



ADAPTATION FUND

# Project Performance Report

## Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	10/19/2023 - 10/19/2024
Project Title	Strengthen the Resilience of Smallholder Farmers to the Effects of Climate Change through the Adoption of Proven Innovative Technologies and Practices
Project Summary	
Database Number	AF00000294
Implementing Entity (IE)	The Interprofessional Fund for Agricultural Research
Type of IE	National Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Côte d'Ivoire
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	COTE D'IVOIRE
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	N'DIAYE OUMAR

### Project Milestones

AFB Approval Date	3/24/2023
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	5/5/2023
Start of Project/Programme	10/19/2023
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	
Original Completion Date	1/31/2026
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	

### Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

### List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them

Category of condition	
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

### List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each

INCEPTION REPORT (11/2023)

### List the Website address (URL) of project

The website is under construction. It will be available for year 2

### Project Contacts

National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	Name	Email	Date
Coordinator	KOUADIO KOUACOU FIDELE	kouadiokf@firca.ci	11/7/2024

## Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$)	\$1,412,166.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$)	\$928,815.00
Project disbursement rate (%)	35.47
Project execution rate (%)	25.19
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	
Investment Income (\$)	\$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$)	\$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	Amount (\$)
Output: 1.1. : Capacity of extension agents to disseminate adaptation technologies to farmers is strengthened	\$75,780.00
Output: 1.2 : Vegetable farmers' capacities to use Solid Rain are strengthened	\$408,008.00
Output 2.1 The capacity of rice and fish farmers is strengthened by the adoption of rice and fish farming	\$25,350.00
Output 2.2 : Training of rural planners on the rice-fish diversification management system	\$11,980.00
Output 2.3 : Applications of rice-fish farming are developed	\$207,210.00
Output 3.1. : An adaptation-focused microfinance programme that supports the adoption of resilient rice and vegetable technologies and practices through partnership with local microfinance and committed local management	\$0.00
Output 3.2. : Strengthening existing cooperatives/business organizations to improve climate-resilient rice and vegetable practices through increased productivity and household income through a microfinance facility	\$0.00
Output 3.3. : Comprehensive climate adaptation plans for each value chain with identified public and private sources of finance are developed	\$0.00
Output 3.4. : A market access strategy to facilitate the commercialization of products is developed	\$0.00
Output 4.1. Establishment of a capacity building and knowledge sharing system based on strengthening extension services/Lead Farmer Programme (ToF)Model) with consolidated modules and training guidelines (MRV training...)	\$0.00
Output 4.2. Assessment and adaptation of policies/strategies and institutions to ensure and facilitate private sector participation, including the creation of incentive mechanisms	\$0.00
Additional activities year 1	\$64,487.00
IE fee (\$)	\$104,455.00
Execution cost (\$)	\$136,000.00

Planned Expenditure Schedule		
Output	Projected Cost	Estimated

	(\$)	Completion Date
Output: 1.1. : Capacity of extension agents to disseminate adaptation technologies to farmers is strengthened	\$75,780.00	9/30/2024
Output: 1.2 : Vegetable farmers' capacities to use Solid Rain are strengthened	\$427,808.00	4/30/2024
Output 2.1 The capacity of rice and fish farmers is strengthened by the adoption of rice and fish farming	\$83,040.00	8/31/2024
Output 2.2 : Training of rural planners on the rice-fish diversification management system	\$11,980.00	9/30/2024
Output 2.3 : Applications of rice-fish farming are developed	\$473,823.00	9/30/2024
IE fee (\$)		\$104,455.00
Execution cost (\$)		\$136,000.00

**Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)**

Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$)	\$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$)	\$0.00
Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	

## Risk Assessment

### Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Timely disbursement of funds	Low	Funding requests and project progress reports were prepared, communicated and submitted in a timely manner to the Adaptation Fund and to ensure that adequate feedback was provided to expedite the disbursement of the Fund. This same approach was applied with the implementing entity through the establishment of a project implementation manual.
Financial Control Risk	Low	The application of the three (3) levels of security ensuring transparency and control of operations has made it possible to considerably mitigate the risks of distortion and dysfunction related to management: (i) The fact that a single person cannot conduct an operation in its entirety (from start to finish, from execution to final control); (ii) the establishment of accounting self-audits; (iii) the establishment of local monitoring carried out by FIRCA in addition to an annual audit of the accounts. All these elements have made it possible to reduce the risk.
Project Performance	Low	The project team was formed taking into account the skills and capacities required to manage the climate change response project as well as the mastery

Project Governance		of appropriate monitoring tools to facilitate the implementation of this project. Following this, during a technical workshop, the operational plan as well as the budgeted annual work plan were developed to facilitate the start of the project.
Stakeholder participation	Low	To facilitate the involvement of stakeholders in their multiple dimensions (women, young people, people living with disabilities, the elderly, etc.) involved since the design of the project, information workshops were organized in the different areas where the project is implemented.
Technologies are accessible	Low	To facilitate access to adaptation technologies, a partnership agreement was signed with the operator holding the innovation in Côte d'Ivoire. This allowed the rapid provision of the product to beneficiaries. For the rice-fish farming component, the project opted for the training approach. Thus, 24 rural planners were trained to lead the implementation of this technology. In order to ensure equal opportunities for all project beneficiaries, a form on the community's commitment to facilitate the involvement, participation and access of women to the technologies and activities disseminated by the PRECCINOV project was signed by the various chiefdoms to signify the community's acceptance and commitment.
Producers are open to innovation	Moderate	The approach of installing technology demonstration plots facilitated adoption among the various targets of the project. These plots made it possible to prove the effectiveness of the technologies and quickly gained the support of the beneficiaries.
Financial institutions support financing for small producers	Moderate	For this risk, it is planned to deploy the Management Council in the project implementation areas.

### Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? No

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
-----------------	----------------	------------------------------

### Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

All the planned mitigation measures have made it possible to reduce the risks during this first year of project execution.

## ESP Compliance

### Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? Yes

#### 1.Compliance with the law

Are environmental or social risks present as per table No

II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
<b>2. Access and equity</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Unequal distribution of resources due to the level of vulnerability of certain communities may constitute a major risk for the project
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The categorical approach to identifying FIRCA beneficiaries (disaggregated by gender) mitigates this risk. This dimension is taken into account from the design stage and facilitates the orientation of activities by category of actors
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Rate of application of equity criteria for the selection of beneficiaries at the different stages of the project Percentage of vulnerable people who received training
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The project ensured the integration of gender specificities in the beneficiary selection processes through the development of inclusive criteria.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	None No residual impact identified at this stage
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No action at this stage

<b>3. Marginalized and vulnerable Groups</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Exclusion of Marginalized Groups in Project Implementation
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Thanks to FIRCA's targeting approach through categorical consultations (from the most vulnerable to the least vulnerable), this group of actors will be considered as well as the specific needs linked to their situation.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Percentage of young people and women benefiting from the project
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	When selecting beneficiaries, through the approach of categorical consultations (from the most vulnerable to the least vulnerable), this group of actors will be considered as well as the specific needs linked to their situation, this risk has been mitigated
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact at this stage
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No action
<b>4. Human rights</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Failure to respect fundamental human rights in the implementation of certain activities
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project has ensured that no activity is or will be included in the project that does not respect human rights in accordance with the Constitution of the Republic of Côte d'Ivoire and all international conventions
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of complaints related to human rights violations
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Raising awareness of compliance with labor legislation for all project staff including the coordination team
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact



Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No action
<b>5. Gender equality and women's empowerment</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Unfair representation of women in the decision-making process; identification, planning and implementation of activities
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	A fair and equitable selection of beneficiaries was carried out to build capacities along the selected value chains.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Percentage of women in the decision-making process Number of complaints received
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The integration of gender-specific criteria in the selection of beneficiaries has helped to mitigate this risk.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No action
<b>6. Core labour rights</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Lack of formal contract for employees (employment contracts, declaration to the CNPS) Non-compliance with salary scales Non-compliance with the minimum age for work (child labor)
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	An information campaign was conducted among the project beneficiaries for the application of the labor code. Salaries were determined according to the legal scales (SMIG and SMAG).
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of awareness sessions Number of complaints
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	An information campaign was conducted among the project beneficiaries for the application of the labor

	code. Salaries were determined according to the legal scales (SMIG and SMAG).
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No action
<b>7.Indigenous people</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
<b>8.Involuntary resettlement</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been	



implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
<b>9. Protection of natural habitats</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Potential for degradation of natural habitats by agricultural practices
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project will promote sustainable agricultural practices to limit the impact of natural resource degradation.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of sustainable practices promoted
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Training on good sustainable production practices
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No action
<b>10. Conservation of biological diversity</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Introduction of new species or varieties into agricultural production systems Risk of biodiversity loss caused by bushfires and slash-and-burn agriculture that could lead to loss of biological diversity
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project will ensure that no new non-compliant varieties are introduced into production systems and will favour intensive agriculture over slash-and-burn agriculture.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of provisions promoted
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined

Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The acquisition of inputs was carried out taking into account criteria
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No action
<b>11. Climate change</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Methane emissions from rice traps
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project prioritized low greenhouse gas emission activities through innovative technologies
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Nombre de technologies innovantes promues
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Training in good practices
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual risk
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No action
<b>12. Pollution prevention and resource efficiency</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Pollution of environmental components linked to poor management of phytosanitary products
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	A capacity building programme will be carried out throughout the duration of the project on the rational management of pesticides and waste.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of sessions organized Number of people trained
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined
Describe each safeguard measure that has been	The awareness training approach on good pesticide

implemented during the reporting period	management practices was carried out
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No action
<b>13.Public health</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Spread of STIs
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Promote awareness campaigns and national guidelines on the prevention of COVID-19-related STIs
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of sessions held
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Awareness of good hygiene and health practices
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No action
<b>14.Physical and cultural heritage</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	

Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
<b>15.Lands and soil conservation</b>	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Poor agricultural practices leading to soil degradation
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project will ensure the promotion of good agricultural practices in the project intervention areas
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Areas prepared taking into account good agricultural practices
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Not defined
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Training in good practices for plot preparation
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	no action

### Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required

Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out?	Yes
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	No
If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	An environmental and social management framework associated with the project has been developed

### Section 3: Categorisation

Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant?	Yes
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	N/A

### Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	The implementing entity has deployed its Environmental and Social Policy. The first step was training on the environmental and social approach for
---	--

	the members of the project team of the executing entity. Then training on the requirements of the donors. Finally, the organization of joint missions for the implementation of the required safeguard measures.
Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	Recruitment and training of the environmental protection expert, establishment and training of focal points within each project area. Continued awareness raising on the actions required to comply with donor requirements.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	Yes

### Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.

Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	Yes
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details.	Yes
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled?	Yes
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	Yes

Identified USPs in the reporting period	Application of ESMP to the USP	ESP risks identified for the USP	Has an impact assessment been carried out?	Consultation held for risks and impacts identification for USP	Gender disaggregation to identify risks and impacts	Safeguard measures identified for the USP	Monitoring indicator(s) for each impact
The exact sites of application of solid rain	Yes	None	No	Yes	Yes	Awareness and training on good production practices	Percentage of beneficiaries applying good practices

### Section 6: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender	For each grievance, provide information on	Provide the status/outcome
---	--	----------------------------

related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	the grievance redress process	
--	-------------------------------	--

## Comments

The deployment of the environmental and social policy of the implementing entity facilitated the consideration of environmental aspects and compliance with the requirements of the Adaptation Fund. Training and awareness-raising facilitated the integration of these issues into the implementation of project activities.

## GP Compliance

### Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

### List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

Gender-responsive element	Level	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Rated result for the reporting period
Select beneficiaries fairly and equitably to strengthen their capacities in setting up rice-farming technology application sites	Output	Proportion of women targeted by the project	15%	48%	Good
Select beneficiaries fairly and equitably to strengthen their capacities on the establishment of crops with Solid Rain technology	Output	Proportion of women targeted by the project	75%	62%	Satisfactory
Train ANADER Gender Focal Points (TSCA, TSOPA, etc.) on awareness-raising tools and materials and the link between	Output	Number of gender focal points (men and women) trained on awareness tools and materials	42	42	Good



gender and climate change					
"Training ADRs on gender awareness tools and materials "	Output	Number of ADRs trained on gender awareness tools and materials	115	115	Good
Sensitize beneficiaries to the commitment to gender equality and the participation of women in project activities	Output	Number of beneficiaries made aware of the commitment to gender equality and the participation of women in project activities	ND	1421	Good
Sensitize beneficiaries to the commitment to gender equality and the participation of women in project activities	Output	Number of awareness meetings organized	32	32	Good
Sensitize beneficiaries to the commitment to gender equality and the participation of women in project activities	Output	Number of awareness materials published (Flyers or T-shirts)	ND	420	Good
Organize a mission to supervise awareness raising on commitment to gender equality and women's participation in project activities	Output	Number of missions	1	1	Good
"Implement the Framework for Learning and Promoting Gender for Sustainable Development (CAPROGED) of 32 AVECs created within the framework of the project "	Output	Number of functional CAPROGEDs	32	23	Satisfactory

## Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
Land rental has been practiced for many years by women for their market gardening activities. There is no formal contract (mentioned in writing) that binds them to the owner. In the exercise of their activities they are victims of relocation	Satisfactory	Through the project, landowners provide a land use agreement document of at least five (5) years to male and female beneficiaries; the women's activities are in a phase of stability which allows them to plan for several years of carrying out the activity.
beneficiary communities are ignorant of issues of equality and women's empowerment	Satisfactory	The project increased the beneficiaries' knowledge on gender equality and the importance of women's participation in project activities through 32 community meetings organized in all localities. 420 T-shirts were distributed during these awareness-raising sessions and a letter of commitment to gender equality and women's participation in the project was signed by village chiefs.
Hard work, especially for women when irrigating vegetable gardens (physical work). Unlike men, they do not benefit from plots with an irrigation system.	Satisfactory	Solid Rain technology has significantly reduced watering time (once every 3 days instead of twice a day). Thanks to the technology, women's working conditions have been improved.
Watering is a significant workload in a woman's daily schedule.	Satisfactory	Through Solid Rain technology, the project has reduced the workload, improved health (less exhausted by watering) and allowed for additional time for women to participate in other income-generating activities and pay more attention to their family (children and husbands), this has created a good family atmosphere.
Women's daily household expenses (meals, children's health, family clothing) have a significant impact on women's income.	Satisfactory	Through the Solid Rain technology, the project has helped increase women's income through the diversification and expansion of certain activities due to time savings. Women report their income sufficient for daily expenses.
Women are underrepresented and sometimes absent from decision-making bodies in their community.	Satisfactory	Pleadings and training are planned in CAPROGED and EdM
Women do not have sufficient financial means	Satisfactory	AVECs will be set up in the second year to allow women to have access to internal financing
women considered as workers	Satisfactory	62% of women from Solid Rain and 48% from rice cultivation benefited equally with men from the project's opportunities.

## Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the	It should be recalled that FIRCA has a Gender Policy
---	--

Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	aligned with the Adaptation Fund Policy. In this policy, a social selection approach based on gender analysis is deployed as part of the implementation of activities. It begins with capacity building of the executing entity and then of the beneficiaries on the mechanisms for taking gender into account.
Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	A gender analysis of the project was carried out and gender-sensitive community diagnostics were carried out to capture the primary and strategic needs of women in each locality.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	No

#### Section 4: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process used	Provide the status/outcome

#### Comments

The first year of the project allowed us to note with satisfaction the consideration of new themes for the executing entity such as gender in the implementation of activities. With training and awareness-raising, many challenges were overcome and allowed the achievement of certain development objectives of the project. For the first year of implementation, the participatory approach has helped to avoid complaints related to the project. As a result, no complaints have been reported. FIRCA has a complaints management mechanism made available to the implementing entity for monitoring activities.

#### Rating

#### Implementing Entity

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Sustainable access to improved water management technologies to build resilience to climate change	Outcome 8	138 extension agents trained on "solid rain" technology	Completed	Highly Satisfactory

Sustainable access to improved water management technologies to build resilience to climate change	Outcome 8	13 extension agents trained on rice-fish farming technology	Completed	Highly Satisfactory
Rice-fish farming to support diversification and climateresilient rice cultivation system	Outcome 6	195 farmers trained in rice-fish farming	Ontrack	Unsatisfactory
Rice-fish farming to support diversification and climateresilient rice cultivation system	Outcome 6	26 rural planners trained in rice-fish farming management techniques	Completed	Satisfactory
Rice-fish farming to support diversification and climateresilient rice	Outcome 6	55 micro dams developed for the application of rice-fish farming	Ontrack	Unsatisfactory
Rice-fish farming to support diversification and climateresilient rice cultivation system	Outcome 6	195 sites developed for the application of rice-fish farming	Ontrack	Unsatisfactory
Access to finance strengthened for climate resilient rice and vegetables farming enterprises development	Outcome 6	40 cooperatives/professional organizations strengthened	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Access to finance strengthened for climate resilient rice and vegetables farming enterprises development	Outcome 6	32 local management committees created and strengthen	Ontrack	Satisfactory

**Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section**

Name	Email
KOUADIO KOUACOU FIDELE	kouadiokf@firca.ci

**Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.**

The project allowed market gardeners to complete two crop cycles in areas where they were initially unable to complete a crop cycle. The contribution of technology helped save crops in the central region, mainly in Dimbokro where the drought was worse.

### Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------	--------

**Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section**

Name	Email	Institution
------	-------	-------------

**Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.**

Other				
Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section				
Name		Email		
Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.				

Overall Rating
Overall rating
Satisfactory
Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.
The project allowed market gardeners to complete two crop cycles in areas where they were initially unable to complete a crop cycle. The contribution of technology helped save crops in the central region, mainly in Dimbokro where the drought was worse.

## Project Indicators

### List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc...)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Objectives	Reduction rate of the number of waterings per cycle	0	50%	50%
Objectives	Average yield increase rate per crop cycle of tomato	0	25%	25%
Objectives	Average yield increase rate per crop cycle of cabbage	0	25%	25%
Objectives	Solid rain application rate	0	95%	80%
Outcomes	Number of extension workers trained in "solid rain" technology	13	138	138

Outcomes	Number of extension workers trained in rice and fish technology	20	13	13
Activities	Number of male extension agents trained in “Solid Rain” technology (TSCA + ADR)	9	95	103
Activities	Number of female extension agents trained in “Solid Rain” technology (TSCA + ADR)	4	43	35
Activities	Number of male extension agents trained in rice and fish technology	16	12	8
Activities	Number of female extension agents trained in rice and fish technology	4	1	5
Outcomes	Number of market gardeners trained in the use of Solid Rain technology	65	2300	6900
Outcomes	Average number of waterings per week per crop cycle of cabbage and tomato	10	2	2
Activities	Number of demonstration plots installed and equipped for training producers on solid rain	0	230	690
Activities	Number of producers trained in the use of Solid Rain on demonstration plots (75% of whom are women and young people)	0	2300	6900
Activities	Number of small equipment and input kits provided to farmers	0	2300	6900
Activities	Number of producers assisted in the application of Solid Rain (75% of whom are women and young people)	0	1270	6900



Activities	Number of producers who have applied the technology on their plot	0	1270	6900
Activities	Percentage of beneficiary groups that received funding	0	0	10%
Activities	Number of gender focal points (men and women) trained on awareness-raising tools and materials	0	32	32
Activities	Number of ADRs trained on awareness tools and materials	0	115	115
Activities	Number of functional CAPROGEDs	0	28	32
Objectives	Number of rice cycles carried out per producer applicator per year	0	0	2
Objectives	Rice yield increase rate per producer applicator	0	0	30%
Objectives	Average final weight (g) of fish produced by the implementing producers	0	0	300
Objectives	Application rate of rice-fish farming technology	0	0	80%
Outcomes	Number of farmers trained in rice and fish farming	150	0	195
Activities	Number of educational units created for training producers	4	0	13
Activities	Number of producers trained through educational units (including 15% women)	0	0	260
Outcomes	Number of rural planners trained in rice-fish plot management techniques	0	22	26
Activities	Number of rural planners selected for reinforcement	0	26	26

Activities	Number of rural planners trained in rice-fish farming area management techniques	0	26	26
Outcomes	Number of micro-dams built for rice-fish farming	0	0	55
Outcomes	Number of fish ponds developed for rice-fish farming	0	0	195
Outcomes	Number of rice production cycles carried out per year	1	0	2
Activities	Availability of study reports	0	1	1
Activities	Number of fish ponds built (1 pond 400m <sup>2</sup> ; and 3 rice fields of 1200m <sup>2</sup> ; per producer)	0	0	60
Activities	Number of micro-dams built	0	0	55
Activities	Number of functional rice-growing areas	0	0	60
Activities	Number of producers having applied	0	0	0
Activities	Number of structured groupings	0	20	40

## Comments

During the first year, the activities related to rice-fish farming could not be carried out in full due to the selection of beneficiaries and sites as well as the completion of topographic studies and environmental and social screening which took longer than expected. This is explained by a change in the methodology of the executing entity which preferred to proceed with the selection of all the sites before starting the work. Also, the low appropriation of the methodology for carrying out environmental and social screening delayed the validation of the sites.

## Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management		
Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)		No changes were made in the first year of the project.
Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative		For the first year, the approach adopted has made it possible to considerably limit the impacts

<p>impacts?</p>		<p>on the environment. We first carried out continuous awareness-raising on environmental aspects with the project beneficiaries, then the environmental and social selection of sites through screening made it possible to eliminate all sites at risk.</p>
<p>How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.</p>	<p>Challenges &amp; Opportunities</p>	<p>In accordance with the gender analysis of the project, the selection of sites and beneficiaries integrated gender-specific criteria by taking into account the project indicators. On the solid rain component which was carried out in the first year, the gender indicators were set at 75% women and young people. The implementation of the project made it possible to note challenges in achieving these objectives at the level of tomato speculation in certain regions of the project. However, overall these aspects have made it possible to improve the technical performance of the project</p>
<p>Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?</p>		<p>Yes, there were some delays compared to the initial schedule in the implementation. These delays are largely due to the implementation of environmental and social requirements in the selection of sites. Indeed, the approach being new for some beneficiaries, the consideration of these requirements took time. However, without the requirements, the validation of the sites could not be done and therefore the start of the activities. To overcome this problem, continuous training and support sessions on this aspect were carried out on behalf of the executing entity. Reviews were made to update the schedule and postpone the activities not carried out in year 2</p>
<p>What implementation issues/lessons,</p>		<p>The project being a project for</p>

<p>either positive or negative, affected progress?</p>		<p>off-season activities, the early start of the rains delayed some activities in some areas of the project. In terms of positive feedback, it is that the solid rain technology made it possible to carry out activities during the dry season periods and allowed beneficiaries to maintain their standard of living. Also, the application of the technology made it possible to carry out several production cycles on certain plots and significantly improve yields.</p>
--	--	--

**Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).**

No

<b>Climate Resilience Measures</b>	
<p>What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?</p>	
<p>What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?</p>	
<b>Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness grants)</b>	
<p>What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?</p>	
<p>How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?</p>	
<b>Concrete Adaptation Interventions</b>	
<p>What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?</p>	
<p>What is the potential for the concrete adaptation</p>	

interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
<b>Knowledge Management</b>	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	
Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what channels of dissemination have been used?	
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever possible (e.g. project videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, training manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	
<b>Innovation</b>	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	
<b>Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources</b>	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other climate finance? Or has the project build upon any other climate finance initiative?	
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

## Results Tracker

---

**Goal:** Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

**Impact:** Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

**Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report?**

**Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change**

<b>Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries</b>				
		<b>Total</b>	<b>% of female beneficiaries</b>	<b>% of Youth beneficiaries</b>
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	183	6	6
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	769	6	6
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	952	6	6
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	7095	73	73
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	29799	73	73
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	36894	73	73
Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0

### **Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats**

**Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis**

	<b>Number of targeted stakeholders - Total</b>	<b>Number of targeted stakeholders - % of female targeted</b>	<b>Hazards information generated and disseminated</b>	<b>Overall effectiveness</b>
--	--	---	---	------------------------------

#### **Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated**

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments



	<b>No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments</b>	<b>Sector</b>	<b>Scale</b>	<b>Status</b>
--	--	---------------	--------------	---------------

**Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems**

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	<b>No. of adopted Early Warning Systems</b>	<b>Category targeted</b>	<b>Hazard</b>	<b>Geographical coverage</b>	<b>Number of municipalities</b>
--	---	--------------------------	---------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------

**Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses**

**Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased**

	<b>Number of staff targeted - Total</b>	<b>Number of staff targeted - % of female targeted</b>	<b>Sector</b>	<b>Capacity level</b>
--	---	--	---------------	-----------------------

**Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events**

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	<b>Total staff trained</b>	<b>% of female staff trained</b>	<b>Type</b>
--	----------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	<b>Type</b>	<b>Scale</b>	<b>Sector</b>	<b>Capacity Level</b>
--	-------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------------

**Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance**

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

	<b>Number of beneficiaries</b>	<b>Scale</b>	<b>Sector</b>	<b>Capacity Level</b>
--	--------------------------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------------

**Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes**

**Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses**

	<b>Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures</b>	<b>Sector</b>
--	---	---------------

**Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities**

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
--	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------

**Output 3.2: Stenghtened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning**

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committes/associations	Level of awareness
--	--	--	--------------------

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
--	-----------------------------	------	-------

**Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets**

**Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate**

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
--	--------------------------	--------------------	----------------

**Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened**

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
--	--------	----------------	--

**Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability**

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
--	--------------------	------	--------

**Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress**

**Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress**

	Natural resource improvement level	Sector	Type	
<b>Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability</b>				
Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated				
	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilitated	Unit	Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation

**Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas**

**Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets**

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	Improvement level
Baseline information	0	0	1: No improvement
Target performance at completion	40	22.5	3: Moderate improvement
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

**Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods**

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source

**Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability**

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy

**Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income**

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)

**Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures**

**Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy**

	Integration level

**Output 7: Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans**

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
--	--	--------	-------	------

**Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced**

	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness
Baseline information	0	1: Not enforced (No elements implemented))	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	65	4: Enforced (Most elements implemented)	4: Effective
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

**Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies****Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level**

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
--	-------------------------------	------------------	------

**Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, scaled up, encourages and/or accelerated**

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
Baseline information	2	Agriculture	Undertaking innovative practices	4: Effective
Target performance at completion	1	Agriculture	Completed innovation practices	4: Effective
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

**Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated**

	No. of key findings generated	Type	Effectiveness
--	-------------------------------	------	---------------