

Project Performance Report

Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	3/13/2025 - 3/12/2026
Project Title	Building Community Resilience via Transformative Adaptation (Enhanced Direct Access)
Project Summary	Concept proposal for Enhanced Direct Access
Database Number	AF00000271
Implementing Entity (IE)	Protected Areas Conservation Trust
Type of IE	National Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Belize
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	Component 1: Mopan and Macal Rivers Watershed - Cayo District, Concepcion Village Component 2: Sarteneja, Ladyville, Hattieville, Mountain Pine Ridge, Sand Hill, Bermudian Landing, Gracie Rock Component 3: Across the Country - Belize Component 4: To include all component sub-grantees Component 5: Belmopan (Cayo District), Blue Creek, Golden Stream (Toledo), San Jose, Trial Farm (Orange Walk District)
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	Eli Romero

Project Milestones	
AFB Approval Date	10/13/2023
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	12/7/2023
Start of Project/Programme	3/12/2024
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	9/12/2026
Original Completion Date	3/12/2029
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them	
Category of condition	
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each

List the Website address (URL) of project

There is none.

Project Contacts			
National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	Name	Email	Date
National Project Manager	Juana Garcia-Saqui	edapm@pactbelize.org	2/13/2024
Implementing Entity	Eli Romero	cfmanager@pactbelize.org	6/13/2022

Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$)	\$2,151,285.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$)	\$1,656,385.89
Project disbursement rate (%)	21.83
Project execution rate (%)	36.44
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	The estimated cumulative total disbursement does not include project cost.
Investment Income (\$)	\$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$)	\$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	Amount (\$)
1.1.1 Restoration strategies developed	\$94,618.34
1.1.2 Pilot water quality restoration activities in watershed	\$259,096.61
2.1.1 Fire Management Strategy	\$281,135.94
2.1.2 Improved wildfire management for forests and grasslands across Belize	\$361,277.51
3.1.1 Climate smart food production system successfully piloted	\$154,347.50
3.1.2 Nature-based business operational	\$97,357.00
4.1.1 Technical assistance programme piloted	\$0.00
4.1.2 Awareness raising and knowledge sharing program instituted	\$0.00
5.1.1 Drainage system in flood-prone areas installed	\$408,553.00
IE fee (\$)	\$166,084.10
Execution cost (\$)	\$12,777.86

Planned Expenditure Schedule		
Output	Projected Cost (\$)	Estimated Completion Date
1.1.1 Restoration strategies developed	\$100,000.00	1/1/2027
1.2.1 Pilot water quality restoration activities in watershed	\$133,250.00	1/1/2027
2.1.1 Fire Management Strategy	\$93,000.00	1/1/2027
2.1.2 Improved wildfire management for forests and grasslands	\$135,000.00	1/1/2027

across Belize		
3.1.1 Climate smart food production system successfully piloted	\$197,000.00	1/1/2027
3.2.1 Nature-based business operational	\$60,000.00	1/1/2027
4.1.1 Technical assistance programme piloted	\$50,000.00	1/1/2027
4.1.2 Awareness raising and knowledge sharing program instituted	\$40,000.00	1/1/2027
5.1.1 Drainage system in flood-prone areas installed	\$136,800.00	1/1/2027
IE fee (\$)		\$113,625.00
Execution cost (\$)		\$13,636.00

Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)

Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$)	\$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$)	\$0.00
Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Project Stakeholder Risk - National stakeholders do not support the project activities and do not participate in proposed interventions	Low	Stakeholders / beneficiaries have participated in project development which have allowed them to identify needs that their community is facing. Hence, people are willing to participate in project activities.
Operational Risk - Governance: The national governance structures may not support the project	Low	Projects are aligned with national framework and where governance structures are limited capacity building is conducted to build the capacity of the beneficiaries. PACT also does fiduciary management of funds to ensure project implementation is on track. Project support is also provided through the PMU and technical assistants have also been put in place to support project implementation.
Institutional risk- Capacity constraints of local institutions may limit the effective implementation of interventions.	Low	PACT does fiduciary management of funds to ensure project implementation is on track. Project support is also provided through the PMU and technical assistants have also been put in place to support project implementation.

Design risk - The project design has provided insufficient integration of national, subnational, and technical requirements.	Low	Project is ongoing and beneficiary communities are participating. Information is shared with them through workshops and quarterly meetings to ensure that they are aware of what is happening in their communities with the project.
Performance risk - Unavailability of experts in country.	Low	The project components rely on the availability of climate, engineering, agriculture, hydrological, environmental, forest management, gender, micro-enterprise, and fire management expertise, that are available locally and which partner agencies can access on behalf of the community-based organizations and entities they are supporting. These technical officers form part of the PIAG who were selected to provide technical support along these lines to the PMU. Furthermore, there are other experts in the field who are willing to lend support especially in topics of fire management and control among others.
Social risk - Lack of commitment / buy-in from local communities may result in delays. Communities may fall back into negligent practices during or after the AF project.	Low	Communities are participating in project implementation. Moreover, the activities identified in the project were recommended by the community, so it is in their best interest to ensure that they are implemented. This ensures community buy-in and sustainability.
Financial - Financial Control Risk	Low	Where financial controls are limited PACT does fiduciary management of funds to ensure project implementation is on track. Project support is also provided through the PMU and technical assistants have also been put in place to support project implementation.

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? No

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
-----------------	----------------	------------------------------

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

Although there were no risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period, several actions are in place to monitor and respond to risks. The PMU is trained to monitor the activities on a monthly basis through monthly reports received from each subgrantee. These reports include an update on the activities, what is causing delays (if any) and actions to improve implementation. A quarterly meeting is also conducted with the subgrantee to provide and receive progress updates and address concerns that may arise. The PSC is also in place to advise the PMU on project implementation. Together these two entities ensure that the projects remain on track and that issues are addressed if and when applicable. Besides these PACTS, Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning team also does semi-annual visits to monitor activities.

ESP Compliance

Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? No

1.Compliance with the law

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The project documents and outlines activities that are fully compliant with national legislation and standards of international law. The PACT ESMF and the accompanying project feasibility have been developed to support knowledge and awareness of legal and regulatory compliance.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	No impacts identified. However, activities will be monitored throughout the project to ensure that if any risks arise they are mitigated immediately. In the event of conflict or grievance, the PACT Grievance Redress Mechanism is being socialized so that the communities are aware and can make use of the GRM.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	One Forest Restoration Strategy Developed.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	There is no baseline condition. With a strategy in place, the riparian forest in the BRW will be better managed and the communities will experience less pollution of water resources. The quality of soils and biodiversity in the area will improve and ecosystem services and functions will be restored to offset some of the damage that climatic events can cause. The community will also have increased awareness, knowledge and skills to undertake stewardship actions in the BRW. Since these water resources serve almost half of the Belizean population, water quality for public health will be better maintained after climatic events. In the case of Monitoring of water quality in the priority areas of the Belize River Watershed (BRW) is not conducted regularly and in a systematic manner to support local efforts at the community or watershed level.
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Pre-Award Eligibility and Risk Assessment were conducted prior to the grant agreement. This resulted in identified risks and mitigation measures. As part of the monitoring of these risks a technical officer/support has been put in place within each subgrant. These officers are responsible to monitor the risks. This is done through a monthly report submitted which provides an overview of the risk identified and also whether these risks were triggered or not by the activities within each subgrantee. These

	monthly reports are reviewed by the PMU and the Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning (MEL) team at PACT to ensure compliance and appropriate response or mitigation. An Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMPs) was also developed for each subgrant, screening for compliance with national laws and the Adaptation Fund's 15 Environmental and Social Policy (ESP) principles. A monitoring and evaluation plan was also developed for each sub-grant as well and the subgrantee is required to use these during project implementation and report on activities in the monthly reports as well. Monitoring activities are conducted every three months by the PMU and every six months by the PMU in support of PACT's MEL team. Quarterly meetings are also conducted with the subgrantee to follow up with project implementation and ensure compliance with the law. The role of the support technical person is to assist the subgrantee in project implementation ensuring clear oversight assisted by the PMU. Several capacity building on reporting and monitoring were also conducted with the sub-grantees, and PACT's grievance mechanism has been socialized with the community members and the implementing entity/subgrantees.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	At the end of the reporting period, no instances of non-compliance with national or international law have been identified or reported under the project. The residual impact therefore remains the latent risk of misalignment with national laws, regulations, and permitting requirements, particularly for subprojects involving land use, forest protection, and the installation of infrastructure on public property (Component 5 drainage works, and Components 1 and 2 restoration and fire management activities). This risk persists because the specific subprojects are yet to commence and although consultations have been ongoing to ensure that these risks do not escalate. The monitoring indicators tracking this residual impact are: (i) number of funded subprojects with documented evidence of required permits, clearances, and regulatory approvals; (ii) number of subprojects screened for legal and regulatory compliance under PACT's ESMF; and (iii) number of grievances or third-party reports relating to legal non-compliance received through the Grievance Redress Mechanism (target: zero).
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	During the upcoming reporting period, PACT will vet all actions relating to land use, forest protection, and infrastructure installation on public property to ensure compliance with the law, as committed in the project's safeguard measures. Specifically, during proposal development: (i) PACT's ESMF screening process, including the Environmental, Social and Gender Risk Screening and Categorization

	Assessment is applied, to confirm alignment with the Environmental Protection Act, Environmental Impact Assessment Act, Building Act, Forest Act, Land Use Act, and PACT Act, among others, (ii) PACT require all subgrantees secure and submit all permits and regulatory approvals for proposed subproject activities, and to elaborate on adherence to national and international technical standards at proposal submission;; (iii) confirm that no drainage system funded under Component 5 exceeds the 16,000 yd threshold requiring a Least Environmental Study, and secure the requisite Environmental Clearance from the Department of Environment where applicable; (iv) assess implications and implement mitigation actions in coordination with relevant landowners, securing their endorsement; and (v) in the event of conflict or grievance, apply PACT's or the agency-specific Grievance Mechanism to resolve matters promptly. Compliance status and permit verification will be reported in the next PPR using the indicators identified above.
2.Access and equity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Potential inequality of shared benefits among stakeholders and beneficiaries.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Mitigation - Ensure monitoring and reporting reflect any disproportionate access and equity issues. Stakeholder feedback and engagement planning will be assessed during action preparation and design. The project is premised on the basis that climate change resilience and adaptation is improved and maintained when the most vulnerable communities and groups have access to, and equitable share of, national resources and support to offset the impacts of climatic events. Access to these resources has been initiated through consultations so that a wide cross-section of stakeholders who know of potential funding for proposals under this grant. The focus on hard to reach, isolated and least served populations will ensure that no one is left behind. Equity will also be assured through the provision of the technical and other assistance so that proposals are successfully executed and completed.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Stakeholder feedback and engagement planning.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Limited, insufficient and disjointed capacities to develop and implement proposals to respond to climate change.

Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	During this reporting period, the project actively implemented the following specific safeguard measures to ensure equity and access across all subgrant activities: We operationalized a comprehensive subgrant safeguard system strictly aligned with the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy. This measure ensured that the technical design of each subgrant proactively integrated marginalized groups, establishing transparent and accessible participation processes from the proposal stage onward. To eliminate physical and social barriers, the subgrantee utilized dedicated community social media pages and directly engaged the local women's groups to circulate invitations for meetings, monitoring visits, and activities. Critically, meeting days and times were deliberately scheduled to accommodate the availability of women, youth, and older persons, ensuring their physical participation. The Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) was formally socialized at every stakeholder meeting held this period. We raised awareness of the reporting channels available if participants feel processes are unfair or rights are violated. For serious issues, particularly Sexual Exploitation, Abuse, and Harassment (SEAH), we enforced a survivor-centered approach that strictly prioritizes the safety, confidentiality, and well-being of complainants. The Project Management Unit conducted dedicated monitoring visits during this period specifically to verify that the above-mentioned equity mitigation measures were physically in place and functioning as intended. This served as a direct accountability check to confirm that equity objectives are being met on the ground. Meetings were held with the PSC to update them on progress and to seek their advice on a semi-annual basis and on an as per needed basis. To bridge language barriers, all meetings and informational materials were delivered in both English and Spanish where necessary. This measure ensured that stakeholders from diverse linguistic backgrounds fully understood the project activities, their roles, and the support structures available to them during implementation.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	At the end of the reporting period, the residual impact remains the risk of unequal access to, and inequitable sharing of, project benefits among stakeholders and beneficiary groups, particularly for hard-to-reach, isolated, and historically underserved rural and indigenous communities. This risk persists because the EDA modality relied on a competitive call for proposals, which may have inadvertently favour organizations with greater technical and financial capacity to develop proposals, while less capacitated community groups—including women's groups, youth groups, and grassroots organizations—did not

	participate. Additional residual risk relates to the geographic distribution of funded subprojects, which may not reach all priority areas equally. The monitoring indicators tracking this residual impact are: (i) number of proposals received and approved, disaggregated by district, organization type, and community; (ii) number and percentage of approved subprojects benefiting rural, isolated, and indigenous communities; (iii) sex-disaggregated data on beneficiaries and participants in project activities; and (iv) number of access- and equity-related issues or grievances received and resolved through the Grievance Redress Mechanism. However, the PMU, PIAG and the PSC were diligent in the revision of the applications to ensure that the projects covered vulnerable communities and groups as much as possible during project design.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	During the upcoming reporting period, PACT will ensure that monitoring and reporting reflect any disproportionate access and equity issues and will assess stakeholder feedback and engagement planning during action preparation and design. Specifically, PACT will: (i) provide technical assistance and support through the Project Management Unit (PMU) to ensure that proposals are successfully executed and completed; (ii) ensure that all funded proposals include provisions for equitable access and benefit-sharing, with particular attention to women, youth, indigenous peoples, and marginalized groups; and (iii) disaggregate all monitoring data by sex, district, and beneficiary type to track equity of access and benefits, reporting progress in the next PPR against the indicators identified above.
3.Marginalized and vulnerable Groups	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Potential exclusion of marginalized groups (unlikely).
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Mitigation - Ensure monitoring and reporting reflect any disproportionate access and equity issues. Ongoing and consistent implementation of FPIC protocols inclusive of formal and informal feedback sessions with indigenous populations.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Set participation of marginalized groups at 20%
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0. No previous data exist.

Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	During this reporting period, the project actively implemented the following specific safeguard measures to ensure equity and access across all subgrant activities: We operationalized a comprehensive subgrant safeguard system strictly aligned with the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy. This measure ensured that the technical design of each subgrant proactively integrated marginalized groups, establishing transparent and accessible participation processes from the proposal stage onward. To eliminate physical and social barriers, the subgrantee utilized dedicated community social media pages and directly engaged the local women's groups to circulate invitations for meetings, monitoring visits, and activities. Critically, meeting days and times were deliberately scheduled to accommodate the availability of women, youth, and older persons, ensuring their physical participation. The Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) was formally socialized at every stakeholder meeting held this period. We raised awareness of the reporting channels available if participants feel processes are unfair or rights are violated. For serious issues, particularly Sexual Exploitation, Abuse, and Harassment (SEAH), we enforced a survivor-centered approach that strictly prioritizes the safety, confidentiality, and well-being of complainants. The Project Management Unit conducted dedicated monitoring visits during this period specifically to verify that the above-mentioned equity mitigation measures were physically in place and functioning as intended. This served as a direct accountability check to confirm that equity objectives are being met on the ground. Meetings were held with the PSC to update them on progress and to seek their advice on a semi-annual basis and on an as per needed basis. To bridge language barriers, all meetings and informational materials were delivered in both English and Spanish where necessary. This measure ensured that stakeholders from diverse linguistic backgrounds fully understood the project activities, their roles, and the support structures available to them during implementation.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	NA
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	NA
4.Human rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable	No

impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	potential human rights violations (unlikely)
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	mitigation-The project supports and advances human rights, including the right to health, work, safe working environments, non-discrimination, gender equality, and women's empowerment.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	All community fire brigades (15) will be trained in fire safety and risk management. All members of the fire brigades will be provided with Personal Protective Equipment (PPE).
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	In preparation of the establishment of the fire brigades all members are being trained in fire management and control. Fire equipment and personal protective equipment have been donated to the community fire brigades.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Recognizing the need for the establishment of community fire brigades women are participating in the fire management training and are volunteering as fire fighters. The sustainability of this ensures that the forest will be protected and the loss to biodiversity and infrastructure will be reduced over time.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	none so far.

5. Gender equality and women's empowerment

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Potential gender inequality in participation.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	mitigation - The project specifies that gender equality and empowerment are key to whole community resilience. It will monitor for gender inclusion and monitoring data is being disaggregated by sex. Specific actions may be developed for women to close gaps. low/medium.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	-No. of women who participate in watershed management exchange programs. -No. of men and women receiving technical assistance and support - No. of women's organizations that participate in education and outreach programs. -No. of women with project roles in implementing agencies. - Number of financial mechanisms to support climate change adaptation for women producers -No. of men and women employed in the construction of natural

	and man-made drainage systems -No. of women who received disaster management training -No. of women and girls who are members of pilot fire brigades. -No. of vulnerable women and women's groups and organizations accessing climate finance - No. of women's groups receiving technical support in financial management -No. of women and men who participate in wildfire preparedness and response training.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0 since this is the first project of its kind.
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The project has been designed and implemented with proactive gender integration measures, including specific targets for women's participation, gender-responsive scheduling of meetings and activities, a socialized Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM), and multilingual accessibility. While these mitigation measures have effectively prevented significant adverse impacts from materializing, the following residual risks remain and are being monitoring along with remedial actions during the reporting period and beyond. The PMU proactively monitors project implementation to ensure that activities implemented does not exclude women, youth and the elderly persons who are the vulnerable population in the community.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Despite targeted outreach and inclusive scheduling, indirect risks associated with cultural norms and social expectations in project areas may continue to limit women's ability to participate fully in project activities. Traditional gender roles, caregiving responsibilities, and household decision-making dynamics may constrain women's access to training, meetings, and livelihood opportunities, particularly in hard-to-reach and isolated communities. Additionally, there is a residual risk that women-targeted activities could inadvertently result in social backlash against women participants, potentially increasing their risk of marginalization. On the other hand, especially in Sarteneja Village where women are part of the community fire brigade this can also trigger a negative response since this is traditionally a male dominated activity. The PMU proactively monitors project implementation to ensure that activities implemented does not exclude women, youth and the elderly persons who are the vulnerable population in the community. The women play a major role in the project because they have been included from project development and through implementation.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Meetings and activities will continue to be scheduled at times and locations that accommodate women's domestic responsibilities, with flexible arrangements for women with caregiving duties. The project also

	ensures that meetings are conducted both separate women's meetings and mixed-group consultations to ensure women can voice concerns freely while also engaging men as partners in gender equity. The project is implementing targeted awareness sessions with male community leaders and household heads to address potential backlash and build community-wide support for women's participation in project activities. The Grievance Redress Mechanism remains active and socialized at every meeting, with a survivor-centered approach for serious issues. Complainants are encouraged to report any instances of marginalization or backlash, with confidentiality and safety prioritized. Where necessary ongoing and consistent implementation of FPIC protocols inclusive of formal and informal feedback sessions with indigenous populations are also actions to address residual impacts.
--	---

6.Core labour rights

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	potential human rights violations (unlikely);
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project will uphold relevant labour laws including regulations and legislations on decent work and child labour. Labour law regulations will be instituted in all contracts and working conditions monitored during field assessments.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Contract signed with service provider with specific clause against child labour and zero tolerance for human rights violation.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	NA - project hasn't started.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	NA
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	NA

7.Indigenous people

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No

List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	potential exclusion of marginalized groups (low/no risk)
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project will ensure that social assessments and stakeholder feedback inform improved benefits among indigenous populations. It will monitor equality for benefits for all populations.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	At least 90 beneficiaries trained in community climate risk data collection, EO/GIS use, & climate finance project development, financial management systems, social safeguards (30% women; 15% youth & IPLCs)
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0.
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	none, the project has not implemented activities on the ground yet.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	NA
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	NA
8.Involuntary resettlement	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	There is no involuntary resettlement of communities occurring by the implementation of any of the subgrants.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Avoidance: The project will use engineers and technical specialists to minimize the need for resettlement. Communities and households will be regularly consulted to inform of changes to land and living conditions should this occur.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	The project will use engineers and technical specialists to minimize the need for resettlement. Communities and households will be regularly consulted to inform of changes to land and living conditions should this occur.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	NA
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	NA
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	NA
9.Protection of natural habitats	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes

During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Potential impacts on natural habitats from construction - low
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Assessments of impact will be conducted to ensure minimal impact on natural habitats. Technical experts and specialists will be used to prevent damage to natural habitats.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	One National Community Conservation Area Framework Developed.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Project is in its initial stages so no safeguard measure has been implemented.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	NA
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	NA
10.Conservation of biological diversity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Potential impact o biological diversity through prescribe burns.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Monitoring reports will document any change to biological diversity.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1 burn map developed and approved by the regulating entity - Forest Department.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Fire management and prevention are ongoing to prepare community fire brigade to respond to fires. Personal protective gear donated to fire brigades. Fire management equipment donated to communities and trainings on their use ongoing. Awareness raising activities ongoing.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Communities' knowledge of fire management and control is being enhanced, resulting in better prepared communities to address fire threats.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Communities trained in fire management and control.

11.Climate change	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	This is a climate resilience project so all safeguard measures cover climate change.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	All measures previously mentioned.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	NA
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	All safeguard measures are towards building climate resilience.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	NA
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	NA
12.Pollution prevention and resource efficiency	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Pollution prevention and resource efficiency
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Assessment of construction sites will be regularly conducted by contractors and field staff. Monitoring reports will capture any pollution or public health concern.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	2 site assessment for installation of climate resilience drainage systems
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	None has been implemented thus far, projects have not started.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	NA
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	NA
13.Public health	

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Potential public health issues due to dust from improvement of drainage systems.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Communities will be informed in advance of construction periods.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Signs in place indicating construction underway.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	None so far project hasn't started.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	NA
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	NA
14. Physical and cultural heritage	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
15. Lands and soil conservation	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table	Yes

II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Potential runoff from agriculture activities.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Monitoring reports will document any changes in land and soil.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Awareness sessions conducted at the national and community level Number of functional climate smart systems managed by male vs female
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Training in the development of organic pesticide and fertilizers. Training in climate agriculture to five community groups.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Demonstration plots have not been established yet.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	NA

Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required

Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out?	Yes
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	No
If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	Pre-Award Eligibility and Risk Assessments were conducted prior to signing the sub-grant agreements, which resulted in the identification of risks and the establishment of mitigation measures. An Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) was also developed for each sub-grant, screening for compliance with national laws and the Adaptation Fund's 15 ESP principles. Because the risks were previously identified and adequately addressed during this initial screening, and no new risks have emerged, there has been no need to update or prepare a new ESMP. To ensure these safeguards remain effective, a technical officer has been assigned to monitor the risks within each sub-grant. This is done through monthly reports submitted by the sub-grantees, which detail whether identified risks were triggered. These reports are reviewed by the PMU and PACT's MEL team. Additionally, an M&E plan is in place for each sub-grant. Monitoring activities are conducted every three months by the PMU and every six months in support of PACT's MEL team. Quarterly meetings are also held with sub-grantees to

	ensure continued compliance with the law and project requirements.
--	--

Section 3: Categorisation

Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant?	Yes
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	

Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	The Project Management Unit (PMU) and the PIAG have been put in place to ensure effective and efficient project implementation. The PMU will support the sub-grantee in project implementation and will monitor required ESP safeguard measures where applicable. The Project Management Unit of the EDA project is the first layer responsible to monitor and safeguard the ESS. Additionally, they are supported by the PACT Monitoring and Evaluation Officer (PACT), the Project Implementation Agency Group (Project), the Independent Expert Appraisal Group (PACT), the Internal Screen Committee (PACT) and the Project Steering Committee (Project). These act, as a check and balance to ensure compliance of the project with the approved grant agreement. Furthermore, the PMU has been participating in capacity building activities to strengthen their capacity.
Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	The implementing and executing entities are the same - PACT. The Project Management Unit (PMU) and the PIAG have been put in place to ensure effective and efficient project implementation. The PMU will support the sub-grantee in project implementation and will monitor required ESP safeguard measures where applicable. Each subproject design, development and implementation is closely monitored by the Project Management Unit of the EDA project, the respective Subproject's Project Coordinator, the grant recipient, PACT's Climate Finance Unit, and the Project Steering Committee. Furthermore, the Project Management Unit is the first layer responsible to monitor and safeguard the ESS. They are supported by the PACT Monitoring and Evaluation Officer (PACT), the Project Implementation Agency Group (Project), the Independent Expert Appraisal Group (PACT), PACT's Internal Screening Committee and the Project Steering Committee (Project). These are put in place as a check and balance to ensure compliance of the project with the approved grant agreement.

Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
---	-----

Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.

Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	Yes
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details.	Yes
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled?	Yes
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	No

Identified USPs in the reporting period	Application of ESMP to the USP	ESP risks identified for the USP	Has an impact assessment been carried out?	Consultation held for risks and impacts identification for USP	Gender disaggregation to identify risks and impacts	Safeguard measures identified for the USP	Monitoring indicator(s) for each impact
No USPs have been identified during the reporting period.	Yes	n/a	No	Yes	Yes	avoidance and mitigation	Number of males vs. females participating in restoration activities

Section 6: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process	Provide the status/outcome
--	--	----------------------------

Comments

The socialization of the grievance mechanism is ongoing. PACT is ensuring that community members and other stakeholders and beneficiaries are aware of the GM in place, and the installation of GM boxes are recommended for each project. The Grievance Mechanism is presented to the stakeholders during site visits to ensure that they are aware of its existence and that they have access to it. This information is shared in English and Spanish where necessary and applicable.

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

Gender-responsive element	Level	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Rated result for the reporting period
Establish partnership programs, including exchange programs, for both rural men and women to work together to reduce threats to water resources in their respective communities.	Outcome	No. of women who participate in watershed management exchange programs.	0	21822	Satisfactory
Capacity development activities for project beneficiaries in watershed restoration and water quality management and monitoring.	Outcome	No. of women with project roles in implementing agencies	0	5	Satisfactory
Reports, strategies and plans developed and disseminated through various social media platform.	Outcome	No. of women's organizations that participate in education and outreach programs	0	10	Satisfactory
Capacity development consultations with targeted beneficiary	Outcome	No. of women and men who participate in wildfire preparedness and	0	500	Satisfactory

communities on fire management.		response training.			
Establishment of community fire brigades in pilot communities.	Outcome	No. of women and girls who are members of pilot fire brigades.	0	60	Satisfactory
Develop and disseminated reports/strategies/ plans and communication tools to increase awareness on fire management	Outcome	No. of women targeted in communication and fire prevention messages	0	500	Satisfactory
Functional climate smart food production systems established	Outcome	Percentage of rural women participating in agroforestry	0	50%	Satisfactory
Increase community awareness of food production systems and nature-based alternative livelihoods	Outcome	"No. of male and female rural farmers aware of ecological dynamics and technological innovations"	0	1000	Satisfactory
Nature-based business opportunities identified, developed and promoted.	Outcome	No. of men and women receiving technical assistance and support for nature-based businesses.	0	100	Satisfactory
capacity development consultations with relevant communities and stakeholder on accessing adaptive climate financing.	Outcome	-No. of vulnerable women and women's groups and organizations accessing climate finance. - No. of women's groups receiving technical support in financial management. - Number of financial mechanisms to support climate change adaptation for women	0	20 20 20	Satisfactory

		producers.			
Capacity building for communities to recover post disaster	Outcome	No. of women who received disaster management training.	0	500	Satisfactory
Construction and maintenance of drainage system per targeted community (within budget)	Outcome	No. of men and women employed in the construction of natural and man-made drainage systems.	0	50	Satisfactory
Restoration strategies developed	Output	Number of males vs. females participating in restoration activities	0	20	Satisfactory
Drainage system in flood prone areas installed	Outcome	Number of male vs. females in communities with increased ability to recover post disaster	0	20	Satisfactory
Increased technical capacity to better access climate finance	Outcome	Number of males vs. females trained.	0	20	Satisfactory

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
The scope of the work due to the topic is primarily male dominated. Although leadership roles are mostly geared towards male, women in the project sites are taking advantage of the opportunities to participate and are active, one such example is the Sarteneja Community Fire Brigade which although the sector was previously male dominated the brigade is composed mostly of women participants. This reflects both the change in the women's perspectives and the fact that they are aware that they must protect their resources.	Good	Women are invited to participate, and provisions such as venue and time of meetings have been put in place to ensure that women and youth participate.

Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the	The Project Management Unit (PMU) is supported
---	--

Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	by the Independent Appraisal Expert Group, which includes a social and gender specialist, as well as experts in environmental enforcement and climate change. Additionally, the Project Manager has prior experience working on gender-related issues, contributing to the integration of gender considerations into the PMU's daily operations. Gender is mainstreamed throughout project planning and implementation. All workplans are developed to ensure safe and inclusive environments for activities. Scheduling is done with attention to the needs of women and vulnerable groups, promoting their active participation. These measures help ensure that gender equity is a core component of the project's operational approach. The PMU has also participated in trainings to strengthen their capacity.
Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	During the reporting period, the following arrangements have been put in place by the Executing Entities to comply with the Gender Policy (GP): Project Management Unit (PMU) The PMU maintains overall responsibility for monitoring, recording, and ensuring compliance with the GP. The PMU is supported by the Independent Appraisal Expert Group, which includes a social and gender specialist, environmental enforcement experts, and climate change experts. The Project Manager, who has prior experience working on gender-related issues, actively integrates gender considerations into the PMU's daily operations. Gender mainstreaming is applied throughout project planning and implementation. All workplans developed during the reporting period included measures to ensure safe and inclusive environments for activities. Activity scheduling was adjusted to accommodate the needs of women and vulnerable groups, thereby promoting their active participation. These arrangements ensure that gender equity remains a core component of the PMU's operational approach.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	No

Section 4: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process used	Provide the status/outcome
---	---	----------------------------

Comments

Women are participating in project implementation. They are also part of the fire brigade and actively participating in the trainings preparing themselves to safeguard their family and resources.

Rating

Implementing Entity					
Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Comments	Rating
1. Safeguarding forest and water resources through strategic protection and restoration solutions.	Outcome 3, Outcome 5	Grant Agreement was signed with subgrantees. Project support team identified.	Ontrack		Marginally Unsatisfactory
Combating Wildfires through Adaptive Management	Outcome 3, Outcome 4	Grant Agreements were signed with subgrantees. Project support team identified and in place. Component execution of ongoing	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory
Creating opportunities to support alternative livelihoods	Outcome 3, Outcome 5, Outcome 6, Outcome 8	Beneficiary groups identified. Studies to identify groups needs ongoing. Project support in place.	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory
Building national capacity to access adaptation finance	Outcome 2	The proposal package by the potential subgrantee has seen several iterations due to changes within the institution itself, delaying the completion of the package.	Delayed	Proposal is still under revision.	Highly Unsatisfactory
Community disaster risk management	Outcome 4	The subgrant agreements were signed, and the supporting staff has been put in place. The project implementation is progressing.	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory
Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section					
Name			Email		
Juana Garcia-Saqui			edapm@pactbelize.org		

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Positive Progress Made by the Project (Feb 2024- March 2026) 1. Project implementation agreements signed with 8 Implementing Entities – A key milestone establishing the legal and operational framework. 2. Interim staff effort for some Implementing Entities assigned – Even without full technical support, a dedicated members continued reporting and pushed activities forward, preventing complete stalling. 3. Hiring of technical support – Most technical support staff are now in place. 4. Increased awareness of procurement risks – The delay has highlighted bottlenecks, allowing the project to better plan for future procurements. 5. Stakeholder engagement maintained – Regular communication with key stakeholder and other parties kept the project visible despite delays. Negative Progress / Challenges 1. Procurement process too lengthy – Delays have caused potential technical support candidates to become unavailable when finally contacted. 2. Missing support from another entity – A key partner is not providing the expected support, undermining the implementation model. 3. Technical support not in place during early period – These slowed critical activities and increased reliance on overstretched staff members. 4. Risk of demotivation – Slow progress may reduce momentum among project team members and NBIO counterparts. 5. Potential budget inefficiencies – Extended procurement timelines may lead to cost overruns or unused funds needing reallocation. 6. Compliance risks – Delays in technical support could affect reporting requirements or gender/GP milestones. 7. Items not available in country require a longer time frame to procure. Specific Recommendations for Next Steps 1. Expedite procurement via parallel sourcing - Run a fast-track or sole-source procurement (if policy allows) for technical support. - Maintain a shortlist of pre-vetted candidates to quickly replace any who drop out. 2. Clarify roles with the non-performing entity - Formally communicate with the entity that is not providing support. Document their commitment gaps. 3. Bridge support immediately - the PMU provides immediate short-term support (2–4 weeks) to keep critical tasks moving while permanent hiring finalizes. - Use the existing dedicated staff member as a day-to-day focal point with added temporary assistance. 4. Revise the procurement plan -Shorten future procurement timelines by using framework agreements or roster systems. 5. Revise timelines and adjust project activities as needed - Present a realistic, risk-adjusted schedule. 6. Monthly progress checkpoints - Establish a simple tracking matrix (positive vs. negative indicators) reviewed by the PMU and Implementing entity to ensure sub-projects stay on track. 7. Identify service providers who are capable of procuring the necessary specialized equipment and facilitate the procurement process so that items can be procured and made available to the implementing entity.

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating	
1. Safeguarding forest and water resources through strategic protection and restoration solutions.	Outcome 3, Outcome 5	5% project agreement has been signed and technical support identified.	Delayed	The project grant agreement was signed in September 2025, however the project team was not in place during this implementation period.	Marginally Unsatisfactory
2. Combating wildfires through adaptive management	Outcome 3, Outcome 4	30%	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory
3. Creating opportunities to support alternative livelihoods	Outcome 3, Outcome 5, Outcome 6,	20%	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory

	Outcome 8				
4. Building national capacity to access adaptation finance	Outcome 2	0%	Delayed	The project proposal which is to address this component is still under review.	Highly Unsatisfactory
5. Community disaster risk management	Outcome 4	30%	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email	Institution
Juana Garcia-Saqui	edapm@pactbelize.org	PACT

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project has made meaningful foundational progress - establishing governance structures, signing grant agreements, and engaging multiple sub-grantees. However, delays in procurement processes, and fiduciary management challenges have hindered momentum. The project is on track in terms of administrative setup but has struggled with implementation pace and partner alignment. Therefore, a satisfactory rating reflects both solid achievements and critical bottlenecks that require immediate attention. Project agreements have been signed with all stakeholders and project implementation is under way. Technical support has also been provided, and the projects are slowly and steadily making progress. However, there are still hurdles like lengthy procurement processes that delay the implementation of activities as well as the lack of suppliers. These are being addressed by constant follow up and assisting the grantees as much as possible, All grantees have received capacity building on the tools needed for project implementation. Specific Recommendations for Next Steps include: - Continue regular check-ins to address challenges proactively. - Strengthen Governance Participation - Streamline Fiduciary Management - Facilitate Stronger Partnerships - Continue conducting periodic assessments to identify bottlenecks and improve implementation strategies. - The PMU has also had a change in technical officer who was not replaced immediately, further hindering implementation of projects.

Other

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating	
nothing else to report	Outcome 1	0.0	Completed		Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
Juana Garcia-Saqui	edapm@pactbelize.org

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Nothing else to report.

Overall Rating

Overall rating

Satisfactory

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project has made meaningful foundational progress - establishing governance structures, signing grant agreements, and engaging multiple sub-grantees. However, significant delays in proposal development, low participation from key governance members, and fiduciary management challenges have hindered momentum. The project is on track in terms of administrative setup but has struggled with implementation pace and partner alignment. Therefore, a satisfactory rating reflects both solid achievements and critical bottlenecks that require immediate attention. Except for number 4, project agreements have been signed with all stakeholders and project implementation is under way. Technical support has also been provided, and the projects are slowly and steadily making progress. However, there are still hurdles like lengthy procurement processes that delay the implementation of activities. These are being addressed by constant follow up and assisting the grantees as much as possible, All grantees have received capacity building on the tools needed for project implementation. Specific Recommendations for Next Steps include: - Continue regular check-ins to address challenges proactively. - Continue mentorship system where to assist sub-grantees. - Strengthen Governance Participation - Streamline Fiduciary Management - Facilitate Stronger Partnerships - Continue periodic assessments to identify bottlenecks and improve implementation strategies. - PMU to proactively continue project monitoring and liaising between PACT and subgrantees.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc...)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Components	Rivers with improved climate-adaptive management systems.	0	The grant agreement was signed on August 29th, 2025. The project officer is now in place.	2
Components	Acreage of riparian forests in rivers restored.	0	The grant agreement was signed on August 29th, 2025. The project team is now in place.	10
Components	Number of males vs. females participating in restoration activities.	0	The grant agreement was signed on August 29th, 2025. Project team is in place. Community meetings are ongoing.	250 males; 50 females
Components	Number of capacity development activities for project beneficiaries in	0	The grant agreement was signed on August 29th, 2025. The project team is	4

	watershed restoration and water quality management and monitoring.		in place.	
Components	Reports, strategies and plans developed and disseminated through various social media platforms.	0	The grant agreement was signed on August 29th, 2025. The project team is in place. It is expected that implementation will improve now that the project team is in place.	1
Components	Number of communities with increased capacity to address wildfires.	0	Capacity building with community members has started. Equipment for fire management and control procured. Water tanks and trailers and water systems are now in place in some of the beneficiary communities.	5
Components	Number of community fire brigades established.	0	Capacity building with community members has started. Recruitment for fire brigade volunteers is also in progress.	5
Components	Number of males vs females in community fire brigades established.	0	Capacity building with community members has started. Recruitment for fire brigade volunteers is also in progress.	60 males; 30 females
Components	Number of NGOs, CBOs, and Regulatory Agencies with increased capacity to address wildfires.	0	Capacity building and sensitization on fire management and control has commenced.	10
Components	Number of capacity development consultation with targeted communities on improved fire management practices.	0	Capacity building and sensitization has commenced.	10
Components	Number of reports/strategies/plans	0	Projects are underway.	15

	and communication tools developed and disseminated to increase awareness on fire management.			
Components	Number of functional climate smart food production systems established.	0	Community groups have been identified and expert to assist community members with climate smart production has been contracted. Community consultations are underway. Studies to identify needs underway.	5
Components	Number of functional climate smart systems managed by males vs females.	0	Team to develop and monitor climate smart system is in place and already progress in the field is being documented.	2 by males; 1 by females
Components	Number of persons with an alternative form of financial income.	0	Team to develop and monitor climate smart system is in place and already progress in the field is being documented.	5
Components	Number of males vs. females with an alternative livelihood.	0	Team to develop and monitor climate smart system is in place and already progress in the field is being documented.	30 males; 20 females
Components	Number of persons trained to operate businesses.	0	Team to develop and monitor climate smart system is in place and already progress in the field is being documented. Work in ongoing through the identification of climate smart systems to be supported by the project. Business expert to support groups already in place.	50

Components	Number of males vs. females trained.	0	Project Implementation is ongoing.	1000 males, 1000 females
Components	Number of capacity development consultation with targeted communities on improved food production strategies.	0	Consultations ongoing	20
Components	Number of reports / strategies / plans and communication tools developed and disseminated to increase awareness on nature based and climate smart food production.	0	Implementation is ongoing.	9
Components	Number of persons trained to access climate finance.	0	Delayed, proposal still under review.	100
Components	Number of males vs. females trained.	0	Delayed, proposal still under review.	50 males; 50 females
Components	Number of NGOs, CBOs and Regulatory Agencies with increased awareness of climate change.	0	Delayed, proposal still under review.	20
Components	Number of capacity development sessions in accessing climate financing for targeted communities and organizations.	0	Delayed, proposal still under review.	20
Components	Number of communication tools developed and disseminated on accessing adaptive climate financing.	0	Delayed, proposal still under review.	5
Components	Number of communities with increased ability to recover post disaster.	0	Grant agreements have been signed. Project team is in place. Implementation under way. Studies for improvement of drainage systems ongoing.	4
Components	Number of males vs.	0	Implementation	35 males; 15 females

	females in communities with increased ability to recover post disaster.		under way. Studies for improvement of drainage systems ongoing.	
Components	Number of drainage systems installed and maintained.	0	Implementation under way. Studies for improvement of drainage systems ongoing.	5
Components	Number of communication tools developed and disseminated on improving community resilience from flooding, with consideration of climate change and its impacts.	0	Implementation under way.	4
Outputs	Number of women who participate in watershed management exchange programs	0	Implementation under way.	100
Outputs	Number of schools that participate in outreach programs	0	Project support teams in place and Implementation under way.	15
Outputs	Number of women's organizations that participate in education and outreach programs	0	Implementation under way.	10
Outputs	Number of women with project roles in implementing agencies.	0	Implementation under way.	5
Outputs	Number of women and youth are members of pilot fire brigades	0	Training is ongoing to establish fire brigade. Institutional training ongoing to ensure that groups are sustainable beyond the project's timeframe.	30 women, 30 youth
Outputs	Number women and men who participate in wildfire preparedness and response training	0	Training is ongoing. Institutional training ongoing to ensure that groups are sustainable beyond the project's timeframe.	30 women; 60 men
Outputs	Number of women	0	Project is under	208,814

	targeted in communication and fire prevention messages		implementation. Awareness programs being established. Communication strategies under development.	
Outputs	Percent of rural women participate in agroforestry	0	Project is under implementation.	50%
Outputs	Number of males vs females trained	0	Project is under implementation.	1000 males; 1000 females
Outputs	Number of male and female rural farmers aware of ecological dynamics and technological innovations	0	Project is under implementation. Community groups identified and mentorship underway.	500 males; 500 females
Outputs	Number of vulnerable women and women's groups and organizations accessing climate finance	0	Project is delayed. Proposal under revision.	20
Outputs	Number of women's groups receiving technical support in financial management	0	Project is delayed. Proposal under revision.	5
Outputs	Number of financial mechanisms to support climate change adaptation for women producers	0	Project is delayed. Proposal under revision.	20
Outputs	Number of women who received disaster management training	0	project is ongoing.	10
Outputs	Number of drainage system constructed and maintained per targeted community (within budget)	0	Project is ongoing. Studies to determine level of intervention ongoing.	4
Outputs	Number of communities involved in drainage system projects	0	project is ongoing. Studies to determine level of intervention ongoing.	4
Outputs	Number of drainage systems projects implemented	0	project is ongoing. Studies to determine level of intervention ongoing.	4
Outputs	Number of men and women employed in	0	Studies to determine level of intervention	40 men; 10 women

	the construction of natural and man-made drainage systems		ongoing.	
Outputs	Number of Biodiversity Conservation and Ecosystem Management Report Completed	0	Project is ongoing.	1
Outputs	Number of Forest Restoration Strategy and Implementation Plan completed	0	project is ongoing.	1
Outputs	Number of Report on Impact on Land Use Change on Micro and Macro Invertebrates Research completed.	0	project is ongoing.	1
Outputs	Number of Community-based Water Quality sub-projects approved for funding.	0	5 communities identified and project is ongoing.	3
Outputs	Number of focus group consultation per beneficiary community on the respective approve subproject	0	Project is ongoing. Community meetings ongoing.	5
Outputs	Number of Wildfire Management Entities Registry developed	0	project is ongoing	1
Outputs	Number of Fire Management Network institutional framework completed.	0	project is ongoing	1
Outputs	Number of Fire toolkits, gears and equipment procured.	0	Sarteneja and Forest Department have received fire equipment and gears. Procurement for the Ladyville Fire Station and the Hattieville group is underway.	5
Outputs	Number of Fire brigades in pilot communities	0	project is ongoing	5
Outputs	Number of	0	Project is ongoing.	1

	Community Fire Brigade Training Manual and Guide completed.			
Outputs	Number of Water storage reports submitted.	0	Water storage tank built for the Sarteneja community and project is ongoing	5
Outputs	Number of focus groups consultation with the targeted community by the PMU and relevant stakeholders in subproject design.	0	Focus groups consultation with the targeted community by the PMU and relevant stakeholders in subproject design were completed during sub-project development.	9
Outputs	Number of Assessment report of fire response region in the BNPAS completed.	0	project is ongoing	1
Outputs	Number of Community-based Wildfire Management sub-projects approved for funding.	0	Projects were approved for Sarteneja Community, Hattievill, Ladyville, Gracie Rock, Sandhill and Bermudian landing.	3
Outputs	Number of National Wildfire Strategy and Action Plan completed.	0	Project is ongoing and the updating of the fire Act is underway.	1
Outputs	Number of Training manual for BNPA firefighter completed	0	project is ongoing	1
Outputs	Number of Nature-based businesses identified and selected in communities	0	project is ongoing	5
Outputs	Number of men and women receiving technical assistance and support for nature-based businesses.	0	project is ongoing	60 men; 40 women
Outputs	Number of capacity building using earth observation to monitor adaptation finance impact	0	project delayed, proposal still under review.	4

Outputs	Number of Pilot awareness raising program developed and implemented by UB and Civil Society Organizations.	0	project delayed, proposal still under review.	1
Outputs	Number of Flooding Pattern Assessment report completed.	0	project is ongoing	1
Outputs	Number of equipment for drainage system and maintenance procured.	0	project is ongoing	1
Outputs	Number of drainage system constructed and maintained per targeted community (within budget)	0	project is ongoing	4
Outputs	Number of focus group consultation with each of the targeted communities in determining the best suited location of drainage system.	0	project is ongoing	5

Comments

A total of eight (8) subgrants have been awarded for project implementation and are finally underway.

Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management		
Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)	Challenges & Opportunities	Support team at PACT was hired to facilitate processes that are delaying the project implementation. This team is now dedicated to the Adaptation Fund projects.
Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts?	Opportunities	Yes, the environmental and social safeguard measures implemented to date have been fully effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts. No incidents, complaints, or unintended adverse effects have been reported. This outcome is attributed to: - Early integration of safeguard requirements into sub-grantee agreements. -

		Continuous monitoring by the PMU and PACT's MEL. - Proactive scheduling and site selection that avoided sensitive areas or vulnerable group exposure. While no issues have arisen, the project continues to apply the precautionary principle and maintains a grievance redress mechanism for immediate reporting of any future concerns. Even when no negative impacts have occurred, there are strategic opportunities: 1. Demonstrate best practice: the absence of issues is evidence that our safeguard design is robust allowing us to showcase this to other projects as a model. 2. Reduce monitoring burden: proven effectiveness allows you to shift from intensive reactive monitoring to lighter periodic checks, freeing resources for other priorities. 3. Strengthen stakeholder trust: Communities and partners see that safeguards work. This builds confidence and increase voluntary participation in project activities. 4. Leverage for faster approvals: record of no harm can expedite environmental and social clearances for future phases or new sub-projects. 5. Capacity-building template: our successful measures can be used to train other executing entities or sub-grantees, positioning us as a regional leader.
How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.	Challenges & Opportunities	During this reporting period, gender considerations were integrated into project implementation through concrete operational measures rather than as a stand-alone activity. These measures were informed by lessons learned from direct engagement with communities and subgrantees. As we know, participation is influenced by practical barriers. With this knowledge, the

		project team consulted directly with community leaders, including the Sarteneja Village Council, to identify meeting times that accommodate women's caregiving responsibilities and daily routines. This simple scheduling adjustment shifting meeting times based on women's availability has resulted in increased female attendance and participation in project activities. The project has shifted from a beneficiary-focused approach to recognizing women as active contributors and leaders in climate adaptation. Women's traditional knowledge including their understanding of natural resource management, and sustainable livelihoods has been treated as a project asset rather than a barrier. Hence, women are included in the community fire brigades, because for example in the case of Sarteneja, most of the men are fisherfolks who are out of the community during the fire season which is also their fishing season. The women themselves mentioned that it is their responsibility to manage and control fires because of the male absentee. Recognizing that subgrantees may lack expertise in gender integration, the project has begun efforts to provide practical support rather than enforcement alone. This includes drawing on the Gender Policy for the Belize Conservation Sector, which outlines operational adoption of gender mainstreaming across policy, administration, and project implementation. The project has also leveraged the launch of the CORE Gender Smart Facility, implemented by PACT, which supports initiatives that integrate gender equity into conservation and
--	--	--

		climate action and recognizes that sustainable environmental solutions are most effective when inclusive and participatory. The following lessons have emerged from practical implementation experience during this reporting period: 1. Consultation with the Sarteneja Village Council identified specific times of day when women were most available to participate. Adjusting meeting schedules accordingly resulted in improved female attendance and more balanced participation in decision-making. This means that gender-responsive planning does not require complicated interventions; practical adjustments to timing and location can result in immediate improvements. This lesson has been integrated into ongoing activity planning and shared with subgrantees as a low-cost, high-impact measure. 2. The project emphasizes “reaching hard to reach, isolated, and least served populations,” however, subgrantees face pressures to deliver outputs on schedule, often without sufficient time allocated for building and maintaining relationships with women in these communities. Sustained engagement, not one-off consultations is necessary for meaningful participation. 3. The project has recognized that relationship-building must be treated as a legitimate project activity, not an expense. Going forward, the project will work with subgrantees to incorporate relationship maintenance into work plans, recognizing that trust takes time to develop, particularly in communities with historical exclusion or marginalization. 4. While project meetings are held in both English and Spanish, older indigenous women and
--	--	---

		those in remote villages often face additional language barriers. The project has observed that some women have limited understanding of project activities, their rights, and the support structures available to them particularly the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM). 5. Achieving numerical gender participation targets (e.g., 50% women participants) does not automatically translate into active participation or influence over decision-making or equitable benefit-sharing. The project has learned that attendance alone is an insufficient indicator of gender integration. The project is strengthening its monitoring approach to include qualitative indicators, such as women's reported confidence in expressing views during meetings, documented instances of women's proposals being adopted in community planning, and evidence of women's leadership in governance structures. This shift aligns with the Adaptation Fund's Gender Policy guidance, which emphasizes tracking participation and actual benefits received by gender, not just attendance figures. Additionally, the project is supporting women-led governance through initiatives such as the formalization of the women's group in Sarteneja which will allow them to access funding for their livelihood activities.
Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?	Challenges	Yes there are delays in implementation. Some of the causes include: 1. Lengthy procurement process. Recruitment of technical support for project implementation took longer than planned. When suitable candidates were finally

		contacted, some were no longer available. 2. Late provision of support from partner entity where a key implementing entity did not provide the expected technical or operational support, forcing the PMU to rely on a single staff member. 3. Sub-grantee readiness issues. Some sub-grantees (e.g., University of Belize, Hattieville Village Council) required multiple revisions of proposals or declined the grant modality, causing downstream delays. 4. Fiduciary requirements. Government entities (Forest Department) and village councils lacked dedicated bank accounts for project funds, requiring PACT to take on fiduciary management, which added processing time. 5. More subgrants under fiduciary management means more work for the PACT staff. Some measures taken to reduce delay include: 1. Parallel recruitment track. The PMU is now using a pre-qualified roster of technical support providers to bypass full procurement for urgent needs. 2. Interim staffing during which a dedicated staff member within NBIO continued project reporting and basic coordination while technical recruitment was ongoing, preventing complete standstill. 3. Proactive fiduciary support in which PACT offers training and temporary pass-through accounts for entities unable to open dedicated bank accounts. 4. Support staff installed at PACT to improve fiduciary management and support.
What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress?	Challenges & Opportunities	Pre-qualified rosters prevent procurement delays. One dedicated staff member can prevent complete stall Flexible fiduciary options (e.g., PACT) are essential Sub-grantee readiness checklists reduce

		post-signing delays Governance body commitment must be secured early. Partner non-performance needs a formal contingency plan. University of Belize misunderstanding of grant vs. consultancy caused delays in implementation start. Hattieville Village Council declining sub-grantee role required alternative engagement strategy, losing time. Forced engagement with Tropical Education Center/Belize Zoo, which brought stronger technical capacity. Standardizing reporting templates facilitated both the reporting and evaluation of work completed.
--	--	---

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

Climate Resilience Measures	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness grants)	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?	
How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
Concrete Adaptation Interventions	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive	

and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?	
What is the potential for the concrete adaptation interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Knowledge Management	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	
Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what channels of dissemination have been used?	
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever possible (e.g. project videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, training manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	
Innovation	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	
Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other climate finance? Or has the project build upon any other climate finance initiative?	
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report? Not Applicable

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries

		Total	% of female beneficiaries	% of Youth beneficiaries
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0.00	0.00
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0.00	0.00
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0.00	0.00
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	1350	30.00	15.00
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	123545	40.00	15.00
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	124895	35.00	15.00
Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0.00	0.00
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0.00	0.00

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

	Number of targeted stakeholders - Total	Number of targeted stakeholders - % of	Hazards information	Overall effectiveness
--	---	--	---------------------	-----------------------

		female targeted	generated and disseminated	
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

	No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments	Sector	Scale	Status
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	No. of adopted Early Warning Systems	Category targeted	Hazard	Geographical coverage	Number of municipalities
Baseline information					
Target performance at completion					
Performance at mid-term					
Performance at completion					

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased

	Number of staff targeted - Total	Number of staff targeted - % of	Sector	Capacity level
--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------	----------------

		female targeted		
Baseline information	0	0.00	Multi-sector	2: Low capacity
Target performance at completion	100	20.00	Multi-sector	2: Low capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	Total staff trained	% of female staff trained	Type
Baseline information	0	0.00	Public
Target performance at completion	100	20.00	Public
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	Type	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information	Public	National	Multi-sector	2: Low capacity
Target performance at completion	Public	National	Multi-sector	3: Medium capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

	Number of beneficiaries	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information	0	National	Multi-sector	2: Low capacity
Target performance at completion	100	National	Multi-sector	3: Medium capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes

Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses

	Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures	Sector
Baseline information	0.00	Multi-sector
Target performance at completion	90.00	Multi-sector
Performance at mid-term		
Performance at completion		

Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
Baseline information	0	30.00	1: Aware of neither
Target performance at completion	208814	30.00	4: Mostly aware
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 3.2: Strengthened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committees/associations	Level of awareness
Baseline information	0	20% to 39%	1: Aware of neither
Target performance at completion	3	20% to 39%	4: Mostly aware
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
Baseline information	0	Technical guidelines	National
Target performance at completion	6	Technical guidelines	National
Performance at mid-term			

Performance at completion			

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets

Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
Baseline information	Disaster risk reduction	Local	1: Non responsive (Lacks all elements)
Target performance at completion	Disaster risk reduction	Local	4: Mostly responsive (Most defined elements)
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
Baseline information	Disaster risk reduction	2: Physical asset (produced/improved/strengthened)	2: Somewhat improved
Target performance at completion	Disaster risk reduction	2: Physical asset (produced/improved/strengthened)	4: Mostly Improved
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
Baseline information	0		Disaster risk reduction
Target performance at completion	3		Disaster risk reduction
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress

Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress

	Natural resource improvement level	Sector	Type
Baseline information	1: Ineffective	Multi-sector	Water areas
Target performance at completion	4: Effective	Multi-sector	Water areas
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilitated	Unit	Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation
Baseline information	Catchment area/Watershed/Aquifer	0	ha rehabilitated	3: Moderately effective
Target performance at completion	Catchment area/Watershed/Aquifer	2	ha rehabilitated	3: Moderately effective
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas

Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	Improvement level
Baseline information	0	30.00	2: Limited improvement
Target performance at completion	100	30.00	4: High improvement
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source
Baseline information	0	3.00	From 0 to 0.5%	Agribusiness
Target performance at completion	100	10.00	From 5% to 10%	Agribusiness
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy
Baseline information	0	Adaptation strategies	Agriculture	Supporting livelihoods
Target performance at completion	5	Adaptation strategies	Food security	Supporting livelihoods
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)
Baseline information	0	Agricultural-related	100.00
Target performance at completion	100	Agricultural-related	200.00
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures**Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy**

	Integration level
Baseline information	
Target performance at completion	
Performance at mid-term	
Performance at completion	

Output 7: Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				

Performance at completion				
Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced				
	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness	
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies

Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
Baseline information	Nature-based solutions	Local	Innovation rolled out
Target performance at completion	Nature-based solutions	National	Innovation rolled out
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, scaled up, encourages and/or accelerated

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
Baseline information	0	Food security	No innovative practices	2: Partially effective
Target performance at completion	5	Food security	Undertaking innovative practices	4: Effective
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated

	No. of key findings generated	Type	Effectiveness
Baseline information	0	Innovative practice	2: Partially effective
Target performance at	3	Innovation rolled out	3: Moderately effective

completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			