and limited national human resource base could compromise the project management unit and delay	Low	During Year 1, key PMU positions were successfully recruited and onboarded. Efforts were made to ensure staff retention through competitive remuneration and on-the-job capacity building. No significant staff turnover was experienced during

implementation		the reporting period, and the PMU remains fully operational.

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? Yes

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Procurement, shipping and freight delays affecting delivery of materials and training equipment	Moderate	During Year 1, the PMU initiated early procurement planning for priority equipment and materials, including the development of a detailed procurement plan aligned with the AWPB. Where feasible, orders were consolidated to optimise shipping timelines and reduce costs. The PMU also engaged closely with suppliers and logistics providers to track shipments and anticipate delays. For critical items, lead times were factored into implementation schedules, and minor schedule adjustments were made where required. Going forward, the project will continue to procure items well in advance, further consolidate shipments where feasible, and prioritise critical-path goods. Buffer stocks of essential materials and spare parts will be maintained locally where possible, and contingency time will continue to be built into workplans to minimise disruption from any future delays.

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

Key measures include conducting regular supplier performance reviews, diversification, and developing contingency plans for supply shortages. Technical specifications have been carried out for NFMRA facilities work to facilitate upcoming works and mitigate risks to equipment, to prepare for an ESS screening.

ESP Compliance

Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? No

1.Compliance with the law	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented	

during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
2.Access and equity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Slight risk of inequitable access. There is a potential risk if selection criteria of the beneficiaries are not fairly done. This could be a barrier to accessing benefits and marginalize stakeholders not selected.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	1. Publicly advertise and tender all contracts (PMU staff and technical experts, contractors, etc.) involved in the design, upgrade or construction of infrastructure 2. The project design supports equal access to training, equipment, infrastructure and services, taking especially into account marginalized and vulnerable groups, including women, youth and poorer communities. 3. The planning and designing of the mini-grant facility will ensure grants follow a consultative, inclusive process by requiring a community endorsement letter for every mini-grant application before approval. 4. The project will take a number of transparent steps that will help ensure that the benefits of the project are being distributed fairly with no discrimination nor favouritism. 5. Advertising broadly and conduct extensive outreach and consultative activities aimed at targeting the most vulnerable in outputs 1.2. and 2.3.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. Percentage of contracts that are publicly advertised 2. Evidence of project design supporting equal access to training, equipment, infrastructure and services 3. Number of communities consulted during the mini-grants designining stage 4. Evidence of project addressing key steps for fair distribution of benefits 5. Percentage of outreach and consultation activities that include targeted strategies to engage vulnerable groups (as defined by the project).
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	1. 0 2. 0 3. n/a 4. 0 5. n/a

Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	1. All contracts published during the reporting period were publicly advertised. 2. Project design is supportive of equal access to training, equipment, infrastructure and services 3. No activities planned for this reporting period 4. Project documentation reflects key component address most vulnerable populations, women and youth as key groups to target in addition to conduct consultative process and outreach. 5. No activities planned for this reporting period
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	n/a
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	n/a
3.Marginalized and vulnerable Groups	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Detailed stakeholder mapping and consultations have ensured that all the marginalized and vulnerable groups in the project area have been identified and incorporatedin the project design. Some project activities such as capacity building is mainly designed to benefit these groups, particularly women. To ensure equity amongst the groups, there will be deliberate effort to integrate vulnerable and marginalized groups such as women, youth, as well as poorer communities to directly benefit from project activities.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	By offering small grants through a community-led and demand-based mini-grant facility, the project aims to incentivise aquaculture production among the most vulnerable communities by lifting barriers of accessing finance to enable investment in small-scale aquaculture facilities (Output 2.3).
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of community-led applications for mini-grants (disaggregated by location, composition, demographic data)
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No activities planned for this reporting period
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	n/a
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	n/a
4.Human rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table	No

II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
5.Gender equality and women's empowerment	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The activities of the project are oriented to ensure and promote fair and equal access to the project 's activities and outcomes for both men and women
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project has developed a separate gender action plan (see Annex 4) that includes specific gender targets and quotas to ensure women are included in the sub-grant facility as well as engaged in other activities of the project targets and budget allocations, service providers to ensure outreach to women and integrate gender aspects in all reports. The project will encourage the inclusion of women and specific targets have been identified for them. The project has taken proactive measures to integrate gender focused development strategies that will ensure it will not pose a risk to the principle of gender equality and women's empowerment.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	see GAP
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	see GAP
Describe each safeguard measure that has been	see GAP

implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	n/a
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	n/a
6.Core labour rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Limited activities which may result in labour violations. A few activities which present potential occupational health and safety hazards for workers, primarily the construction and rehabilitation of some of the fisheries and aquaculture facilities.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Monitor activity-level OHS compliance with ILO standards, existing national labour laws and conventions, and SPC Procurement Policy; including those pertaining to payments, harsh working conditions, exploitation, and discrimination for the construction and rehabilitation of fisheries and aquaculture facilities Each of these activities will be closely monitored by project staff to ensure no violation of existing labour laws and conventions, including those pertaining to payments, harsh working conditions, exploitation, discrimination, and any other relevant provisions. Any contracts entered into will ensure rights of workers are in line with ILO standards as per SPC's policy.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Percentage of workers with written contracts that meet national labour laws standards Number of violations of existing relevant labour laws reported
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Compliance with ILO has been monitored. All relevant national labour laws and ILO labour standards have been followed. No reported violetions of labour laws.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	low
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Continuous compliance with national laws and ILO labour standards
7.Indigenous people	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable	

impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
8.Involuntary resettlement	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
9.Protection of natural habitats	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes

List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The project activities will be taking place in the land and marine spaces along the coast of Nauru. It is intended for the project ’s activities to have positive impact on the integrity of coastal and marine resources, as they aim to promote their conservation
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Ensure proposed interventions [in the grant screening process] do not contain critical natural habitats including those that are (a) legally protected; (b) officially proposed for protection; (c) recognised by authoritative sources for their high conservation value, including as critical habitat; or (d) recognised as protected by traditional or indigenous local communities.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Percentage of selected interventions meeting selection criteria
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No activities planned for this reporting period
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	n/a
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	n/a
10.Conservation of biological diversity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Construction of infrastructure will not occur in potential or effective terrestrial or marine protected areas. The project will also not be introducing invasive species; the only species will be indigenous to the project area.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	1. Ensure proposed interventions [in the grant screening process] are not in any terrestrial or marine-protected areas. 2. In the grant screening process, ensure that for coastal restoration interventions with revegetation activities, only native species will be utilised.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. Percentage of proposed interventions meeting selection criteria 2. Percentage of revegetation proposals confirming exclusive use of native species
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	1. 0 2. 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No activities planned for this reporting period
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	n/a
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that	n/a

will be taken	
11.Climate change	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
12.Pollution prevention and resource efficiency	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Activities under Component 2 will involve construction or rehabilitation activities and as such there is risk of waste not being disposed of properly.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	1. Develop a Waste Management Plan for the disposal of waste and waste by-products resulting from the small-scale infrastructure construction activities 2. (Upon completion of upgrade of existing NFMRA infrastructure) Measure the level of nutrient waste from the process; if needed, add a treatment tank to the system to mitigate the risk of nutrient discharge back to the sea 3. Monitor Waste Management Plan throughout implementation
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. Number of Waste Management Plans developed 2.1 "Water quality monitoring reports completed on nutrient waste. 2.2 Number of Treatment tanks installed (optional)" 3. Number of WMP monitoring inspections conducted during implementation
State the baseline condition for each monitoring	1. 0 2.1 0 2.2 0 3. 0

indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No activities planned for this reporting period
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	n/a
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	n/a
13.Public health	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Construction and rehabilitation activities under Component 2 may cause air and water pollution and stagnant water in storage facilities may pose health risks such as water-borne diseases and vectors.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Provide training on biosecurity and adequate water quality management for NMFRA staff
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of NMFRA extension agents trained on biosecurity and/or water quality management (disaggregated by gender).
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Attendance of 2 Nauru staff (2 males, ages 31-59) at the Regional Training on Giant Clam Spawning and Hatchery Techniques between 11 and 28 November 2025. This training included mentioning of biosecurity risks, in particular on risks importing feed from other countries. Facilitators' discussed the procedures Samoa went through when they received new clams from overseas.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	n/a
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	n/a
14.Physical and cultural heritage	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The project will not have any activity that damages or affects physical and cultural heritages. project aims to renew interest and facilitate uptake of a

	cultural heritage that is the production of milkfish.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Apply exclusionary criteria in the ESMF to disqualify interventions near cultural heritage sites; as well any construction or rehabilitation activities on or around an area of cultural significance
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Percentage of interventions screened for cultural heritage impacts
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No activities planned for this reporting period
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	n/a
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	n/a
15.Lands and soil conservation	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	There are no activities which explicitly target or require the use of soil. There is a small risk that construction and rehabilitation activities could have an impact on the land and soil surrounding the facilities.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	1. Require a community endorsement letter for every mini-grant application before approval. 2. Monitor land and soil conditions at all activity sites to detect and prevent negative impacts.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. Percentage of community-approved mini-grants accompanied by a valid community endorsement letter 2. Percentage of sites monitored for land and soil condition impacts
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No activities planned for this reporting period
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	n/a
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	n/a

Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required	
Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out?	Yes
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	Yes

If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	A potential risk regarding pond co-ownership disputes was identified. However, this is currently classified as a low-level risk, as stakeholders prefer local resolution, and no active disputes have hindered project activities during this period. In response to the identified risk of pond co-ownership disputes, the project has adopted a 'Neutral Facilitator' approach. Safeguard measures include: #183; Respecting Local Autonomy: Ensuring project staff do not intervene in private land-use negotiations unless formally requested by all parties. #183; Inclusion Checks: Verifying that 'reactivation' support is accessible to all co-owners to prevent the project from fuelling internal inequality. The ESMP has been internally noted to prioritise 'Social Harmony' during the recruitment phase, ensuring that local dispute resolution customs are respected in all field activities.

Section 3: Categorisation	
Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant?	Yes
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	

Section 4: Implementation arrangements	
What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	SPC, as the Implementing Entity, has oversight from its Climate Finance Unit and Social and Environmental Responsibility systems to support compliance with the project ESMP. Institutional arrangements include ongoing technical backstopping and quality assurance from the CFU, including support from a dedicated GESI and ESS Officer who provided onboarding guidance and continued advisory support on safeguard implementation. During the reporting period, SPC also supported safeguard implementation through the review of key project documents, including Terms of Reference, participation in recruitment processes, and onboarding of project staff to ensure ESS requirements were understood and integrated from the outset. A dedicated GESS Officer within the project provides day-to-day support on risk identification, mitigation, and monitoring, including for the mini-grant facility. Regular coordination and supervision between SPC and the PMU have ensured that safeguards are embedded into project planning, implementation, and reporting. ESS monitoring has been integrated into project M&E processes and annual reporting, enabling ongoing tracking of risks, adaptive management, and reporting to SPC and the Adaptation Fund.
Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period?	Yes

What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	NFMRA: During the reporting period, NFMRA facilitated national-level implementation of environmental, social and gender safeguard measures through engagement with relevant government stakeholders, communities, fishers and other local partners. NFMRA supported the planning and delivery of in-country consultations, awareness raising and other field-level activities, ensuring that environmental, social and gender considerations were taken into account and that activities remained responsive to national priorities and the needs of target communities and nearshore fisheries stakeholders. During the reporting period, these arrangements included the completion of a policy recommendations report and implementation roadmap for the Coastal Fisheries and Aquaculture Act and the Climate Change Act, incorporating climate risk analysis and environmental and social safeguards considerations. SPC FAME: During the reporting period, SPC FAME, provided technical oversight and support to ensure that the project's implementation remained aligned with project requirements. This included the review and integration of environmental, social and gender considerations into relevant procurement processes and project documentation, as well as support for the preparation and review of annual work plans. SPC FAME also provided support to ensure that the required safeguard considerations were reflected in project implementation and that relevant information. In December, NFMRA and SPC FAME, convened a consultative meeting with Community Leaders from all districts to formally re-establish the Community Fishers' Stakeholder Forum. The forum provides a mechanism for strengthening Community-Based Fisheries Management and enabling local communities to participate directly in the management of coastal fisheries and marine resources. This provided an important platform for stakeholder engagement and inclusive decision-making in the implementation of project activities. Following the re-establishment of the forum, NFMRA and SPC FAME supported an online meeting in April to discuss the reactivation of milkfish aquaculture. Ensuring equitable access to the programme was a key consideration. To address potential barriers for stakeholders without internet connectivity, the group coordinated a radio broadcast to reach both previous farmers interested in restarting milkfish operations and new entrants interested in establishing milkfish farms.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	Yes

Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section

needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.	
Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	Partially
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details.	Yes
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled?	Yes
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	No

Identified USPs in the reporting period	Application of ESMP to the USP	ESP risks identified for the USP	Has an impact assessment been carried out?	Consultation held for risks and impacts identification for USP	Gender disaggregation to identify risks and impacts	Safeguard measures identified for the USP	Monitoring indicator(s) for each impact

Section 6: Grievances	
Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process	Provide the status/outcome

Comments

For Section 5, no USPs were implemented during this reporting period. A grant facility will be established during the following reporting period. USPs will be implemented through this facility.

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework					
Gender-	**Level**	**Indicator**	**Baseline**	**Target**	**Rated result for**

responsive element					the reporting period
Gender report and assessment on the role and needs of women involved in the coastal fisheries and aquaculture sectors (under activity 1.1.2)	Output	Number of gender reports completed on the role and needs of women involved in the coastal fisheries and aquaculture sectors	0	1	Satisfactory
Gender report and assessment on the role and needs of women involved in the coastal fisheries and aquaculture sectors (under activity 1.1.2)	Output	Extent to which findings and data from gender report/assessment are integrated across project activities (as measured through the project evaluation)	0	n/a	Satisfactory
Women and women's groups identified as potential beneficiaries/mini-grant participants	Output	Number of women's groups identified for inclusion as beneficiaries/mini-grant participants	0	at least 1	Good
Women and women's groups targeted for training (under activity 1.2.2 and activity 2.3.2)	Output	Percentage of participants in awareness-raising activities of the mini-grant facility that are women	0	30%	Good
Messaging specifically targets women and includes information on gendered impacts and needs under activity 1.2.2 and activity 2.3.2)	Output	Percentage of campaign messages developed with information on gendered impacts and needs	0	70%	Satisfactory
Messaging specifically targets women and includes information on gendered impacts and needs under activity 1.2.2 and activity 2.3.2)	Output	Number of women reach by the national outreach campaign on the mini-grant facility	0	239	Satisfactory
Hold a	Output	Number of	0	1	Satisfactory

women/women group led discussion on the technical details and design specifications for the upgrade of Anibare Community Fish Market (activity2.2.2)		women-only meetings held to discuss the technical details and design specifications for the upgrade of the Anibare Community Fish Market			
Mini-grant facility includes quota of at least 30% women and/or women's organizations to receive grants (under activity 2.3.1)	Output	Percentage of selected mini-grant recipients that are women or women's organisations	0	30%	Satisfactory
Mini-grant facility includes screening process to ensure funded grants are gender responsive under activity 2.3.1)	Output	Percentage of mini-grant facility applications that are screened against gender-responsive criteria	0	100%	Satisfactory
Women targeted to participate as part of the environmental and marine surveillance groups (under activity 3.1.2)	Output	Percentage of environmental and marine surveillance group participants that are women	0	30%	Satisfactory
Gender-disaggregated results produced	Output	Number of reports with gender-specific data produced and disseminated	0	all reports	Satisfactory
Gender-dimensions of the project evaluated	Output	Number of reports with gender-specific data produced and disseminated	0	all reports	Satisfactory
Increased adaptive capacity of artisanal fishers and resilience of marine ecosystems in the face of climate	Output	Number of nearshore fishers trained in application of sustainable fishing practices (gender disaggregated)	0	200 (25% target - 50 women)	Satisfactory

variability and change					
Increased adaptive capacity of artisanal fishers and resilience of marine ecosystems in the face of climate variability and change	Output	Number of fish providers and intermediaries provided access to cold storage increased product longevity	0	90 (26 women)	Satisfactory

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
Integration of gender considerations within the policy roadmap and recommendation report.	Good	Gender considertions were included within the policy roadmap and recommendation report. This was a requirement within the ToRs.

Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	SPC, through the Climate Finance Unit and wider institutional systems has provided oversight to support compliance with the Adaptation Fund Gender Policy. This included technical backstopping and onboarding support from the CFU, including from a dedicated GESI and ESS Officer, to help integrate gender considerations into project implementation. During the reporting period, SPC supported compliance through review of key project documents, including Terms of Reference, participation in recruitment processes, and onboarding of project staff to ensure gender considerations were understood from the outset. Regular coordination with the PMU, together with integration of gender-related monitoring into project M&E and annual reporting, has supported ongoing tracking and reporting of progress against gender commitments.
Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	The Executing Entity has integrated gender considerations and the inclusion of vulnerable groups across key project activities during the reporting period. This has included the incorporation of gender equality and inclusion into policy discussions and recommendations, as well as into NFMRA capacity

	building trainings. Systematic environmental, social and gender screening has been applied to project activities and trainings, supported by targeted awareness raising and stakeholder consultations. A policy recommendations report and implementation roadmap for the Coastal Fisheries and Aquaculture Act and the Climate Change Act were finalised, incorporating climate risk analysis and environmental, social and gender considerations. A dedicated training on gender considerations, monitoring and reporting will be delivered to the PMU in June 2026 to strengthen implementation capacity. However, a key challenge remains the limited availability of sex-disaggregated data on access to assets, finance and participation in fisheries and aquaculture activities. To address this, the preparation of a GEDSI assessment for the fisheries sector has been identified as a priority moving forward.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	Yes **Comments:** Yes. Recruitment delays meant that dedicated ESS and GESI capacity was only in place from October 2025, and gaps remain in the availability of sex-disaggregated data. To address this, gender considerations have been applied across activities, and targeted capacity building will delivered to the PMU in June. A GEDSI assessment has also been prioritised to strengthen data and improve future gender-responsive planning and reporting.

Section 4: Grievances	
Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	Partially
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process used	Provide the status/outcome

Comments

Rating

Implementing Entity

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Comments	Rating
Outcome 1: Strengthened institutional structures from national to community levels enable integrated climate resilience implementation in the coastal fisheries and aquaculture sectors	Outcome 7	Develop recommendations report and roadmap to guide the implementation of the Coastal Fisheries and Aquaculture Act and the Climate Change Act. Conduct surveys and inventories to gather data relevant to the project, such as on fish stocks, aquaculture operations, and community needs. Recruit and train NFMRA staff Launch outreach campaign and dissemination to raise awareness among stakeholders and the broader community about the project's objectives, activities, and benefits	Ontrack		
Outcome 2: Improved food security and nutrition through increased farmed fish supply, increased adaptive capacity and income of aquaculture operators and reduced pressure on climate-vulnerable coastal and reef ecosystems	Outcome 6	Upgrade NFMRA aquaculture facilities Develop sustainable feed production supply Aggregating Devices and marine monitoring stations Train small-scale fishers and aquaculture farmers in sustainable methods	Ontrack		
Outcome 3: Increased resilience of ecosystems and adaptive capacity of communities through availability of data and knowledge sharing mechanisms for adaptation planning and environmental protection	Outcome 8	Deploy Fish Aggregating Devices and marine monitoring stations			

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating	

Outcome 1: Strengthened institutional structures from national to community levels enable integrated climate resilience implementation in the coastal fisheries and aquaculture sectors	Outcome 7	Develop recommendations report and roadmap to guide the implementation of the Coastal Fisheries and Aquaculture Act and the Climate Change Act. Conduct surveys and inventories to gather data relevant to the project, such as on fish stocks, aquaculture operations, and community needs. Recruit and train NFMRA staff Launch outreach campaign and dissemination to raise awareness among stakeholders and the broader community about the project's objectives, activities, and benefits	Ontrack		Satisfactory
Outcome 2: Improved food security and nutrition through increased farmed fish supply, increased adaptive capacity and income of aquaculture operators and reduced pressure on climate-vulnerable coastal and reef ecosystems	Outcome 6	Upgrade NFMRA aquaculture facilities Develop sustainable feed production supply Aggregating Devices and marine monitoring stations Train small-scale fishers and aquaculture farmers in sustainable methods	Ontrack		Satisfactory
Outcome 3: Increased resilience of ecosystems and adaptive capacity of communities through availability of data and knowledge sharing mechanisms for adaptation planning and environmental protection	Outcome 8	Deploy Fish Aggregating Devices and marine monitoring stations	Ontrack		Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email	Institution
Sergio Bolasina	sergiob@spc.int	SPC

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Significant progress has been made in capacity building, with training activities strengthening institutional and technical skills of key stakeholders, thereby supporting long-term sustainability. In parallel, advances in surveys and inventories are improving the evidence base for decision-making, while targeted aquaculture infrastructure upgrades are addressing critical operational gaps and enabling enhanced productivity. Furthermore, community awareness campaigns are gaining momentum, with increasing participation and early indications of behavioural change and uptake of improved practices. While some components remain under implementation, these do not substantially affect the overall trajectory, and the strategy is on track to achieve its intended outcomes.

Other

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall Rating

Overall rating

Satisfactory

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc…)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Objectives	Number of Nauruan's with enhanced climate resilient livelihoods and food security (gender disaggregated)	0	0	Direct beneficiaries: 875* (8.1% of total population) 239 women (27%), 636 men Indirect beneficiaries: 10,800 (100% of total population) 5,400 men, 5,400 women (50%)
Outcomes	Number of NFMRA extension agents with increased technical and operational capacity to implement climate-resilient coastal fisheries and aquaculture plans (gender disaggregated)	0	2 staff members attended training for Clam Farming 26 staff members attended training for Safety at Sea (6 women) 24 staff members attended training for Bio Sampling Ikasavea (6 women)	70 staff members (25% target - 17 women)

Outputs	Number of recommendations and roadmaps developed, and budgeted in the aquaculture and coastal fisheries sectors	0	1 road map 1 Policy recommendation report	1 Road map developed and under implementation 1 policy recommendations report provided to enhance climate resilience of future development plans
Outputs	Number of technical surveys and assessments conducted to support multi-sectoral planning and implementation of climate resilience mainstreaming	0	0	5 technical assessments conducted
Outputs	Percentage of targeted population aware of appropriate adaptation responses to enhance resilience of food security through the coastal fisheries and aquaculture sector as well as the creation of the grant facility.	Small-scale coastal fishers engage in unsustainable, unselective fishing techniques, resulting in marine resource degradation and damaged ecosystem	0	100% of population
Outcomes	Number of Nauruan's providing climate-resilient and diversified aquaculture and fisheries products (gender disaggregated)	0	0	650 (25% target - 162 women)
Outputs	National aquaculture facility fully upgraded and operational	0	0	1 (scoring as fully upgraded and operational)
Outputs	Number of nearshore fishers trained in application of sustainable fishing practices (gender disaggregated)	0	0	200 (25% target – 50 women)
Outputs	Number of fish providers and intermediaries provided access to cold storage increased product longevity	0	0	90 (26 women)

Outputs	Number of grants approved	0	0	110 grants provided (100 individual grants and 10 community grants)
Outcomes	Percentage of Nauruan’s reporting increased awareness and access to climate-resilient fisheries, aquaculture, and marine resource conservation knowledge and related data (gender disaggregated)	Limited community-government collaboration for environmental protection Limited knowledge base to inform adaptation planning	0	100% of targeted population (50% women)
Outputs	Number of marine monitoring stations deployed	0	0	10
Outputs	Number of environmental surveillance groups established	0	0	1
Outputs	Number of knowledge exchanges with MASA partner countries	0	0	3
Outputs	Percentage of project related data and information compiled in national and regional platforms	Limited reporting of project-related data on national and regional data portals Restricted access to environmental data to researchers and communities	0	100% of project-derived data, information and outputs

Comments

Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management		
Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)	Challenges	n/a
Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts?	Challenges & Opportunities	During the reporting period, environmental and social (E&S) safeguard measures were operationalised and

		applied to key project activities, contributing to the avoidance of negative impacts and improved stakeholder engagement. Overall, no major environmental or social incidents were reported during the reporting period. However, implementation highlighted the importance of early technical validation, inclusive consultation mechanisms, and continuous oversight to ensure safeguard compliance in practice. For the aquaculture facility upgrades and drilling activities, environmental and social considerations were integrated into the preparatory phase. This included early technical consultations with SPC GEM and contractors to ensure appropriate site selection, alignment with environmental requirements, and mitigation of risks such as contamination or improper handling of materials. Delays in contractor readiness also provided additional time to review technical designs and ensure compliance with safeguard requirements prior to mobilisation. For training activities (e.g. diving training), E&S screening informed the selection and design of activities, including consideration of participant safety, certification standards, and inclusion aspects. The delay in procurement and contracting also allowed for additional verification of provider compliance with safety and environmental standards. The re-establishment of the Community Fisher's Stakeholder Forum in December has strengthened social safeguards by creating a formal mechanism for community consultation and participation. This has improved transparency and

		accountability in decision-making and supports the identification and management of potential social risks, including equitable access to project benefits. Subsequent outreach activities, including the radio broadcast to support the reactivation of milkfish aquaculture, ensured that information reached stakeholders without internet access, thereby mitigating risks of exclusion and strengthening inclusive participation. At the policy level, the policy recommendations report and implementation roadmap for the Coastal Fisheries and Aquaculture Act and the Climate Change Act incorporated climate risk analysis alongside environmental and social considerations, supporting longer-term institutionalisation of safeguard principles.
How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.	Challenges & Opportunities	Gender equality and the inclusion of vulnerable groups were integrated into the discussions during the policy recommendations workshop and during NFMRA capacity building trainings; however, a significant gap remains due to the limited availability of sex disaggregated data on access to assets and finance, and participation in coastal fisheries and aquaculture activities. A training on gender considerations, monitoring, and reporting will be delivered in June 2026 for Nauru PMU team. Following this, the preparation of a GEDSI assessment in the fisheries sector is planned as a priority, to be developed in close coordination with the PMU officer.
Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?	Challenges & Opportunities	Upgrades on aquaculture facility: Ongoing coordination with key stakeholders, including NFMRA, SPC GEM

		technical team, and drilling contractors (Pinkston Capelle and CHEC/Ministry of Sports). Progress has been affected by equipment-related constraints. Ministry of Sports drilling rig experienced breakdowns and required repairs, while the local contractor Capelle faced delays due to pending release of materials, fabrication of key components, and final equipment readiness. These issues have pushed mobilisation timelines beyond initial expectations. To avoid further delays, a draft scope of drilling work has been prepared, along with formal requests to SPC GEM for technical oversight, to ensure alignment with project objectives, environmental preparation, and safeguards. Final implementation remains subject to confirmation of the selected drilling provider, bore design details, and supervision arrangements. Training: Delays in approving the selected provider and finalizing the contract affected the original diving training schedule, requiring its postponement. With these processes now nearing completion, establishing a clear and realistic timeline for equipment procurement, shipping, and delivery is essential to support effective planning and timely implementation of the rescheduled training.
What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress?	Challenges	Implementation has been somewhat impacted by the following key challenges: - Maintenance-related downtime for critical machinery and procurement issues have caused delays for some of the activities. Lessons include procurement planning, and close follow-up with procurement and vendors on urgency of activities. In the future procurement plans will

		be socialised with the management to improve sign-off times for procurement activities. This will be done on a quarterly basis. - Delays in onboarding of NFMRA staff has delayed the start of some of the activities. Early planning for new hires and engagement with Government on internal approval processes. - Low availability of skilled providers locally. Activities need to be well planned and scoped, as well as socialised with potential providers. Procurement plans can support engagement with the procurement team and process. - Strong engagement from the NFMRA and key stakeholders has provided strong guidance and leadership for the project, as well as country coordination with other existing projects. - Access to SPC technical teams has supported capacity building, e.g. training of FAD deployment, and implementation of project activities. - Doing the policy roadmap early on in the project has supported strategic direction of the project and long-term alignment of outcome 1 with national policies.

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

Climate Resilience Measures	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness	

grants)	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?	
How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
Concrete Adaptation Interventions	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?	
What is the potential for the concrete adaptation interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Knowledge Management	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	
Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what chanels of dissemination have been used?	
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever posssible (e.g. project videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, tranining manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	
Innovation	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	
Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other	

climate finance? Or has the project build upon any other climate finance initiative?	
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report?

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries

		Total	**% of female beneficiaries**	**% of Youth beneficiaries**
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0	0
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0	0
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	875	27	73
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	10800	50	50
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	11675	38.5	61.5
Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the			

	project			
Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

	Number of targeted stakeholders - Total	Number of targeted stakeholders - % of female targeted	Hazards information generated and disseminated	Overall effectiveness
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

	No. of projects/programme that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments	Sector	Scale	Status
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	No. of adopted Early Warning Systems	Category targeted	Hazard	Geographical coverage	Number of municipalities
Baseline information					
Target performance at					

completion					
Performance at mid-term					
Performance at completion					

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased

	Number of staff targeted - Total	Number of staff targeted - % of female targeted	Sector	Capacity level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	Total staff trained	% of female staff trained	Type
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	Type	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

	Number of beneficiaries	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and owernship of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes

Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses

	Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures	Sector
Baseline information		
Target performance at completion		
Performance at mid-term		
Performance at completion		

Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 3.2: Stengthened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committes/associations	Level of awareness
Baseline information			
Target performance at			

completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets

Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
Baseline information	Coastal management	Local	
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
Baseline information			

Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress

Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress

	Natural resource improvement level	**Sector**	**Type**
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthned in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	**Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilitat**	**Unit**	**Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation**
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas

Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets

	No. of targeted households	**% of female headed households**	**Improvement level**
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy
Baseline information	0	Human capital	Food security	Supporting livelihoods
Target performance at completion	650	Human capital	Food security	Supporting livelihoods
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures

Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy

	Integration level
Baseline information	
Target performance at completion	
Performance at mid-term	
Performance at completion	

Output 7:Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
Baseline information	0	Food security	National	Domestic policy
Target performance at completion	1	Food security	National	Domestic policy
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced

	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness
Baseline information	0	1: Not enforced (No elements implemented))	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	1	4: Enforced (Most elements implemented)	4: Effective
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies

Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, saled up, encourages and/or accelerated

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
Baseline information				
Target performance				

at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated

	No. of key findings generated	Type	Effectiveness
Baseline information	0	Innovative practice	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	3	Innovative practice	4: Effective
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			