

Project Performance Report

Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	3/29/2025 - 3/28/2026
Project Title	Integrated Water and Soil Resources Management Project (Projet de gestion intégrée des ressources en eau et des sols PROGIRES)
Project Summary	
Database Number	AF00000249
Implementing Entity (IE)	International Fund Agricultural Dev
Type of IE	Multilateral Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Djibouti
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	Tadjourah and Dikhil regions
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	Ndihokubwayo, Emime

Project Milestones	
AFB Approval Date	7/26/2021
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	12/10/2021
Start of Project/Programme	3/29/2022
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	
Original Completion Date	9/29/2027
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	3/31/2028

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them	
Category of condition	
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each

The MTR has been conducted from October 28th to November 8th. It has been submitted in August 2025 to the Adaptation Fund Secretariat.

List the Website address (URL) of project

http://www.maepe-rh.dj/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=89&Itemid=371

Project Contacts			
National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	Name	Email	Date
Implementing Entity	Emime Ndiokubwayo	e.Ndiokubwayo@ifad.org	5/29/2026
National Project Manager	Ibrahim Houmed	ibrahimhoumed@yahoo.fr	5/29/2026

Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$)	\$3,983,035.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$)	\$2,585,747.36
Project disbursement rate (%)	54.36
Project execution rate (%)	52.55
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	
Investment Income (\$)	\$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$)	\$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	Amount (\$)
1.1.4 Enhanced management capacity for climate-resilient water infrastructure	\$55,724.94
Defense of rangelands and vegetation cover regeneration	\$17,095.77
Creation of income-generating activities	\$7,639.00
Output 3.1.2: Farmers' and beneficiaries' capacities to adapt to climate change reinforced	\$17,866.00
IE fee (\$)	\$34,922.00
Execution cost (\$)	\$7,777.00

Planned Expenditure Schedule		
Output	Projected Cost (\$)	Estimated Completion Date
Enhanced management capacity for climate-resilient water infrastructure	\$205,266.00	3/31/2027
Strengthen capacities on improvement of rangelands in face of climate change	\$59,505.00	3/31/2027
Defense of rangelands and vegetation cover regeneration	\$167,184.00	3/31/2027
Creation of income-generating activities	\$482,438.00	3/31/2027
Support to Mothers Advisors carried out	\$31,500.00	3/31/2027
Nutrition education and food security	\$31,500.00	3/31/2027
Institutional' capacities on natural resources information systems and sustainable natural resources management in light of climate change strengthened	\$3,852.00	3/31/2027
Farmers and beneficiaries' capacities to adapt to climate change reinforced	\$114,999.00	3/31/2027
Functional literacy for women developed and access to basic services	\$105,200.00	3/31/2027
M&E, baseline survey, Knowledge generation and disseminated	\$77,210.00	3/31/2027

programme implemented		
IE fee (\$)		\$50,000.00
Execution cost (\$)		\$163,410.00

Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)

Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$)	\$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$)	\$0.00
Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Weak procurement capacity affecting the quality of the procurement processes	Low	The project has recruited an experienced procurement specialist, who has been trained by the Risk Management Operations Unit. In addition, the thresholds for project screening are lower than average.
Lengthy procurement processes that slow down project implementation	Low	The project has strengthened the capacity of the procurement unit and engaged in a dialogue with the Government, in partnership with other technical and financial partners, to identify and eliminate bottlenecks. To this end, the National Procurement Commission meets every Wednesday.
Fiduciary risk	Moderate	The project has an experienced financial management team that has worked on the PROMES-GDT, PRODERMO, PROLUC, PRAREV, and PROGRES projects, and this team also benefits from IFAD's support and will receive training on IFAD's financial management arrangements. The account designated for payment of the initial advance and subsequent replenishments of the account based on eligible expenditures has been opened and established in US dollars by the Ministry of Finance and officially communicated to IFAD. Prior to the first disbursement: (i) the project submitted its Administrative, Accounting, and Financial Procedures Manual to IFAD for non-objection and (ii) the accounting and financial monitoring software was operational. The project regularly submits to IFAD its annual work plan and budget in accordance with IFAD's terms and conditions and has a system for monitoring budget execution by activity, component and category. In accordance with the Project Operations Manual and IFAD procedures, the

		project prepares and submits to IFAD interim financial reports on a quarterly basis no later than 45 days after the end of each quarter, and will submit annually a pre-audit financial report within four months of the closing date, and the auditor's report no later than June 30 of each year.
Fraud prevention	Low	Fraud risks will be addressed in accordance with the provisions of IFAD's Policy on the Prevention of Fraud and Corruption in its Activities and Operations. IFAD has a zero-tolerance policy towards any fraudulent, corrupt, collusive or coercive actions in the projects it manages. The fraud and corruption prevention policy has been integrated into IFAD's legal framework and applies to all recipients of IFAD funding.

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? Yes

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
PROGRES and PGIRE, that both contribute to the implementation of PROGIRES, implement activities of the same nature, employing a participatory community approach. However, in the implementation of PROGIRES, vagueness about the boundaries of specific PROGIRES intervention areas has been observed.	Low	At the launch of the PROGIRES project, the implementing entities convened coordination meetings with PROGRES and PGIRE to clearly delineate intervention areas and prevent any overlap of activities. A comprehensive mapping exercise was conducted to visualize the geographical coverage and operational scope of each program. Regular inter-project coordination mechanisms have been established to ensure the alignment and harmonization of community-based approaches across PROGIRES intervention areas. In addition, the Local Steering Committees (CPLs) are actively engaged in identifying and reporting any potential duplication of interventions, thereby strengthening complementarity and enhancing overall program effectiveness.

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

Yes, risk mitigation measures were implemented during the current reporting period. Building on previous efforts, PROGIRES staff continued to operate in the intervention areas while strengthening the role of the Local Steering Committees (CPLs) to improve coordination with local communities. For example, along the Dorra–Sak'Alol corridor, the CPLs helped clarify intervention areas by identifying sectors where PROGIRES should focus its activities, thereby avoiding overlap with the interventions of PROGRES and PGIRE within the Asasal watershed. This coordination process facilitated a more effective distribution of activities and optimized the use of available resources. Through this participatory approach, ambiguity regarding the geographical boundaries of the projects was significantly reduced. However, regular monitoring remains necessary to adjust interventions in response to the evolving needs of the communities.

ESP Compliance

Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? No

1.Compliance with the law

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

2.Access and equity

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk of insufficient access to the project by some of the population
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project will ensure that its benefits are equitably distributed without discrimination or favouritism. The project will use surveys to target the most vulnerable populations and those most at risk of water scarcity; To ensure equity, each intervention area will have a water and rangeland management plan (SAHP); The project will focus particularly on women and youth to ensure their equitable access to project benefits. Project indicators will be gender-specific. A call for tender will be issued for all water infrastructure projects programmed for phase 1; Increasing organizational capacities, literacy and support will enable women to advocate for equality and equity for sustainable development. Provision of capacity building in entrepreneurship;

List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of female project beneficiaries (target: 15,600 women, out of a total of 31,380 beneficiaries); Number of women and youth whose access to land, forests and surface water for productive purposes has been improved (target: 2,000 femmes and 3,500 youth); % of women with minimum dietary diversity (MDDW) (target: 20%)
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Number of women beneficiaries of the project: Baseline: 0 (target: 15,600 women, out of a total of 31,380 beneficiaries) Number of women and youth whose access to land, forests and water for productive purposes has been improved: Baseline 0 (target: 2,000 women and 3,500 youth); % of women with minimum dietary diversity: Baseline 0 (target: 20%)
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
3.Marginalized and vulnerable Groups	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk of excluding vulnerable groups and poor, marginalized communities – including households headed by women; older persons; or persons with physical and/or mental disabilities.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Targeting of marginalized and vulnerable groups and an integrated gender and social inclusion approach is constantly applied; Implementation of activities to support diversification and boost the income of marginalized and vulnerable groups; Improvement of the food and nutrition security of marginalized and vulnerable groups. Activities to increase income and food security will be concentrated in the poorest areas characterized by inadequate diets, food insecurity and limited access to markets, functional literacy programmes and technical and organizational training that would enable marginalized women and youth to acquire the basic skills and technical know-how to effectively and sustainably manage IGAs. The beneficiaries of the project are all households living in the villages and camps of the 26 selected localities, especially women and youth. The vast majority of women are still subject to gender inequalities. The project will use beneficiary surveys to target the most vulnerable and most affected by water scarcity risks.

	Under Output 2.2 Improved and diversified income sources and access to basic services, the project directly targets women and youth through: 1) Output 2.2.1 Creation of income generating activities ; 2) Output 2.2.2 Support to mother counselors with the provision of educational kits, basic equipment and the organization of awareness sessions for the population; and 3) Output 2.2.3 Nutritional education and food security: organization of nutrition and health awareness sessions, cooking demonstration sessions and provision of cooking demonstration kits.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of income-generating activities created for marginalized and vulnerable groups. Number of female, male and young people benefitting from IGA (Target: 3,000 women, 1,400 men, including 2,400 youth); Number of (Mother Advisors) MAs supported by the project (Target: 50 MAs) ; Number of people who have benefitted from nutritional support and food security ; Type and number of nutritional support and food security activities conducted by the project (Target: 50 awareness sessions on nutrition and health, 40 culinary demonstration sessions and the provision of 40 culinary demonstration kits);
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Number of income-generating activities created for marginalized and vulnerable groups: Baseline: 0 (Target: 30 IGAs) Number of women, men and youth benefitting from the IGA: Baseline: 0 (Target: 3,430 women, 1,470 men and 2,510 youth); Number of Mothers Advisers supported by the project: Baseline 0 (Target: 50 MCs) Number and type of support provided: Baseline 0 (Target: Provision of 50 educational kits, 50 basic equipment and organization of 15 awareness sessions for the population); Number of people who have benefited from nutritional support and food security: Baseline: 0 (Target: 4500 women and 5200 children) Type and number of nutritional support and food security activities conducted by the project: Baseline": 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
4.Human rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	

List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
5. Gender equality and women's empowerment	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Insufficient contribution of projects to women's empowerment; Insufficient consideration of integration in project implementation from a gender-specific perspective.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The targeting of beneficiaries will be carried out according to IFAD's targeting strategy and the project's gender action plan, fostering social inclusion across project activities; Integration of women in all planned activities: water resources; agricultural production and access to social services, with a participation rate of 50% for women and 40% for youth (young women representing 40 % of the latter) in all activities; Women's empowerment through diversification and an increase in their income, as well as capacity building. During the first five years, the project will trigger an annual gender audit to assess the quality of gender mainstreaming.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of women involved in decision-making; Degree of improvement in the food security of women benefitting from the project; Increase in the income of women supported by the project. Agricultural production and access to social services, with a participation rate of 50% for women and 40% for youth (young women representing 40% of the latter) in all activities; Number of women, men and youth benefiting from the IGA (target: 3,000 women); Number of literate women (target 400 women)

State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Women represent 50% of the members of the CPL and 63% on average in the CGEP; Women beneficiaries of IGA activities represent 73% of beneficiaries, 52.4% of whom are young women;
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
6.Core labour rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk of jeopardizing occupational health and safety. Risk related to compliance with domestic labour laws or international commitments;. Given the illiteracy rate of around 30% and lack of job opportunities in rural areas, some workers may accept whatever conditions are proposed without being in a position to refuse contract provisions that violate their rights.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Compliance with the legal framework governing labor in the implementation of project activities; Compliance with the provisions of the law (Labor Code); it is recommended that workers be informed in advance of the safety rules for each type of project, as well as of the medical and financial support to which they are entitled in the event of a work-related accident or illness, using illustrated material. The availability of personal protective equipment (masks, gloves, appropriate footwear) and a safety kit should also be documented (photos provided to the PMU by the supplier may suffice). Activities throughout the project aim to reduce inequalities and raise awareness of gender equality to overcome traditional stereotypes about the role of women in society. Affirmative action for women will be used to provide fair and equal opportunities for women seeking employment as laborers and benefit from the wages earned.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Project compliance with the Djiboutian Labour Code; Proportion of workers with appropriate personal protection equipment who wear it on the job. Number of workplace accidents. Number of awareness-raising activities carried out to reduce gender inequality at the workplace in order to reduce traditional stereotypes; Number of women hired as laborers who benefit from the wages earned;
State the baseline condition for each monitoring	Proportion of workers with appropriate personal

indicator	protection equipment who wear it on the job. No baseline available Number of workplace accidents. No baseline available Number of awareness-raising activities carried out to reduce gender inequality in order to reduce stereotypes; Baseline: 0 Number of women hired as laborers who benefit from the wages earned. Baseline: 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
7.Indigenous people	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
8.Involuntary resettlement	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The project will require moderate changes in land tenure systems and/or community property/customary rights.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented	The project will not engage in resettlement activities. Legal remedies and other forms of arbitration or conflict resolution will be available. PROGRES

during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	beneficiary communities are organized and supported to ensure equitable and consensual resource allocation, which includes the construction of new water points, to optimize the distribution of population and herd pressure on the land. If resettlement or involuntary displacement occurs during project implementation that was not foreseen during the design stage, the project implementing entity and IFAD will ensure that consultations and negotiations are held with the people who may have been affected, consistent with CLPE and harm reduction principles.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of households displaced by project activities
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Number of households displaced by project activities; Baseline: 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
9. Protection of natural habitats	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The project is located near a protected area, and the associated installations could indirectly impact the Day Forest national park (30km², Tadjourah province) near certain rangelands.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Identification of essential national habitats and efforts to ensure that project does not finance any intervention in the targeted areas that encroaches on declared or proposed protected natural habitats or involves the use of natural habitats for other purposes; Production of environmental guidelines and selection criteria that bar interventions near protected areas. Positive impacts on natural habitats are expected under project Component 2 on rangeland protection and vegetation cover regeneration (assisted natural regeneration-ANR), reseeded with 10 tonnes of alfalfa (Medicago sativa), planting of 200 ha of acacia and 300 ha of inert cactus and 120 ha of direct sowing of local species). The project will not support activities that could heighten commercial pressure on forest stands.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Quantity of seed reseeded: (target: 10 tons) ; Number of acacia trees planted (target: 200 ha) Number of inert cacti planted (target: 300 ha) Number of ha of

	direct seeding of local species (target 120ha) Percentage increase in vegetation cover;
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Quantity of seeds reseeded : Baseline: 0 T Number of acacia trees planted : Baseline: 0 Number of inert cacti planted: Baseline: 0 Number of ha of direct seeding of local species; Baseline: 0 Percentage increase in vegetation cover; Baseline: 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
10.Conservation of biological diversity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The project could involve or lead to an increase in the risk of encounters/conflicts between people and wildlife; The project needs natural resources to be supplied through primary suppliers, and the content and enforcement of regulations governing natural resource extraction are very poor;
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Increasing the size and spatial distribution of water points will help relieve the pressure and mitigate the presence of livestock in wildlife habitats. Geolocation and the photographing of potential areas for extracting the materials necessary for each building site, documentation of the estimated amounts and identification of the preferred extraction sites prior to project start-up, based on a risk analysis (especially topographical, based on the situation of the surrounding habitats, in consultation with the local population);
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of biodiversity conservation initiatives implemented by the project in the intervention areas; Increase in wildlife and plant diversity by the project;
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Ha of rangeland rehabilitated including subject to CES work and Assisted Natural Regeneration. Baseline: 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
11.Climate change	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table	No

II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
12.Pollution prevention and resource efficiency	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The project will consume raw materials, energy and/or water, but this will be a small component whose impacts can be properly managed.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project will adopt an integrated water resources management (IWRM) approach from a watershed management perspective. It involves the rehabilitation and construction of a series of hydraulic works with the main objective of preserving and protecting the environment while ensuring that these investments are able to cope with climate change. Priority will be given to the mobilization of surface water. For the sustainability of these hydraulic projects, the Project aims to strengthen the capacities of the Water and Pasture Management Committees (CGEP) for a better management of the facilities through the progressive assumption of operation/maintenance tasks.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Reduction in the intensity of soil contamination and the pollution of aquatic environments; Amount of water saved thanks to the use of water and soil retention techniques; Groundwater levels; Number of CPLs created ; Number of CGEP created;

State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Intensity of soil contamination and the pollution of aquatic environments; Baseline not available Amount of water saved thanks to the use of water and soil retention techniques; Baseline not available Groundwater levels; Number of CPLs created ; Baseline: 0 Number of CGEP created; Baseline: 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
13.Public health	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The project is located in a sector, area, or value chain where workers are occasionally exposed to serious OHS risks and regulations are known to be weak or non-existent. The project is located in an area where there is past evidence of negative impacts of water- or vector-borne or transmissible or non-transmissible diseases, but where the regulation or containment of these impacts has proven effective; The operational occupational health and safety risks identified consist of heat exposure and dehydration; eye and respiratory tract exposure to dust and sandstorms; the potential instability of excavated works (cisterns, excavation retaining walls); the use of hazardous tools for which training is required; carrying heavy loads; falling objects (e.g. gabions); the use of toxic, powdery, and/or corrosive skin irritants (especially cement); accidents associated with darkness in cases of night work due to heat; etc.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	To detect and prevent water-borne diseases (coliform, protozoan and amoebic diseases, cholera, etc.), it will be necessary to regularly take and test a sample of the water for human consumption (at least once per rainy season) to determine its quality (physical-chemical and microbial) in the works financed by PROGRES; The project should have a generally beneficial public health impact by: • improving natural environments to make them healthier and more resilient; • increasing access to water through the construction of hydraulic works; • reducing unemployment and developing sustainable community-managed income-generating activities; • improving food and nutrition security; The project will reduce the risks associated with COVID-19 by adhering to WHO international infection prevention

	standards and raising awareness in all training and capacity building activities.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Effectiveness of the epidemiological surveillance system; Number of training sessions on public health in the project areas (Target: 12); Reduction in the number of cases of diseases linked with water (malaria, diarrhoea, schistosomiasis, COVID-19 etc.)
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Number of training sessions on public health in the project areas: Baseline: 0 (Target: 12); Reduction in the number of cases of diseases linked with water (malaria, diarrhoea, schistosomiasis, COVID-19 etc.) Baseline not available
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

14. Physical and cultural heritage

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Material extraction can accelerate erosion, degrade the land or disturb the vestiges of burial mounds. Works such as weirs can locally alter watercourses.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Raw material extraction sites for the works will not degrade awellos due to the geolocation of sites to detect the potential presence of these funerary structures near the extraction sites proposed by project managers.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of cultural artifacts discovered and reported during project implementation.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Number of cultural artifacts discovered and reported during project implementation; Baseline not available
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

15. Lands and soil conservation

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes

List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Degradation of land and soil quality
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Production of geological and hydrological studies to design climate-resilient development areas that preserve water and soil resources and boost land productivity; For the protection of pastoral rangeland and the regeneration of vegetation cover, reseeded with 10 tonnes of Madicago sativa seed and the planting of 200 ha of acacia, 300 ha of inert cactus and 120 ha of local species; Use of water and soil conservation techniques Organization of training sessions on the improvement and management of grazing lands.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	ha of land improved through water and soil conservation techniques; Pastoral rangeland regeneration rate; Number of producers that have adopted improved farming practices; Proportion of farmers using organic fertilizer; Quantity of inputs used (pesticides, herbicides, fertilizer);
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	ha of land improved through water and soil conservation techniques; Baseline: 0 Pastoral rangeland regeneration rate; Baseline not available Number of producers that have adopted improved farming practices; Baseline not available Proportion of farmers using organic fertilizer; Baseline not available Quantity of inputs used (pesticides, herbicides, fertilizer); Baseline not available
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required

Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out?	Yes
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	No
If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	

Section 3: Categorisation

Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant?	Yes
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	

Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	During its supervision missions, IFAD deploys an environment and climate and a social inclusion expert to ensure continuous application of safeguards measures. IFAD has also invited and sponsored project staff from PROGIRES to attend a 3-day workshop in Cairo to enhance application of safeguard measures in procurement.
Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	The implementation arrangements have increased the knowledge of PROGIRES staff with regards to environmental and safeguards, while assessing their level of implementation at activity level. With the progression of activities, IFAD will further monitor the implementation of safeguard measures. For the implementation of ESP safeguard measures, an environmental and social safeguards officer was appointed. 3 staff members have received training on integrating social and environmental safeguards in public markets. The ESMP has been updated by an external consultant. Yes. The project has effective tools to ensure the application of the ESMP. To date, no environmental accident or incident involving workers and/or beneficiary populations has been reported. On the basis of the updated matrix, the project team is developing evaluation sheets for each activity and monitoring sheets for the application of safeguard measures. For constructions, the status of the plots where the works are implemented is systematically monitored. These monitoring forms indicate the type of land ownership, the methods of mobilizing the land and the agreement of the beneficiary communities. In terms of social protection, in accordance with the ESMP, the project's activities have not triggered any social safeguards policy. In parallel, social inclusion activities have been successfully implemented. They include the strengthening of CPLs, CGEPs and associations in the intervention areas. In accordance with the ESMP, the companies are implementing the site E&S management plan integrated into the tendering and the contract. Implementation is monitored by the supervisory office and the project facilitators.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	Yes

Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.

Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	Yes
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)?	Yes

Please provide details.	
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled?	Yes
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	No

Identified USPs in the reporting period	Application of ESMP to the USP	ESP risks identified for the USP	Has an impact assessment been carried out?	Consultation held for risks and impacts identification for USP	Gender disaggregation to identify risks and impacts	Safeguard measures identified for the USP	Monitoring indicator(s) for each impact
---	--------------------------------	----------------------------------	--	--	---	---	---

Section 6: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	No
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process	Provide the status/outcome
--	--	----------------------------

Comments

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

Gender-responsive element	Level	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Rated result for the reporting period
Services promoted and supported by the project	Outcome	Number of people benefitting from services promoted or	0	15600	Good

		supported by the project			
Access to land, forests and water for productive purposes	Outcome	Households reporting improved access to land, forests, water or water surfaces for productive purposes	0	2000	Good
Minimum dietary diversity (MDDW)	Outcome	Percentage of women reporting minimum dietary diversity (MDDW)	0	20%	Good
Strengthening of capacities for rangeland improvement in the face of climate change	Output	Number of people benefiting from awareness sessions	0	1500	Satisfactory
Creation of income-generating activities	Output	Persons trained in income-generating activities or business management	0	3000	Good
Mothers Advisors trained for the local supervision of women and children in matters of reproductive health, appropriate nutrition practices, hygiene, and transmissible diseases.	Output	Number of women	0	7000	Good
Health, hygiene and nutrition	Output	Number of women benefitting from awareness campaigns on health, hygiene and nutrition	0	1100	Good
Awareness-raising about nutrition and food security	Output	Number of women benefitting from awareness campaigns on	0	2000	Satisfactory

		nutrition and food security			
Culinary demonstrations for agricultural product processing and storage	Output	Numer of women benefitting from culinary demonstrations for agricultural product processing and storage	0	250	Good
Nutrition improvement	Output	Number of women receiving targeted support to improve nutrition	0	1700	Good
Functional literacy training	Output	Number of women benefitting from functional literacy training to appropriate the project's technical advice	0	400	Poor

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
Women, particularly in rural areas, had limited access to the resources and benefits of land and water resource management projects. They were often less involved in managing soil regeneration infrastructure, despite their central role in agriculture and livestock management.	Good	Appropriate measures were undertaken to promote women's participation in training activities and natural resource management processes. Additional efforts were made to strengthen their engagement in land development and assisted natural regeneration interventions, reflecting satisfactory integration of gender considerations into project implementation.
Women often faced difficulties in accessing the financing or economic opportunities created by the project, due to socio-cultural and economic constraints.	Satisfactory	Women received training to improve their access to financing and economic opportunities under the project, with particular emphasis on land and water resource management. Dedicated financing mechanisms were established to provide women with more direct access to the economic benefits generated by the project. Progress has been achieved; however, the implementation of financial mechanisms targeting women should be further strengthened to ensure more equitable access.
Women were initially underrepresented in decision-making	Good	A greater representation of women in management committees was observed, although achieving gender

structures related to natural resource and infrastructure management, which limited their influence over decisions that directly affected their lives.		parity remains an outstanding objective. Measures were taken to increase women's participation in management committees and decision-making processes related to infrastructure investments, including targeted training on land rights and active participation, reflecting continued progress toward more inclusive governance arrangements.
--	--	--

Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	During the reporting period, the Implementing Entity continued to operationalize the Gender Action Plan by allocating adequate resources and integrating gender considerations across project activities. Measures were taken to promote women's participation in decision-making bodies and project-supported committees, while gender-responsive provisions were incorporated into staffing, partnerships, and implementation arrangements to support women's empowerment and ensure compliance with IFAD's gender requirements.
Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	During the reporting period, each Executing Entity contributed to the implementation of the Gender Action Plan through the allocation of adequate human and financial resources and the integration of gender-responsive approaches across project activities. Gender considerations were mainstreamed in interventions related to water resource management, rural livelihoods, and support to vulnerable communities. Targeted efforts were undertaken to promote the participation and representation of women and youth in local governance and decision-making structures, thereby strengthening women's empowerment and ensuring that gender equality objectives remain central to project implementation.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	No

Section 4: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding	For each grievance, provide information on the	Provide the status/outcome
--	--	----------------------------

gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	grievance redress process used	
---	---------------------------------------	--

Comments

Implementation performance during the reporting period is assessed as good, with evidence of sustained progress toward the achievement of project outcomes. The project continues to generate tangible benefits for target populations, particularly in improving access to productive resources, strengthening household resilience, enhancing nutrition outcomes, and expanding access to essential health and nutrition information. Several indicators have already met or exceeded their end targets, notably those related to dietary diversity, income improvement, reduction in water collection time, and community-based nutrition outreach. The strong performance of the Mother Counselor approach and targeted nutrition support interventions demonstrates the effectiveness of community-based delivery mechanisms in reaching vulnerable populations and promoting behavioural change. The high level of achievement recorded across most indicators suggests that the project is well positioned to attain its intended outcomes and contribute meaningfully to improved livelihoods and resilience in the intervention areas. However, progress remains uneven across some activities. In particular, awareness-raising on nutrition and food security has advanced at a slower pace relative to other interventions and may require enhanced implementation efforts to ensure achievement of end-of-project targets. Continued attention should also be given to sustaining outreach among men and youth in areas where participation remains comparatively lower. Overall, the results achieved to date indicate that PROGIRES is delivering effectively and is on track to meet the majority of its development objectives, with implementation performance during the reporting period assessed as Good.

Rating

Implementing Entity					
Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Comments	Rating
Outcome 1.1: Climate-resilient hydraulic infrastructure built and the capacity to mobilize and store surface water increased for groundwater recharge and agro-pastoral production.	Outcome 4	Feasibility studies carried out; Beneficiaries need identified through SAHP"	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 2.1: Integrated management of agro-pastoral systems adapted to climate change	Outcome 5	The project implemented 10 awareness-raising sessions on natural resource management and 10 sessions on assisted natural regeneration (ANR), complemented by field restoration activities. These interventions contributed to the protection and rehabilitation of 100 ha of degraded land through soil and water conservation measures, the establishment of 60 ha with indigenous species, the restoration of 100 ha through sustainable land management	Ontrack		Satisfactory

		practices and ANR, and the consolidation of an additional 150 ha through planting activities, including 75 ha established with Medicago in low-rainfall areas. These efforts have strengthened ecosystem resilience and promoted the sustainable management of natural resources within the intervention areas.			
Outcome 2.2 Improvement and diversification of income sources and access to basic services	Outcome 6	"Support for the creation of 30 IGAs to benefit 2,250 women and 1,350 men, 1,800 of whom are young people ; 25 MAs instruct 2,250 women and 2,600 children in reproductive health, appropriate nutrition and hygiene practices, and transmissible diseases; Support for the improvement of food and nutrition security to benefit 1,250 women;"	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 3.1: Capacity building	Outcome 3	"PROGRES baseline survey; Knowledge generation and dissemination of acquired knowledge;"	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 3.2: Knowledge is generated and disseminated	Outcome 2	n/a	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
Ibrahim Houmed	ibrahimhoumed@yahoo.fr

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project is broadly on track and has made notable progress in strengthening climate resilience and sustainable natural resource management. The most significant achievements have been recorded under Outcome 2.1, where land restoration, assisted natural regeneration, and sustainable land management interventions have contributed to improved ecosystem resilience and adaptation capacity. Progress under Outcomes 1.1, 2.2, 3.1, and 3.2 has been satisfactory but remains largely focused on preparatory and enabling activities, including feasibility studies, beneficiary identification, support to livelihoods, baseline assessments, and knowledge management. While these foundations are important, further efforts are needed to translate them into measurable development outcomes. Going forward, the project should accelerate the implementation of infrastructure and livelihood interventions, strengthen knowledge generation and dissemination, and continue promoting the participation of women and youth. Overall, performance is satisfactory, with strong results in natural resource management and the need for increased implementation momentum in other areas to ensure timely achievement of project targets.

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating	
Outcome 1.1: Climate-resilient hydraulic infrastructure built and the capacity to mobilize and store surface water increased for groundwater recharge and agro-pastoral production.	Outcome 4	Monitoring of completed infrastructure works was carried out, including the protection of underground cisterns through gabion structures against flood damage, the revegetation of spreading weirs, and the rehabilitation of the Karta weirs. These interventions contribute to enhancing the durability of water infrastructure, reducing climate-related risks, and strengthening the sustainable management of water resources within the project intervention areas.	Ontrack		Satisfactory
Outcome 2.1: Integrated management of agro-pastoral systems adapted to climate change	Outcome 5	Continued awareness-raising activities were conducted among communities in the project intervention areas on sustainable natural resource management and assisted natural regeneration (ANR). Regular monitoring was also carried out on protected regeneration sites and ANR areas to assess progress and support the sustainability of restoration efforts.	Ontrack		Highly Satisfactory
Outcome 2.2 Improvement and diversification of income sources and access to basic services	Outcome 6	Monitoring and support were provided to beneficiaries of 44 income-generating activities (IGAs). Awareness-raising activities on good nutrition, maternal health, and hygiene practices were continued.	Ontrack		Highly Satisfactory
Outcome 3.1: Capacity building	Outcome 3	Under Output 3.2.1, three regional workshops were held during the reporting period to facilitate the sharing of experiences and project results. In addition, the PROGIRES Mid-Term Review was conducted.	Ontrack		Satisfactory
Outcome 3.2: Knowledge is generated and disseminated	Outcome 2	n/a	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory
Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section					
Name	Email	Institution			
Ibrahim Oumed	ibrahimhoumed@yahoo.fr	Project Coordinator			

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall implementation progress is assessed as Satisfactory, with strong performance recorded under climate-resilient natural resource management and livelihood improvement interventions. The project has successfully continued ecosystem restoration, assisted natural regeneration, and community-based awareness activities, contributing to enhanced resilience of agro-pastoral systems and improved adaptive capacities of target communities. Support to income-generating activities and nutrition-related interventions has also generated encouraging results, demonstrating tangible benefits for beneficiaries and strengthening local livelihoods. Progress under water infrastructure activities remains on track through the monitoring, protection, and maintenance of completed investments, thereby safeguarding adaptation benefits and ensuring sustainability. Capacity-building and learning activities have also advanced through regional knowledge-sharing workshops and the completion of the Mid-Term Review, which have informed adaptive project management. While implementation remains broadly on track, further efforts are needed to strengthen knowledge management, including the documentation, dissemination, and scaling-up of good practices and lessons learned. Continued attention to sustainability, outcome monitoring, and knowledge capitalization will be important to maximize the project's adaptation impacts and long-term development benefits.

Other

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating	
Outcome 1.1: Climate-resilient hydraulic infrastructure built and the capacity to mobilize and store surface water increased for groundwater recharge and agro-pastoral production	Outcome 4	Continued participatory identification of beneficiaries and priorities at the community level.	Ontrack		Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 2.1: Integrated management of agro-pastoral systems adapted to climate change	Outcome 5	Rangeland restoration activities were carried out. Community awareness-raising activities were conducted on sustainable natural resource management and assisted natural regeneration (ANR).	Ontrack		Satisfactory
Outcome 2.2 Improvement and diversification of income sources and access to basic services	Outcome 6	A partnership agreement was established with the ADDS for the identification of income-generating activities (IGAs).	Ontrack		Satisfactory
"Outcome 3.1: Capacity building Outcome 3.2 Knowledge is generated and disseminated"	Outcome 2	Completion of the baseline study. Generation and dissemination of knowledge products.	Ontrack		Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps. Overall project performance is assessed as Satisfactory, reflecting steady progress in establishing the foundations required to achieve the intended adaptation outcomes. Implementation during the reporting period focused on advancing preparatory activities, strengthening community engagement, restoring natural resources, and establishing systems for knowledge generation and learning. Positive progress has been recorded under Outcome 2.1, where rangeland restoration activities were initiated and awareness-raising on sustainable natural resource management and assisted natural regeneration (ANR) was conducted. These interventions are contributing to improved ecosystem resilience and supporting climate-adaptive agro-pastoral systems. Under Outcome 2.2, institutional arrangements were established to support the identification and development of income-generating activities, laying the groundwork for future livelihood diversification and enhanced resilience of vulnerable households. Progress was also achieved under Outcomes 3.1 and 3.2 through the completion and validation of the baseline study, the establishment of a monitoring and evaluation framework, and the initiation of knowledge generation and dissemination activities. The completion of the Mid-Term Review further strengthened adaptive management and informed implementation planning. Progress under Outcome 1.1 remains at the preparatory stage. Participatory identification of priority sites and beneficiaries has continued, and technical studies have been initiated to support future climate-resilient water infrastructure investments. While these activities are on track, adaptation benefits from infrastructure investments have yet to materialize. Overall trends are positive, with increasing institutional readiness, strengthened community participation, and progress in ecosystem restoration and knowledge management. However, outcome-level achievements remain constrained by the early implementation stage of major infrastructure and livelihood investments. No major risks have significantly affected implementation during the reporting period. Nonetheless, delays in technical studies, procurement processes, and infrastructure preparation could affect the pace of delivery if not closely monitored. In response to the Mid-Term Review, the project has strengthened its monitoring and evaluation systems, reinforced knowledge management processes, and continued documenting lessons learned and good practices to support adaptive implementation. As no outcome is currently rated Highly Unsatisfactory, Unsatisfactory, or Moderately Unsatisfactory, no specific corrective action plan is required. Going forward, priority should be given to accelerating infrastructure investments, scaling up livelihood interventions, and strengthening the dissemination and uptake of knowledge products to maximize adaptation impacts and ensure timely achievement of project objectives.	

Overall Rating
Overall rating Satisfactory Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps. Overall project performance is rated Satisfactory. Since inception, the project has made steady progress in establishing the foundations for achieving its adaptation objectives, including the initiation of rangeland restoration activities, community awareness on sustainable natural resource management and assisted natural regeneration, the establishment of partnerships to support livelihood diversification, and the completion of the baseline study and monitoring framework. Progress under climate-resilient water infrastructure remains on track but is still at the preparatory stage, with participatory site identification and technical studies underway. As a result, adaptation benefits from infrastructure investments have not yet materialized. Similarly, livelihood interventions have advanced through planning and capacity-building activities, but measurable economic outcomes are yet to be realized. No major risks have significantly affected implementation to date. Going forward, efforts should focus on accelerating infrastructure and livelihood investments, while strengthening knowledge management and the dissemination of lessons

learned to maximize adaptation impacts and ensure timely achievement of project objectives.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc...)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Objectives	Number of people benefiting from services promoted or supported by the project	0	Women 12 402 Men 11 362 Youth 11 000 Persons other than youth 12 764 Total no. of people receiving services 23 764 Total no. of indirect beneficiaries 45 150	Women 15 600 Men 15 780 Youth 12 500 Persons other than youth 18 880 Total no. of people receiving services 31 380 Total no. of indirect beneficiaries 60 000
Objectives	Households reporting an increase in average income	0	35%	10%
Objectives	Households reporting improved access to land, forests, water or water surfaces for productive purposes	0	Men 3 150 Women 1 490 Youth 2 520	Men 5 000 Women 2 000 Youth 3 500
Objectives	Households reporting a significant decrease in time spent collecting water	0	45%	40%
Objectives	Women reporting minimal dietary diversity (MDDW)	0	40%	20%
Outputs	Number of studies produced	0	0	6
Outputs	Hydraulic infrastructures for the capture and storage of water	No. of recharge check dams realized 0 Number of water retention dykes realized 0 No. of sub-surface dams built 0 No. of households cisterns built 0 No. of floodwater spate irrigation structures built 0 No. of shallow wells built 0 No. of excavation	3	No. of recharge check dams realized 4 Number of water retention dykes realized 2 No. of sub-surface dams built 1 No. of households cisterns built 12 No. of floodwater spate irrigation structures built 1 No. of shallow wells built 4 No. of excavation

		retentions 0 No. of water systems supply renovated 0		retentions 2 No. of water systems supply renovated 2
Outputs	Number of solar equipments installed for shallow wells or dykes	0	4	8
Outputs	Number of experts and technicians hired	0	1 GR- DHR engineer	13
Outputs	Number of awareness raising sessions organized on sustainable natural resources management	0	13	15
Outputs	Number of awareness raising sessions organized on natural assisted regeneration	0	21	15
Outputs	Number of study tours for exchange of experience	0	1	1
Outputs	Number of persons benefiting from awareness raising sessions	0	Women 1 627 Men 1 198 Youth 1 490	Women 1 500 Men 1 500 Young 1 500
Outputs	Number of ha seeded with medicago sativa	0	0	250
Outputs	Number of ha planted with acacia trees	0	250	200
Outputs	Number of ha planted with inermis cactus	0	0	300
Outputs	Number of ha under ANR	0	165	200
Outputs	Number of ha with a direct sowing of local species	0	20	120
Outputs	Number of ha benefitting from anti-erosion and conservation works	0	80	200
Outputs	Number of rural enterprises	0	44	40
Outputs	Persons trained in income-generation activities or in business management	0	Women 2 928 Men 2 172 Youth 2 310	Women 3000 Men 1800 Youth 2400
Outputs	Women reached	0	6489	7000

Outputs	Number of teaching kits provided to Mother Advisers	0	50	50
Outputs	Number of awareness raising sessions for the population organized	0	86	30
Outputs	Number of awareness sessions on nutrition and health organized	0	73	50
Outputs	Number of culinary demonstration sessions organized	0	26	40
Outputs	Number of Cooking Demonstration Kits provided	0	16	40
Outputs	Health Awareness Campaigns - Hygiene and Nutrition	0	Women 1 020 Men 204 Youth 680	Women 1 100 Men 400 Youth 1 000
Outputs	Raising awareness on nutrition and food security	0	Women 860 Men 157 Youth 486	Women 2000 Men 500 Youth 1200
Outputs	Culinary demonstrations for agricultural product processing and storage	0	Women 250 Men 50 Youth 200	Women 250 Men 50 Youth 200
Outputs	Households receiving targeted support to improve nutrition	0	Households 426 Men 454 Women 1 489 Youth 856	Households 450 Men 1000 Women 1700 Youth 1080
Outputs	Number of training sessions for project's team and facilitators on natural resources information systems	0	1	2
Outputs	Number of training weeks for personnel from the Directorate of Agriculture and Forestry on cultivation techniques, irrigation, post-harvest, marketing, IGAs	0	0	2
Outputs	Number of training sessions	0	3	8
Outputs	Number of farmers and beneficiaries trained in climate	0	Women 37 Men 62 Youth 53	Women 100 Men 100 Youth 100

	change adaptation			
Outputs	Number of training session for women facilitators	0	0	1
Outputs	Number of literacy training months for 25 groups of 20 women and men	0	0	18
Outputs	Functional literacy of beneficiaries supported to appropriate the project's technical advice	0	0	Women 400 Men 100 Youth 200
Outputs	Knowledge products produced and disseminated	0	Baseline survey completed. Regional stakeholder workshops conducted to share project results and discuss sustainability.	knowledge products produced and disseminated : 0 Baseline survey carried out ; Success stories collected ; knowledge produced synthesized into knowledge products ; video clips, radio programmes, posters, leaflets produced and distributed ;

Comments

Project performance is progressing well, with most outcome indicators on track or exceeding their end-of-project targets. To date, the project has reached 23,764 direct beneficiaries, representing approximately 76% of the target, and has generated positive results in climate resilience, livelihoods, food and nutrition security, and sustainable natural resource management. Particularly strong progress has been achieved in income improvement, access to productive resources, reduction in water collection time, dietary diversity, ecosystem restoration, assisted natural regeneration, and community-based nutrition interventions. Several output targets, including rural enterprises supported, women reached through awareness activities, and culinary demonstrations, have already been achieved or exceeded. Progress in climate-resilient infrastructure development remains slower, as implementation has largely focused on preparatory studies and site identification. Some capacity-building and knowledge management activities also require further acceleration to achieve end targets. Overall, the project is demonstrating strong progress toward its intended adaptation outcomes and is well positioned to achieve its development objectives, provided that infrastructure investments and remaining capacity-building activities are implemented in a timely manner during the remaining project period.

Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management

Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)	Challenges & Opportunities	Co-management arrangements were established between the CGEPs, Regional Councils, and the Rural Hydraulics
--	----------------------------	--

		Directorate (DHR) for the management of water infrastructure whose maintenance requirements exceed the capacities of rural communities, particularly 2,000 m³; excavation reservoirs and water retention structures. The responsibility of beneficiaries, organized into CGEPs, for the management and maintenance of hydraulic infrastructure was formalized through the issuance of legal association registration certificates to the CGEPs. Hydraulic and Pastoral Development Plans (SAHPs) were developed through participatory processes, ensuring the active involvement of households in planning and decision-making.
Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts?	Challenges & Opportunities	Vegetation restoration techniques and area enclosure practices have demonstrated promising results in ecosystem rehabilitation, contributing to improved rangeland productivity and strengthening the resilience of pastoral systems to climatic and socio-economic challenges.
How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.	Challenges & Opportunities	Women's participation was particularly notable within the Local Steering Committees (CPLs), representing a significant step forward in the inclusion of traditionally marginalized groups. The project made women's capacity development a strategic priority, notably through the Mother Advisors initiative, under which women were trained and supported to promote improved nutrition and health practices within their communities. Specific Income-Generating Activities (IGAs) were developed for women, particularly in basket weaving, livestock production, petty trade, food services, and milk marketing. As a result of the

		Mother Advisors initiative, households improved their dietary diversity, and women in the intervention areas now achieve the recommended minimum dietary diversity standard. The IGAs contributed to annual increases in household income among beneficiary families, thereby strengthening women's economic empowerment and financial autonomy. The integration of women into community management structures (CPLs) enhanced the consideration of their specific needs in the management of natural resources. 1. Mother Advisors as a driver of community development Measure: Training and deployment of Mother Advisors to raise awareness and build women's capacities. Indicator: Improved household dietary diversity and achievement of the recommended minimum dietary diversity among women. Lesson learned: Investing in women as community change agents generates significant improvements in nutrition and health practices. 2. Economic empowerment through Income-Generating Activities Measure: Development of IGAs for women in basket weaving, livestock production, petty trade, food services, and milk marketing. Indicator: Annual increase in income among beneficiary households. Lesson learned: IGAs tailored to women's skills and local socio-economic contexts constitute an effective mechanism for strengthening their economic empowerment. 3. Participation in natural resource governance Measure: Integration of women into the CPLs. Indicator: Significant participation of women within community
--	--	--

		resource management structures. Lesson learned: Women's inclusion in decision-making bodies responsible for natural resource management promotes a more equitable consideration of the needs and priorities of the entire community.
Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?	Challenges & Opportunities	While no major implementation delays affected the achievement of project objectives, progress under the climate-resilient water infrastructure component was slower than anticipated due to the time required for participatory planning, technical studies, site selection, and procurement processes. To address this, the project strengthened coordination, accelerated preparatory activities, and incorporated Mid-Term Review recommendations. As a result, key preparatory activities have largely been completed, and the project remains on track to deliver the planned investments and adaptation outcomes.
What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress?	Challenges & Opportunities	The participatory and community-driven approach proved highly successful, fostering strong ownership among beneficiary communities and enhancing the long-term sustainability of project interventions. The active engagement of PROGRES beneficiary communities was a key factor in achieving project results, particularly through awareness-raising and consultation meetings conducted across the intervention areas. The effectiveness of community management structures (CPLs and CGEPs) was strengthened through regular training and technical support, which improved their capacity to plan and manage water resources and rangelands. The integration of traditional resource

		management practices enabled interventions to be aligned with customary systems and local realities. Area enclosure practices proved to be a cost-effective and sustainable intervention, transforming land management while generating tangible benefits for communities. Vegetation restoration techniques, including direct seeding and Farmer-Managed Natural Regeneration (FMNR), contributed to the rehabilitation and expansion of grazing areas while supporting the conservation of natural ecosystems. Furthermore, synergies with PROGRES and PGIRE maximized the effectiveness and overall impact of PROGRES interventions.
--	--	---

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

Climate Resilience Measures	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness grants)	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?	
How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to	

climate change?	
Concrete Adaptation Interventions	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?	
What is the potential for the concrete adaptation interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Knowledge Management	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	
Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what channels of dissemination have been used?	
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever possible (e.g. project videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, training manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	
Innovation	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	
Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other climate finance? Or has the project build upon any other climate finance initiative?	
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report? Not Applicable

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries

		Total	% of female beneficiaries	% of Youth beneficiaries
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)			
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)			
Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)			
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)			

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

	Number of targeted stakeholders - Total	Number of targeted stakeholders - % of female targeted	Hazards information generated and disseminated	Overall effectiveness
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

	No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments	Sector	Scale	Status
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	No. of adopted Early Warning Systems	Category targeted	Hazard	Geographical coverage	Number of municipalities
Baseline information					
Target performance at completion					
Performance at mid-term					
Performance at completion					

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased

	Number of staff targeted - Total	Number of staff targeted - % of female targeted	Sector	Capacity level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	Total staff trained	% of female staff trained	Type
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	Type	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

	Number of beneficiaries	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes

Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses

	Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures	Sector
Baseline information		
Target performance at completion		
Performance at mid-term		
Performance at completion		

Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 3.2: Strengthened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committees/associations	Level of awareness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			

Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets

Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress

Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress

	Natural resource	Sector	Type
--	------------------	--------	------

	improvement level		
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilitated	Unit	Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas

Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	Improvement level
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate

change impacts, including variability

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures**Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy**

	Integration level
Baseline information	
Target performance at completion	
Performance at mid-term	
Performance at completion	

Output 7: Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced

	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies**Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level**

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated

	No. of key findings generated	Type	Effectiveness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

--