

Project Performance Report

Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	8/1/2023 - 10/30/2024
Project Title	Rwanda Subnational Adaptation Fund EDA
Project Summary	
Database Number	AF00000270
Implementing Entity (IE)	Ministry of Environment, Rwanda
Type of IE	National Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Rwanda
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	Rwanda, Africa
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	Diane DUSABE BUCYANE

Project Milestones	
AFB Approval Date	10/14/2022
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	4/30/2023
Start of Project/Programme	
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	9/30/2025
Original Completion Date	6/30/2027
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them	
Category of condition	
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each

Inception report was prepared and submitted

List the Website address (URL) of project

Project Contacts			
National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	Name	Email	Date

Government(s) DA	Beatrice CYIZA	bcyiza@environment.gov.rw	
National Project Manager	Diane Dusabe BUCYANA	dbucyana@environment.gov.rw	
Executing Agency	Florian MUGABO	florian.mugabo@greenfund.org	

Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$)	\$226,936.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$)	\$147,136.00
Project disbursement rate (%)	3.2
Project execution rate (%)	3.20
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	The funds were received after grant signature, and it includes 66,549 USD for investment projects ,160,387 USD for management fees for both FONERWA (Executing Entity) & Ministry of Environment (Implementing Entity). The management fees were utilized to conduct due diligence on grant applicants, evaluate project documents for selecting the best proposals, and build capacity of grant applicants in areas such as project design, Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), and gender integration. The projects were approved by the Executing Entity (FONERWA) Board of Directors. The Grant agreements have been signed with selected partners and the Implementation of project activities is scheduled to commence in January 2025 and to facilitate smooth implementation of the project, an increased budget is required (based on the fund disbursement schedule in the signed agreement) for the first disbursement to IE. This will ensure timely initiation and efficient execution of project activities.
Investment Income (\$)	\$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$)	\$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	Amount (\$)
Output 1.1.Number of local organizations / people receiving training and assistance for proposal preparation	\$80,587.00
Output 1.2.Number of local organizations / people receiving training for project delivery (aligns to AF output 2.2. on Increased readiness and capacity)	\$0.00
Output 1.3.Knowledge management, Lessons and Investment Plan for scaling up EDA.	\$0.00
Output 2.1.Number of sub-national proposals approved	\$0.00
Component 3.1. Grant management and delivery of EDA sub-national projects	\$0.00
IE fee (\$)	\$79,410.39

Execution cost (\$)	\$80,587.00
---------------------	-------------

Planned Expenditure Schedule

Output	Projected Cost (\$)	Estimated Completion Date
Component 3.1. Grant management and delivery of EDA sub-national projects	\$1,259,019.00	12/31/2015
IE fee (\$)		\$159,600.00
Execution cost (\$)		\$161,174.00

Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)

Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$)	\$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$)	\$0.00
Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Failure to deliver EDA approach and EDA modality	Not Applicable	The EE teams are effectively addressed this risk by conducting environmental and social risk screenings for all projects. Appropriate safeguard instruments were then developed to mitigate potential risks associated with the approved projects.
Fiduciary and corruption risk for EDA / Risk of misuse of funds by project implementers, or non compliance with laws and procedures	Low	The projects have not yet started actual implementation. Once started, Implementing Entity and Executing Entity will conduct regular audits, monitoring, spot checks, and reviews of monthly financial statements and quarterly reports to mitigate the risk of financial fraud and corruption.
Insufficient interest in call for proposals and thus lack of quality projects to be funded	Not Applicable	This risk was addressed and is no longer valid as EE received over 130 proposals at the PPD stage. To address this risk of lack of quality projects to be funded, the team implemented mitigation measures, including raising awareness, providing training to applicants on proposal development, and conducting continuous reviews with clear recommendations for improvement.
Risk of poor-quality proposals and thus low	Not Applicable	This risk was mitigated through awareness-raising, training applicants on proposal development, and conducting ongoing

impact from subnational modality		reviews of proposals. Clear recommendations for improvement were provided during the PD clinics
Insufficient safeguards	Not Applicable	All grant applicants complied with the organization policies and requirements which include safeguarding, human resources, whistleblowing, risk management, codes of conduct and governance.
Compliance with Environmental and Social Policy of Adaptation Fund, and Gender Policy of Adaptation Fund, are not delivered on	Low	This was addressed through Environmental, Social, and Gender risk screening, followed by recommendations for applicants to develop appropriate E&S instruments to mitigate identified risks. Key instruments such as Environmental and Social Management Plans (ESMP), Environmental and Social Impact Assessments (ESIA), Environmental and Social Commitment Plans (E&SCC), Stakeholder Engagement Plans (SEP), and Gender Action Plans (GAP) were developed based on the project's risk category. All grant applicants were required to comply with FONERWA's Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF), and Gender Mainstreaming Strategy.
Sub-national organizations lack the resources and capacity to develop project proposals and deliver on these	Not Applicable	The Executing Entity organized Project Development orientations, Project Development clinics, online support, and physical sessions to improve the quality of the submitted projects.
Failure to create ownership of the project at the subnational level.	Not Applicable	The EE organized PD orientations, PD clinics, online support, and physical sessions to improve the quality of the submitted projects. In addition, some applicants were able to inject some resources and hire consultant that supported them in the development of the proposals
Delays in the disbursement of funds, procurement and Institutional inefficiencies delay the resulting in delayed recruitment of project staff and hence project implementation.	Low	The EE has signed implementation agreement with grant applicants, The agreements include projects disbursement plan with related deliverables. Projects monitoring frameworks and implementation plan are in place.
Lack of co-ordination with other climate change projects limits capacity or effectiveness	Low	This has been mitigated in the Project Document (PD), which includes a section requiring the applicant to demonstrate that the project does not duplicate existing efforts. Additionally, the applicant must show clear linkages and coordination with existing climate initiatives in the project area.
Lack of transparency or political interference in allocation of project resources.	Not Applicable	The Call for Proposal was undertaken through a published and transparent process with criteria, and proposals were evaluated independently to ensure there is no interference.
Staff recruitment and retainment for the FMT may hamper progress.	Low	IE and EE have an existing team, fully trained, and staff retention is commendable. There is a rolling recruitment process that can address any turnover.
Cost-effectiveness of projects	Low	This has been mitigated in the Project Document (PD), which includes a section on Value for Money (VFM). Applicants are required to demonstrate how the project ensures VFM based on economy, effectiveness, and efficiency. This criterion was thoroughly evaluated during the project selection process and served as a key factor in project approval.

M&E	Low	FONERWA has a fund Result and Information Monitoring System (FRIMS) which ensures more accurate, consistent and reliable data are collected and reported. It has also produced a monitoring and evaluation manual which is a tool to guide and strengthen the Fund internal Monitoring and Evaluation System, guide Public, Private and CSOs/NGOs' projects and program recipients on principals, procedures and processes of designing, implementing and using Results based Monitoring and Evaluation Systems. Furthermore, approved projects have M&E matrix for IE and EE will keep regular monitoring
-----	-----	--

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? No

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
-----------------	----------------	------------------------------

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

The Executing Entity has utilized Project Profile Document orientation sessions, Project Development clinics, online support, and physical meetings to enhance the quality of projects submitted by applicants. This approach has indeed reduced risks, and as of September, 9 projects have been approved by the Board of Directors and are set to begin implementation soon.

ESP Compliance

Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? No

1.Compliance with the law

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Non compliance with the National Laws and FONERWA's ESMF&RPF
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	There could be risks related to non-compliance with the regulatory framework. As part of the FONERWA grant application form, applicants have to consider and adhere to the relevant national and international technical legal requirements, and this is monitored and assessed by FONERWA. National and

	international policy frameworks are contained in FONERWA ESMF Volume 1. FONERWA also undertakes a due diligence check on all projects during the final evaluation stage, and this considers legal compliance.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in the Monitoring table of each safeguard instrument
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented. Each project will conduct baseline study before activities implementation
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	All approved subprojects complied with national laws relevant to their respective sectors. They also adhered to both national and international environmental and social (E&S) safeguards, as outlined in FONERWA's Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF). The E&S screening process followed both national regulations and World Bank standards, which informed the determination of each sub-project's risk category and the necessary E&S tools to be developed.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
2.Access and equity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Inequalities and inability to equitable access.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	There are potential risks that arise from the inability to equitable access. The Enhanced Direct Access modality of the AF is designed to deliver grants to vulnerable communities through a 'bottom-up' approach. To manage any risks, the equitable distribution of benefits is a condition of application. The application process requires applications to set out how they will involve all beneficiaries and stakeholders. These issues are then monitored and tracked as part of the M&E programme. There are also contractual clauses to executing entities that no existing inequalities will be intensified. For example to ensure fair access to benefits all activities executed to build capacity, will be published in the local media and priority must be targeted to women and the most vulnerable groups in communities.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in the monitoring table of each safeguard instrument
State the baseline condition for each monitoring	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented

indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	From the Call for Proposal announcement, the application criteria and conditions were clearly outlined. It was explicitly stated that subprojects should support communities in adapting to climate change, with a particular focus on women and vulnerable groups. Furthermore, the Project Proposal Document (PPD) and Project Description (PD) templates included sections requiring applicants to demonstrate how their projects would ensure inclusivity by addressing the needs of vulnerable populations, such as women, youth, women-headed households, and low-income earners. Notably, Section 2.4 of the PD specifically focuses on this aspect. Finally, each subproject developed the Gender Action Plan describing how gender gaps will be addressed
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
3. Marginalized and vulnerable Groups	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risks that vulnerable groups are not able to benefit from sub-national project activities
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	There could be risks that vulnerable groups are not able to benefit from sub-national project activities. To address this, the call for proposals and sub-national projects will target vulnerable groups, with the call for proposals that seek to provide benefits for poor rural households, and encouraging applications that benefit women and children. Projects will be designed and implemented in a way that will not impede access of any group to the essential services and rights. This will be done through rigorous stakeholders' consultation and mapping in order to identify the potential beneficiaries, rivals, disputants, marginalized, or vulnerable people. Risks and impacts on marginalized people are analyzed and compiled in the FONERWA ESMP to guide the inclusivity across the project implementation.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in each project M&E framework and Gender Action Plan
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The consultation and stakeholder mapping conducted at the outset of subprojects development included

	<p>representatives from all population groups, with a particular emphasis on vulnerable communities in rural areas. The risk assessment carried out during the planning phase prioritized risks affecting rural communities, and the resulting Environmental and Social (E&S) documents such as the Gender Action Plan (GAP), Environmental and Social Management Plans (ESMPs), and Environmental and Social Climate Change (E&SCC) assessment reports outlined measures to address these risks.</p> <p>Additionally, the Project Description (PD) template included sections requiring applicants to demonstrate how their sub-projects would promote inclusivity by considering all population groups, especially vulnerable communities. Sections 2.4 and 2.5 of the PD specifically address these requirements.</p>
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
4.Human rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risks of projects infringing on human rights
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	There are potential risks of projects infringing on human rights. To address this, all projects under the EDA will respect and promote human rights, including, inter alia equality, freedom of expression, association, housing, education, and access to information. When assessing projects, the human rights principles are considered as described in the ESP (See Appendix).
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in the Monitoring table of each safeguard instrument
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	During the subproject selection process, all human rights principles including equality, freedom of expression, association, housing, education, and access to information were carefully considered. These principles were also integrated into the assessments and feasibility studies conducted for the subprojects.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented

5. Gender equality and women's empowerment	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk of Gender inequality and GBV
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The development of interventions by project executing entity present a risk of not promoting gender equity in a way that men and women are enabled to participate equally, and receive equal social and economic benefits. FONERWA will ensure that the projects supported adhere to the AF gender policy and FONERWA's Gender Mainstreaming Strategy and ensure have full and equal opportunities to participate and receive comparable social and economic benefits. This includes representation of women within the management structures of sub-project grant recipients, and within beneficiaries of sub-projects. FONERWA will ensure that a gender assessment and plan will be required at the project concept level by all applications (See gender section in this proposal). FONERWA will also ensure Gender sensitive indicators are incorporated where applicable at the sub-project level and are monitored and tracked as part of M&E
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in the GAP
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	From the outset, adherence to FONERWA's Gender Mainstreaming Strategy was a mandatory requirement for all applicants as outlined in the Call for Proposal criteria. Additionally, all selected projects conducted a gender assessment and submitted a Gender Action Plan detailing how each project activity would address the needs of women and women-headed households (WHHs). This included measures such as providing job opportunities, ensuring women benefit from the subproject, and facilitating their participation in capacity-building initiatives or training programs. Furthermore, it was explicitly stated that the project teams hired for each subproject must demonstrate gender inclusivity.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented

6.Core labour rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	OHS related risks and disrespect of National and international labour laws
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Labour and OHS aspects were included in the developed ESMPs and E&SCC tools of the projects. Risk that project executing agencies may disrespect the labor rights identified by the International Labor Organization (ILO) for work. All subprojects and labor management practices funded through/ managed by FONERWA shall be screened to ensure that they comply with the requirements laid out in the FONERWA's ESMF and ESP. FONERWA will require grantees to adhere to the Labor Standards and ILO core labour standards in the design and implementation of the project/programme and create awareness. FONERWA will require the grantees of relevant projects to prepare, adopt, and implement occupational, health and safety (OHS) measures to be specified in the subproject ESMP (see appendix). FONERWA requires projects to follow the Workers Code of Conduct (see appendix).
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in ESMPs and E&SCC
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	All selected subprojects complied with national and international standards outlined in FONERWA's Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF). The Environmental and Social (E&S) screening process ensured that labor-related risks were identified and appropriate mitigation measures were established. E&S instruments, such as the Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) and Environmental and Social Climate Change (E&SCC) assessment reports, incorporated provisions for labor, health, and safety measures.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
7.Indigenous people	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require	

management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
8.Involuntary resettlement	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The risk of resettlement is particularly relevant for projects requiring land for small-scale irrigation dams.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	It is not anticipated that sub-projects proposal with involuntary resettlement aspects will be considered for funding, therefore, no involuntary resettlement is likely to occur as a result of any of the Sub-projects under the EDA AF – FONERWA call. However, in cases where FONERWA does consider projects that require resettlement, there are strict requirements for a Resettlement Action Plan (RAP) which are detailed in the FONERWA ESMF
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Proof of land ownership or purchase
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The selection of subprojects underwent a screening process to ensure they would not trigger displacement of people or properties. To avoid displacement, applicants were required to adhere to FONERWA's Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) and develop the necessary tools. The nine selected subprojects will not result in any physical displacement, as they primarily focus on supporting agricultural resilience

	<p>within community farmlands. The developed Environmental and Social (E&S) tools, such as the Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) and Environmental and Social Climate Change (E&SCC) assessment reports, include measures to avoid disruptions to agricultural activities. These measures include providing a cut-off date for project commencement and advising that key activities, such as terrace construction and small-scale irrigation, be scheduled after harvest. For subprojects involving activities like small-scale irrigation requiring the construction of water reservoirs, it was mandated that successful applicants provide proof of land purchase before initiating any project activities. The Environmental and Social (E&S) screening for all subprojects considered habitat and biodiversity aspects in the areas where activities will be implemented. In line with the provisions of FONERWA's Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), risks related to habitats and their mitigation measures were incorporated into the Environmental and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) and Environmental and Social Climate Change (E&SCC) assessment reports. The primary focus of all subprojects is to minimize disturbances to natural habitats and biodiversity as much as possible within the implementation areas.</p>
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
9. Protection of natural habitats	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risks of sub-projects to natural habitats
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	<p>The Environmental and Social (E&S) screening for all subprojects considered habitat and biodiversity aspects in the areas where activities will be implemented. In line with the provisions of FONERWA's Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), risks related to habitats and their mitigation measures were incorporated into the Environmental and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) and Environmental and Social Climate Change (E&SCC) assessment reports. The primary focus of all subprojects is to minimize disturbances to natural habitats and biodiversity as much as possible within the implementation areas.</p>

List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The Environmental and Social (E&S) screening for all subprojects will consider habitat and biodiversity aspects in the areas where activities will be implemented. In line with the provisions of FONERWA's Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), risks related to habitats and their mitigation measures were incorporated into the Environmental and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) and Environmental and Social Climate Change (E&SCC) assessment reports. The primary focus of all subprojects is to minimize disturbances to natural habitats and biodiversity as much as possible within the implementation areas.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
10.Conservation of biological diversity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Potential risk of introduction of non-indigenous species and possible invaders in the agroforestry, afforestation activities.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The activities focusing on ecosystem based adaptation, may present a potential risk of introduction of non-indigenous species and possible invaders in the agroforestry, afforestation activities. The provisions of the FONERWA's ESMF and principle 10 of the grant management manual, ensure projects will develop, adopt, and implement measures and actions to protect local biodiversity, Annex 5 to OPG Amended in October 2017 71 flora, fauna, and habitats (See also answer ESP 9). The IE will request executing agencies to identify and prevent risks of introduction of an alien species and biodiversity loss, in line with Rwandan law.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in ESMPs and E&SCC
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The selection and identification of subproject activities ensured that no alien or invasive species were introduced. Communities were consulted to recommend preferred tree species that would not

	contribute to biodiversity loss. Clear measures to protect biodiversity were incorporated into the Environmental and Social Management Plans (ESMPs) and Environmental and Social Climate Change (E&SCC) assessment reports for each specific subproject.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
11.Climate change	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk of waste and hazardous materials
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	NDC practices will be promoted under the program (agroforestry, improved forest management, climate smart agriculture) will lead to emission reductions and increase carbon sequestration and thus will have positive climate benefits, Risk assessment will be conducted as part of the ESMF and where there are potential risks identified, a greenhouse gas emissions calculation will be done to ensure the project addresses the causes of climate change or addressing its impacts
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in ESMPs and E&SCC
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Climate risk assessment was incorporated into the sub-project screening process. The majority of the sub-projects activities are climate-resilient and are expected to contribute to emission reductions. No climate-related risks are anticipated as a result of these sub-projects
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
12.Pollution prevention and resource efficiency	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes

List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk of waste and hazardous materials
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	FONERWA's ESMF or the grant management manual guides subprojects' ESMP to implement measures for resource efficiency, measures and procedures for pollution prevention and management of waste and hazardous materials in the format of a waste management plan/hazardous waste management plan, as well as project-related air emissions during construction and operation during project construction, i.e. for water and energy use..
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in ESMPs and E&SCC
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	In accordance with FONERWA's ESMF, the project screening and assessment addressed aspects of pollution prevention and resource efficiency. The developed ESMP and Environmental and Social Climate Change (E&SCC) assessment reports identified all relevant risks related to resource efficiency, pollution prevention, and waste management. Appropriate mitigation measures have been provided to guide the implementation process. Furthermore, it was recommended that all subprojects interventions prioritize environmental sustainability and resource efficiency wherever possible
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
13.Public health	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risks of Hygiene and public health
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	FONERWA's ESMF shall guide subprojects' ESMP to develop and implement measures and actions to assess and manage specific risks and impacts to local communities arising from Project activities, in line with national legislation and with principle 13. At the moment COVID-19 is still a public health threat in Rwanda. Sub-national project activities could increase COVID-19 contact. Therefore, measures to halt the spread such as handwashing and facemasks will be adhered to, in line with Rwanda Biomedical Center.

List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in ESMPs and E&SCC
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	In line with FONERWA's ESMF, the screening and assessment of the subprojects included consideration of public health. The developed E&S instruments, such as the ESMP and Environmental and Social Climate Change (E&SCC) assessment reports, outline measures to address health-related issues for both communities and project workers. Given that COVID-19 was declared over during the subproject development, its associated risks were not included in the E&S tools. However, health and hygiene measures, such as the provision of clean water, toilets, and handwashing facilities, were incorporated for labor-intensive subprojects
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
14. Physical and cultural heritage	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risks of alteration, damage, or removal of any physical cultural resources, cultural sites, and sites with unique natural values
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Subprojects that will be supported under AF EDA project shall be designed and implemented in a way that avoids the alteration, damage, or removal of any physical cultural resources, cultural sites, and sites with unique natural values recognized as such at the community, national or international level. This is included in the ESMP. tation of these risks activities to minimize risks
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in ESMPs and E&SCC
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Subprojects that will be supported under AF EDA project shall be designed and implemented in a way that avoids the alteration, damage, or removal of any physical cultural resources, cultural sites, and sites with unique natural values recognized as such at the community, national or international level. This is included in the ESMP. tation of these risks activities to minimize risks
Describe the residual impact for each impact	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented

identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
15.Lands and soil conservation	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk of Soil erosion and contamination as result of radical terraces construction
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Many of the interventions prioritized in the call for proposal will include activities that lead towards positive soil conservation and protection. There is a risk of activities under the thematic actions could trigger lands and soil conservation principles and increase runoff during for example construction of progressive terraces and installing irrigation. FONERWA will select sub-national projects that lay out plans on how they will retain water and conserve soil during implementation of these risks activities to minimize risks
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Included in ESMPs and E&SCC
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The selected subprojects aim to enhance land and soil conservation. However, certain interventions, such as terrace construction, may present risks related to soil erosion during implementation. To address these risks, appropriate measures have been included in the subprojects' ESMP or Environmental and Social Climate Change (E&SCC) assessment reports.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A as the projects have not yet been implemented

Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required

Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out?	No
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	No
If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	No unanticipated ESP risks have been identified,

Section 3: Categorisation

Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still	Yes
--	-----

relevant?	
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	Categorization according to ESP standards is still relevant

Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	The IE has ensured that all project proposals are developed with safeguard requirements. In addition, the IE has assigned safeguard and gender staff to oversee the environmental, social, and gender aspects, ensuring the successful implementation of the projects
Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	The EE has designated Environmental and Social safeguard staff to specifically oversee the implementation of safeguard and gender measures. Additionally, the EE has instructed project proponents to begin the hiring process for safeguard staff for their respective projects.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	Yes

Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.

Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	Yes
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details.	Yes
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled?	Yes
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	Yes

Identified USPs in the reporting period	Application of ESMP to the USP	ESP risks identified for the USP	Has an impact assessment been carried out?	Consultation held for risks and impacts identification for USP	Gender disaggregation to identify risks and impacts	Safeguard measures identified for the USP	Monitoring indicator(s) for each impact
USP 1: Building climate resilience of vulnerable communities of the AKAZIGA watershed	Yes	1. Disturbance of vegetation and habitats during surveys and site investigations during	Yes	Yes	Yes	1. Conduct thorough environmental and social screening before finalizing designs 2. Minimize	1. Risk screening report with key E&S risks related to vegetation clearance and disturbance

		<p>radical terraces construction</p> <p>2. Potential for soil erosion and sedimentation if access routes are not properly managed during radical terraces construction</p> <p>3. Potential for changes in water flow patterns</p> <p>4. Potential for social conflicts over water allocation and management</p> <p>5. Potential for social conflicts over access to and use of riparian zones of the marshland</p> <p>6. Risk of damage to riparian vegetation during protection activities of the marshland</p> <p>7. Risk of exclusion of vulnerable groups in business development initiatives</p> <p>8. Risk of labor exploitation or unfair working conditions in</p>				<p>vegetation clearance and disturbance during site investigations</p> <p>Implement erosion control measures along access routes</p> <p>3. Design leveling and terracing to maintain natural drainage patterns</p> <p>4. Ensure inclusive and participatory processes in Water Use Organization (WUO) establishment</p> <p>5. Clearly demarcate and enforce riparian zones;</p> <p>Engage communities in riparian zone protection and monitoring</p> <p>6. Promote alternative income - generating activities to reduce pressure on riparian resources</p> <p>7. Ensure equitable access to business development support and</p>	<p>2. Number of field monitoring visits conducted to ensure erosion control measures implemented</p> <p>3. Number of field monitoring visits conducted</p> <p>4. Water Use Organization established and functional</p> <p>5. Number of field monitoring visits conducted</p> <p>6. Activities or income generating business around the marshland</p> <p>7. GRC in place</p> <p>Number of grievance and resolution</p> <p>8. Number of field monitoring visits conducted</p> <p>9. Number of field monitoring visits conducted</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

		post- harvest facilities. 9. Risk of introducing invasive species				opportunities, Target women, youth, and marginalized groups in business development initiatives 8. Monitor working conditions and labor practices in post-harvest facilities 9. Carefully select and monitor plant species used in demonstration plots	
USP 2: Community Adaptation to Climate Change Effects (CACCE) project	Yes	1. Risk of corruption during selection of beneficiaries of the project interventions like radical terraces, tree planting, livestock provision, water harvesting tanks, improved cookstoves, etc 2. Rejection of selected species by some of the farmers 3. Risk of selection poor quality seeds Impacts on biodiversity from nonnative	Yes	Yes	Yes	1. Set criteria and involve all relevant stakeholders in site selection through consultation meetings, site visit, etc; Comply with labour law and gender policy Set and document clear eligibility criteria for selecting beneficiaries of the project interventions 2. Local population shall be involved in the selection of tree species 3.	1. Number of monitoring visit conducted 2. Number of concent forms signed by the beneficiaries 3. Number of monitoring visit conducted 4. Number of training provided and number of women supported 5. Number of monitoring visit conducted 6. Number of monitoring visit conducted 7. Number of monitoring visit

		<p>species with risk of developing invasive characteristics</p> <p>4. Lack of gender consideration; Risk of gender discrimination</p> <p>5. Poor working conditions (accident, injuries, disease, death, sexual harassment and other communicable</p> <p>6. Temporal soil erosion during the construction of radical terraces</p> <p>7. Competition with other crops (soil nutrients and moisture) for planned agroforestry trees</p> <p>8. Damage of seedlings in nursery beds</p> <p>9. Pollution by seedling plastic bags</p> <p>10. Increased risks of child labour and abuse and school dropouts</p> <p>11. Soil/Water/air contamination due to improper use of fertilizers</p> <p>12. Reselling of</p>			<p>Buy seeds in seed centers set by the Rwanda Forestry Authority; Consideration of previous studies on the characteristics of tree species</p> <p>4. Equal opportunities for both women and men; Provide women and men with the same job opportunities</p> <p>5. Setting of safety working conditions/ standards; Providing relevant PPEs to workers basing on nature of works</p> <p>6. Comply with required technical specifications</p> <p>7. Respecting recommended techniques for agroforestry trees plantation and maintenance</p> <p>8. Establish nursery near the site and Select resistant tree species</p> <p>9. Putting in place a mechanism</p>	<p>conducted</p> <p>8. Number of Field inspection visit; Policy or guidelines on safety working conditions</p> <p>9. % of women employed in the project by the project</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

		cookstoves and water tanks by beneficiaries 13. GenderBased Violence (GBV)/Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (SEA)/Sexual Harassment (SH)				to control andmanage the use of seedling plastic bags 10. Comply with labor law 11. Use of organic manure 12. Training beneficiaries on the benefits and use of provided materials 13. Ensuring that women have equal opportunity as male and employed without SEA, SH and GBV Sensitize and enforce code of conduct	
USP 3: Promoting Climate-Smart Practices in Cattle Zero-Grazing System and Implementing Solar Powered Irrigation for Enhanced Crop Productivity in Gatsibo district	Yes	1. Polyethylene bags from production sites may cause the soil and environment pollution 2. Exclusion of women and risk of child abuse and exploitation 3. Termites, drought and new trees may be damaged by livestock and affect the new planted trees through removal or	Yes	Yes	Yes	1. After using the polyethylene bags, they will be collected and taken to the appropriate factory for recycling 2. Provide Capacity building for women and youth; Recruitment conditions should target more women of project zones (both skilled and unskilled) to promote	1. Number of monitoring visit conducted 2. Number of monitoring visit conducted; Number of training session conducted 3. Number of monitoring visit conducted 4. Number of monitoring visit conducted 5. Number of monitoring visit conducted 6.

		<p>to fail to be established</p> <p>4. Root competition between Proposed agroforestry trees and crops which will Negatively affect the growth of trees and crops</p> <p>5. Delay in tree planting may affect seedlings to be established before the project life cycle</p> <p>6. Local resistance against project interventions of Solar-powered water supply system for livestock to pass through the community agricultural land</p> <p>7. Poor Site working conditions</p> <p>8. Risk of contaminated underground water for livestock</p> <p>9. Depletion of Aquifers due to the pumped underground water</p>				<p>gender equity; As per the national regulation, children under 18 years are not allow to be recruited or exploited</p> <p>3. Ensure thta only termites and drought resistant tree species are planted;</p> <p>Fencing by using euphorbia tirucalli which is never consumed by any livestock;</p> <p>Zero grazing enforcemen</p> <p>4. Root competition shall be avoided by regularly pruning of the roots of big trees to avoid/ reduce competition</p> <p>5. Management contract between Gatsibo district/ADAP and external entrepreneur to manage the planted trees. Only established and well grown tree seedlings will be paid</p>	<p>Number of consultation meetings done</p> <p>7. Number of monitoring visit conducted</p> <p>8. Number of water tests conducted</p> <p>9. Number of monitoring visit conducted</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

						<p>to entrepreneurs</p> <p>6. Conduct Community mobilization to make people aware of the importance of the Solar-powered water supply system for livestock and a cutoff date will be given to make sure crops are harvested on time to allow the installation of the Solar-powered water supply system for livestock</p> <p>7. Contractor should have a labour policy and its compliance that elaborates its stand on: Prohibition of Child labour, forced works, freedom of worker's opinion; Leaves, health and safety policy at work place, medical insurance, prevention policy; Contracts of</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

						employment 8. The water will be tested by the concerned organization before officially being handled over to the community for use; Implementing protective measures such as installing casing and seals to prevent surface water infiltration; Educating communities on proper waste disposal practices to minimize pollution of groundwater sources 9. Implementing sustainable groundwater management practices such as monitoring water levels and limiting extraction rates; Promoting water conservation measures to reduce overall demand on aquifers	
USP 4: Promoting	Yes	1. Water extraction	Yes	Yes	Yes	1. Construction of	1. Number of

Climate Smart Agriculture for Food Security and Climate Resilience in Bugesera district		<p>for irrigation from Rweru Lake has the potential to pollute the Water and disturb local ecosystems. Water level reductions may alter ecosystems, hurting aquatic life, while agricultural activities may cause increased sedimentation and nutrient runoff, lowering Water quality 2. Impact on Women's Workload and Hardship 3. Risk of Domestic Violence or Sexual Harassment as a result of increased income or shifts in household power dynamics 4. Improper use of agrochemicals may result in Water pollution 5. Risk of displace of people or properties as a result of securing land for the</p>				<p>of the infrastructure including the dam-sheet outside the 50 meter buffer zone 2. Establishment of code of conduct for all project activities, with strict penalties for any form of harassment 3. Adoption of Irrigation techniques, and promoting organic farming to help minimize water pollution risks 4. Implement ethical labor standards and enforce labor to help protect labor rights and improve working conditions 5. Ensure that farmers provide clear consent for the use of their land or compensate those currently occupying or using the land that will be utilized 6. Plant agroforestry</p>	<p>monitoring visit conducted 2. Number of concent forms signed by the beneficiaries 6. Number of monitoring visit conducted 4. Number of training provided and number of women supported 5. Number of monitoring visit conducted 6. Number of monitoring visit conducted 7. Number of monitoring visit conducted 8. Number of Field inspection visit; Policy or guidelines on safety working conditions 9. % of women hired and employed in by the project</p>
---	--	--	--	--	--	---	---

		<p>construction of water reservoir 6. Soil erosion and land degradation during the construction of erosion control ditches 7. Intensive of agricultural practices may cause soil degradation 8. Gender inequality may be caused by women facing unequal access to resources, decision-making power, and economic opportunities</p>				<p>shrubs (Pennisetum) along the ditches to enhance environmental sustainability 7. Enhancement of agroforestry practices 8. Mainstream gender considerations, and provide gender-responsive training and support</p>	
<p>USP 5: Increased income and Food security of farmer's households through improving productivity of natural resources and climate resilience</p>	Yes	<p>1. Degradation of Natural Resources 2. Risk of Displace people or Properties as a result of securing land for the construction of water reservoir 3. Soil Erosion and Land Degradation during the construction of erosion control ditches 4. Impact on Women's</p>	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>1. Construction of activities will follow strict environmental guidelines to minimize disruption to the local ecosystem 2. Ensure that farmers provide clear consent for the use of their land or compensate those currently occupying or using the land that will be</p>	<p>1. Number of monitoring visit conducted 2. Number of concent forms signed by the beneficiaries 3. Number of monitoring visit conducted 4. Number of training provided and number of women supported 5. Number of monitoring visit</p>

		<p>Workload and Hardship 5. Risk of Domestic Violence or Sexual Harassment as a result of Increased income or shifts in household power dynamics 6. Intensive of agricultural practices may cause soil degradation 7. Improper use of agrochemicals may result in water pollution 8. Exploitative labor practices, including child labor, unsafe working conditions, and low wages, may cause labor Rights Violations 9. Gender inequality on the access to resources, decision-making power, and economic opportunities in agriculture</p>				<p>utilized 3. The construction of ditches will be carefully managed to prevent soil erosion. Agroforestry shrubs, such as Pennisetum and Calliandra, will be planted along the ditches to stabilize the soil and enhance environmental sustainability 4. Ensure that women's roles in the project are clearly defined and supported with necessary resources, including tools and training 5. Establish a code of conduct for all project activities, with strict penalties for any form of harassment 6. Crop rotation, cover cropping, and agro forestry, organic manure 7.</p>	<p>conducted 6. Number of monitoring visit conducted 7. Number of monitoring visit conducted 8. Number of Field inspection visit; Policy or guidelines on safety working conditions 9. % of women hired and employed in by the project</p>
--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--

						Implementing integrated pest management (IPM) practices, adopting precision irrigation techniques, and promoting organic farming can help minimize water pollution risks 8. Implement ethical labor standards, enforce labor laws, and promote fair trade certification schemes help protect labor rights and improve working conditions 9. Mainstream gender considerations, provide gender-responsive training and support, and promote women's leadership and entrepreneurship empower women farmers and reduce gender disparities	
USP 6: Sustainable farming	Yes	1. Social Conflict and Inequality	Yes	Yes	Yes	1. Promote equitable access to	1. % of women hired and

practices to build climate resilient for vulnerable households in the Bugesera District		<p>risks among project beneficiaries</p> <p>2. Health and Safety Risk for workers during the installation of a solar-powered irrigation system</p> <p>3. Risk of child labor and abuse that may lead to school dropout</p> <p>4. Sexual harassment of women and girls or Gender-based violence risks</p> <p>5. Damaging of existing crops during project implementation</p> <p>main activities like solar-powered irrigation installation</p> <p>6. Local resistance to mixing crops with agroforestry tree species</p> <p>7. Planting residues (polyethylene bags) scattered as environmental issues after agroforestry and fruit tree planting</p>				<p>project benefits, with particular attention to vulnerable and marginalized groups especially women and youth inclusiveness</p> <p>2. Develop and implement a comprehensive health and safety plan for all project activities, provide personal protective equipment (PPE) and training to all project workers, and finally establish and maintain a first aid at the project site</p> <p>3. Avoid recruitment of children under 18 years and work closely with local authorities to limit students who would wish to leave schools for work purposes</p> <p>4. Train manager and supervisors of employees</p>	<p>marginalized people employed by the project</p> <p>2. Number of Field inspection visit; Policy or guidelines on safety working conditions</p> <p>3. Number of monitoring visit conducted</p> <p>4. Number of training conducted; Number of case received and handled</p> <p>5. Number of Field inspection visit</p> <p>6. Number of study tours made</p> <p>7. Number of Field inspection done to control and manage the use of seedling plastic bags</p>
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

						<p>about the laws, regulations and punishment related to sexual harassment</p> <p>5. Awareness campaign among casual workers to use existing pathways. Internal regulations will be shared among workers related to not damaging the property of beneficiaries. Team leaders will intensively follow and supervise casual workers regularly</p> <p>6. Awareness campaigns and study tours to change mind on the adoption of agroforestry systems in their farmland</p> <p>7. Collect all bags and return them to the collection points and the project team will</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

						transfer to the appropriate industry for recycling	
USP 7: Enhancing Resilience: protection of Vulnerable and degraded Lands for Improved Rainfall Adaptation in Rubengera Sector	Yes	<p>1. Water extraction for irrigation from Mpombori liver may lead to disrupt local ecosystems</p> <p>2. Exploitative labor practices, including child labor, unsafe working conditions, and low wages, may cause labor Rights Violations</p> <p>3. Women may face unequal access to resources, decision-making power, and economic opportunities in agriculture this can lead to gender inequality</p> <p>4. Failure to create ownership and commitment to the project at the local communities</p> <p>5. Injuries' during construction of solar</p>	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>1. Improving financial literacy, promoting effective communication within families, and fostering equitable decision-making regarding income management</p> <p>2. Encouraging a shift towards zero grazing for the distributed livestock</p> <p>3. Promote zero tillage avoiding uprooting other trees and shrubs, and encouraging mixed species plantations</p> <p>4. Promote gender mainstreaming and women's empowerment initiatives across all project activities and provide gender-sensitive training and extension services to enhance</p>	<p>1. Number of training conducted on financial literacy</p> <p>2. Number of Field inspection done to ensure the adoption of zero grazing</p> <p>3. Number of Field inspection done</p> <p>4. % Of women hired, benefit and employed in various project interventions;</p> <p>Number of field monitoring visit</p> <p>5. Number of awareness meeting conducted</p>

		powered infrastructure 6. Displacement of people or property loss as a result of Dam-sheet construction and solar panels installation				women's skills and capacities 5. Awareness raising to communities and provide cutt-off date for farmers to harvest their crops before actual activity begin	
USP 8: Enhancing climate change resilience in Kayumbu watershed for uphill food security and Marshland crops production.	Yes	1. Water extraction for irrigation from Mpombori liver may lead to disrupt local ecosystems 2. Exploitative labor practices, including child labor, unsafe working conditions, and low wages, may cause labor Rights Violations 3. Women may face unequal access to resources, decision-making power, and economic opportunities in agriculture this can lead to gender inequality 4. Failure to create ownership and	Yes	Yes	Yes	1. Construction activities will follow strict environmental guidelines to minimize disruption to the local ecosystem 2. The project will implement ethical labor standards, enforce labor laws, and protect labor rights and improve working conditions 3. Integrate gender considerations into all aspects of the project, ensuring that women's needs and perspectives are included in every intervention 4. Engage the community from the outset and	1. Number of Field inspection done to ensure compliance to the Environmental law 2. Number of monitoring visit conducted 3. % Of women hired, benefit and employed in various project interventions; Number of field monitoring visit 4. Number of awareness and cmbolization meeting held 5. Number of Field inspection visit; number of meeting held on safety 6. Number of people compensated and proof of

		<p>commitment to the project at the local communities</p> <p>5. Injuries' during construction of solar powered infrastructure</p> <p>6. Displacement of people or property loss as a result of Dam-sheet construction and solar panels installation</p>				<p>maintain ongoing involvement. Strengthen community mobilization efforts, ensuring transparency and open communication with all individuals impacted by the project</p> <p>5. Provision of safety equipment and measures; meeting related to self-protection at the site and ensuring that all workers possess medical insurance</p> <p>6. Ensure fair compensation for lost farmland and crops and offer displaced farm owners access to project-related opportunities as a livelihood facilitation package</p>	compensation
<p>USP 9: Enhancing Climate change resilience through promotion of climate adaptation</p>	Yes	<p>1. Accidents involving community members who may fall into the constructed reservoir while using</p>	Yes	Yes	Yes	<p>1. Install a fence around the reservoir dam to prevent community members from falling in</p> <p>2.</p>	<p>1. Number of monitoring visit conducted</p> <p>2. Established committee</p> <p>3. Number of monitoring</p>

measures for farmers in Kabare and Rwinkwavu Sector, Kayonza District		<p>its water 2. Seedlings drying out due to inadequate watering in the tree nursery</p> <p>Tree 3. Pests (termites) destroying Agroforestry trees 4. Community resistance to the implementation of agroforestry trees and irrigation systems on their plots 5. People and livestock dying onto the reservoir dam 6. Destruction of some farm crops during irrigation infrastructure installation 7. Destruction of the reservoir dam, which would lead to crop destruction and potential loss of life 8. Health and Safety Risk for workers during the installation of a solar-powered irrigation system</p>				<p>Established special group of youth and women for supervision and watering the planted trees 3. Implement biological management strategies to control termites that are damaging planted trees, while selecting tree species that are well-suited to the local microclimate and soil type 4. Community mobilization and involve the beneficiaries at all stages of implementation in partnership with local leaders to increasing community ownership 5. Fencing the water reservoir dam 6. Engage the community in the planned activity and establish a cutoff date for crop harvesting 7.</p>	<p>visit conducted 4. Number of mobilization meetings held 5. Number of monitoring visit conducted 6. Number of community meetings held; A cutoff date set 7. Number of monitoring visit conducted 8. Number of Field inspection visit; Policy or guidelines on safety working conditions</p>
---	--	--	--	--	--	---	---

						Engage an experienced company to oversee installation and supervision according to the agreed specifications 8. Develop and implement a comprehensive health and safety plan for all project activities, provide personal protective equipment (PPE) and training to all project workers, ensure workers have health insurance and finally establish and maintain a first aid at the project site	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Section 6: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process	Provide the status/outcome
--	--	----------------------------

Comments

The subprojects were approved recently by Executing Entity Board of Directors. Most of implementation

related ESP compliance is not applicable at this time, as the subprojects are not yet started

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

Gender-responsive element	Level	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Rated result for the reporting period
To increase devolved decision making and finance through direct enhanced access to the sub-national level.	Objective	No. of people benefitting from the enhanced direct access modality	0	25,000 people (Disaggregated by gender, of which 12,500 women)	Satisfactory
Increased readiness and capacity of subnational entities to directly access and program adaptation finance (AF Output 2.2)	Outcome	Number of local organizations/ people receiving training and assistance for proposal preparation	0	10 organizations receive training (50% of training recipients women)	Satisfactory
Number of households with improved water retention capability disaggregated by gender.	Output	Number of people adopting sustainable land management practices	0	Estimated 10,000 beneficiaries of which at least 5000 women	Satisfactory
Small scale irrigation	Output	Number of people adopting sustainable water practices	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Estimated 4 small scale irrigation projects funded •Estimated 3000 beneficiaries of which at least 1500 women. 	Satisfactory
water security	Outcome	Number of	0	Estimated 3000	Satisfactory

		people adopting sustainable water management		beneficiaries of which at least 1,500 women	
agroforestry and sustainable agriculture	Output	Number of people adopting sustainable agricultural practices	0	Estimated 9,500 beneficiaries of which at least 4,700 women	Satisfactory

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
Not applicable at this time, as implementation of subprojects has not yet started	Satisfactory	Not applicable at this time, as implementation of subprojects has not yet started

Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	<p>The Implementing Entity have made sure that integrated gender considerations across all our programs and activities, ensuring that gender equity is a central component of project design, implementation, and evaluation. In addition, the IE has recommended that each subprojects conduct gender assessment and ensure all gender gaps are identified, and appropriate measures are put in place to address them. •The Gender assessment was developed during the submission of the full proposal. Gender dynamics within the target communities was analyzed to identify existing gaps and barriers that could affect the equitable participation and benefits for all genders. Based on the findings, gender-responsive strategies and interventions were formulated to ensure the project/programme actively promotes gender equality and empowers women and other vulnerable groups. •During the review process, the EE ensured that applicants adhered to the Adaptation Gender Policy by considering the inclusion of women, girls, and women-headed households in the engagement, ensuring their benefits from the planned activities during implementation •During the PPD and PD orientation and training for CSOs and other applicants, the EE emphasized the Adaptation Fund Gender Policy, demonstrating how it can complement FONERWA's Gender Mainstreaming Strategy. •Furthermore, the gender responsive indicators were broken down at each objective, outcome, outputs. This was done in the developed Gender Action Plan for each subproject which guide the integration of gender considerations</p>
---	---

	throughout the project cycle.
Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	Executing Entity engaged with women's organizations and gender-focused groups to ensure their voices and perspectives are reflected in our decision-making processes. This was facilitated through targeted consultations and feedback mechanisms. In addition, for some projects a Gender Action Plan was developed to outline clear steps and goals for gender inclusion and empowerment, ensuring accountability and measurable progress throughout the reporting period.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	Yes

Section 4: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process used	Provide the status/outcome
---	---	----------------------------

Comments

Most of GP related activities at implementation are not yet started since as the subprojects are still in the planning phase. Those implementation arrangements at EI and EE as well as implementation of Grievances

Rating

Implementing Entity

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Awareness raising, capacity building, proposal development support and training	Outcome 2	10 organizations receive training (50% of training recipients women)	Ontrack	Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
------	-------

Sylien Gasangwa, PPR focal person	sgasangwa@environment.gov.rw
<p>Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.</p> <p>The reason of rating is that capacity building training on proposal development, gender and ESPM were conducted and as result 9 subnational projects were approved and ready for implementation. Further training on ESPM and gender for projects implementors is planned after January 2025. Capacity building activities will continue during project implementation. Please note: The first year of the project after was aiming to bring onboard subnational projects to be implemented, thus can't rate the progress of the projects implementation.</p>	

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Review and Approval of Sub-National Adaptation Proposals under the CFP	Outcome 3	Seven to ten Sub national and civil society adaptation projects approved. Currently 9 sub national projects for civil society and Districts have been approved	Completed	Highly Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email	Institution
Florian Mugabo	f.mugabo@greenfund.rw	FONERWA, Executing Entity

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Review and Approval of Sub-National Adaptation Proposals under the Call for Proposal was completed, and 9 subnational projects have been approved. Their implementation is expected to start by January 2025. Please note: The first year of the project after was aiming to bring onboard subnational projects to be implemented, thus can't rate the progress of the projects implementation.

Other

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Grant management of EDA programme	Outcome 3, Outcome 5, Outcome 6	1729 has of terraces 578 area (ha) of agroforestry 175 area (ha) of land under smart irrigation systems. 384 ha of watersheds and water bodies protected. 50 households with improved water retention capability disaggregated by gender. 52 rainwater harvesting tank installed.	Ontrack	Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
------	-------

Florian Mugabo	f.mugabo@greenfund.rw
<p>Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.</p> <p>The first year of the project after the inception report was aiming to bring onboard subnational projects and were approved. The budget for first disbursement is on good performance as well.</p>	

Overall Rating
<p>Overall rating</p> <p>Highly Satisfactory</p> <p>Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.</p> <p>The first year of the project after the inception report was aiming to bring onboard subnational projects and were approved. The budget for first disbursement is on good performance as well.</p>

Project Indicators

List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc...)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Objectives	No. of people benefitting from the enhanced direct access modality (aligns to AF indicator 2.2.1)	0 women and 0 men	no progress so far as the subnational projects are not yet started	25,000 people (Disaggregated by gender, of which 12,500 women)
Outcomes	Number of local organizations / people receiving training and assistance for proposal preparation	0	Local organizations and/people were trained and supported on how to prepare proposals: Districts, CSOs and NGOs prepared their proposals after the training	10 organizations receive training (50% of training recipients women)
Outputs	Number of local organizations / people receiving training for project delivery	0	7 non-governmental organization and 3 Districts representatives were trained on project delivery	9 organizations receive training (50% of training recipients women)
Outputs	Knowledge management, Lessons and	To be determined	To be determined	To be determined

	Investment Plan for scaling up EDA.			
Outputs	Number of sub-national proposals approved	0	9 proposals have been approved by the Board of Directors	9
Outcomes	Percentage increase in yield/ha	0	The implementation has not yet started hence progress will be provided in the next reporting	Average of all projects (42%)
Outputs	Area in hectares (ha) of land protected against erosion with terraces	0	The implementation has not yet started hence progress will be provided in the next reporting	1729
Outputs	Area in hectares (ha) of agroforestry	0	The implementation has not yet started hence progress will be provided in the next reporting	578
Outputs	Area in hectares (ha) of land under smart irrigation systems.	0	The implementation has not yet started hence progress will be provided in the next reporting	175
Outcomes	Watersheds and water bodies protected	0	The implementation has not yet started hence progress will be provided in the next reporting	384
Outcomes	Number of households with improved water retention capability disaggregated by gender.	0	The implementation has not yet started hence progress will be provided in the next reporting	50
Outcomes	Number of rainwater harvesting tank installed.	0	The implementation has not yet started hence progress will be provided in the next reporting	52
Outcomes	Average percentage increase in income.	0	The implementation has not yet started hence progress will be provided in the next reporting	average of all project(33%)

Comments

The implementation has not yet started hence progress will be provided in the next reporting

Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management		
Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)	Opportunities	No Changes undertaken to improve results on the ground as the implementation of the selected projects have not yet started. It is expected to start by January 2025 after next disbursement
Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts?	Opportunities	Implementation of the selected projects have not yet started. It is expected to start by January 2025 after next disbursement
How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.	Challenges & Opportunities	Gender considerations were integrated into the review and approval of projects to ensure the development of a Gender Action Plan detailing how gaps affecting women and girls will be addressed in each project activity. Additionally, women represented 60% of the participants in the training conducted during the PPD and PD orientations. However, during the project review, training, and PD clinics, it was identified that integrating gender aspects into the projects is a new and challenging task for some applicants. This highlighted key lessons for EE and IE on where and how to focus efforts on upcoming training sessions.
Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?	Opportunities	Implementation of the selected projects have not yet started. It is expected to start by January 2025 after next disbursement
What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress?	Opportunities	Implementation of the selected projects have not yet started. It is expected to start by January 2025 after next disbursement

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

Climate Resilience Measures

What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness grants)	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?	
How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
Concrete Adaptation Interventions	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?	
What is the potential for the concrete adaptation interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Knowledge Management	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	
Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what channels of dissemination have been used?	
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever possible (e.g. project videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, training manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please	

provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	
Innovation	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	
Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other climate finance? Or has the project build upon any other climate finance initiative?	
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report?

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries				
		Total	% of female beneficiaries	% of Youth beneficiaries
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0	0
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0	0
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	27734	57	30
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	155373	62	40
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	183107	59.5	35

Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

	Number of targeted stakeholders - Total	Number of targeted stakeholders - % of female targeted	Hazards information generated and disseminated	Overall effectiveness
Baseline information	0	0	Other	
Target performance at completion	0	0	Other	
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

	No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments	Sector	Scale	Status
Baseline information	0			
Target performance at completion	0			
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	No. of adopted Early Warning Systems	Category targeted	Hazard	Geographical coverage	Number of municipalities
Baseline information					
Target performance at completion					
Performance at mid-term					
Performance at completion					

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased

	Number of staff targeted - Total	Number of staff targeted - % of female targeted	Sector	Capacity level
Baseline information	0	0		
Target performance at completion	27	50	Multi-sector	2: Low capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	Total staff trained	% of female staff trained	Type
Baseline information	0	0	
Target performance at completion	27	50	NGO
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	Type	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information	NGO	Local	Multi-sector	3: Medium capacity

Target performance at completion	NGO	Local	Multi-sector	4: High capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

	Number of beneficiaries	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information	9	Local	Multi-sector	3: Medium capacity
Target performance at completion	9	Local	Multi-sector	4: High capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes

Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses

	Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures	Sector
Baseline information	0	Other
Target performance at completion	0	
Performance at mid-term		
Performance at completion		

Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
Baseline information	0	0	
Target performance at completion	0	0	
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 3.2: Strengthened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and

disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committes/associations	Level of awareness
Baseline information	0	20% to 39%	2: Partially not aware
Target performance at completion	9	40% to 60%	4: Mostly aware
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
Baseline information	0		
Target performance at completion	0		
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets**Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate**

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
Baseline information	Agriculture		
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress

Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress

	Natural resource improvement level	Sector	Type
Baseline information	1: Ineffective	Multi-sector	Land
Target performance at completion	4: Effective	Multi-sector	Land
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilitated	Unit	Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation
Baseline information	Cultivated land/Agricultural land	0	ha rehabilitated	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	Cultivated land/Agricultural land	1739	ha rehabilitated	4: Effective
Target performance at completion	Catchment area/Watershed/Aquifer	155	ha rehabilitated	4: Effective
Target performance at completion	Cultivated land/Agricultural land	678	ha rehabilitated	4: Effective
Target performance at completion	Catchment area/Watershed/Aquifer	48	ha rehabilitated	4: Effective

Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas

Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	Improvement level
Baseline information	0	0	2: Limited improvement
Target performance at completion	7396	50	4: High improvement
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source
Baseline information	0	0		
Target performance at completion	0	0		
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy
Baseline information	0	Physical capital	Water management	Irrigation system
Target performance at completion	6	Physical capital	Water management	Irrigation system
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)
Baseline information	0	Agricultural-related	0

Target performance at completion	5917	Agricultural-related	2
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures

Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy

	Integration level
Baseline information	
Target performance at completion	
Performance at mid-term	
Performance at completion	

Output 7: Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced

	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies

Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
--	-------------------------------	------------------	------

Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, scaled up, encourages and/or accelerated

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated

	No. of key findings generated	Type	Effectiveness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			