

Project Performance Report

Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	7/4/2025 - 7/3/2026
Project Title	Regional Resilient Pastoral Communities Project - ADAPT
Project Summary	
Database Number	AF00000226
Implementing Entity (IE)	International Fund Agricultural Dev
Type of IE	Multilateral Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Kyrgyz Republic
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	Nationwide
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	Khafiz Atymtay, IFAD

Project Milestones	
AFB Approval Date	4/8/2022
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	11/7/2022
Start of Project/Programme	7/3/2024
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	
Original Completion Date	7/4/2029
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them	
Category of condition	
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each

IFAD submitted a NOTIFICATION OF DELAY OF PROJECT OR PROGRAMME INCEPTION as it was approved in 4 August 2022 and actually launched the Project on 4 July 2024.

List the Website address (URL) of project

<https://www.facebook.com/apiu2019>

Project Contacts

National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	Name	Email	Date
Implementing Entity	Laura Mattioli	l.mattioli@ifad.org	7/3/2025
Implementing Entity	Chakib Nemmaoui	c.nemmaoui@ifad.org	7/3/2025
Implementing Entity	Khafiz Atymtay	k.atymtay@ifad.org	7/3/2025
Implementing Entity	Carlo Spinello	c.spinello@ifad.org	7/3/2025
Implementing Entity	Nazih Azaiez	n.azaiez@ifad.org	7/3/2025
National Project Manager	Tamchybek Tuleev	t.tuleev@apiu.kg	7/3/2025
Coordinator	Mirlan Aitkaziev	m.aitkaziev@apiu.kg	7/3/2025
Executing Agency	Damira Isakulova	d.isakulova@apiu.kg	7/3/2025
Executing Agency	Samara Zhusupbekova	s.zhusupbekova@apiu.kg	7/3/2025

Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$)	\$2,608,311.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$)	\$1,810,991.00
Project disbursement rate (%)	7.02
Project execution rate (%)	19.65
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	Although the actual expenditure is not high, the planned expenditures tab clearly shows that there a sizeable amount is to be spent by January 2027. The amount indicates how much funds have been already committed (contracts signed). Therefore, release of the next tranche is critical for this project. The whole amount will be already sitting in the actual expenditures in the next PPR.
Investment Income (\$)	\$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$)	\$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	Amount (\$)
Output 1.1.1 A BALI training of trainers programme is designed and implemented to build the capacity of service providers.	\$41,116.38
Output 1.1.2 Gender mainstreamed into RRPCP-Adapt	\$0.00
Output 1.2.1 Water vulnerability assessment conducted	\$8,773.02
Output 1.2.2 RRPCP-Adapt lessons learned and disseminated	\$31,264.32
Output 2.1.1 Two tree nurseries designed and implemented.	\$149,148.08
Output 2.2.1 Pasture management plans designed and implemented	\$29,587.60
Output 2.2.2 Afforestation, reforestation and enrichment plans designed and implemented	\$55,838.57
Output 3.1.1 BALI programme implemented	\$282,917.06
IE fee (\$)	\$111,978.26
Execution cost (\$)	\$232,722.12

Planned Expenditure Schedule

Output	Projected Cost (\$)	Estimated Completion Date
Output 1.1.1 A BALI training of trainers programme is designed and implemented to build the capacity of service providers.	\$0.00	7/1/2027
Output 1.1.2 Gender mainstreamed into RRPCP-Adapt	\$0.00	7/1/2027
Output 1.2.1 Water vulnerability assessment conducted	\$0.00	7/1/2027
Output 1.2.2 RRPCP-Adapt lessons learned and disseminated	\$31,400.00	7/1/2027
Output 2.1.1 Two tree nurseries designed and implemented.	\$212,500.00	7/1/2027
Output 2.2.1 Pasture management plans designed and implemented	\$29,600.00	7/1/2027
Output 2.2.2 Afforestation, reforestation and enrichment plans designed and implemented	\$1,610,000.00	7/1/2027
Output 3.1.1 BALI programme implemented	\$657,400.00	7/1/2027
IE fee (\$)		\$156,671.00
Execution cost (\$)		\$243,751.00

Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)

Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$)	\$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$)	\$0.00
Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
COVID -19: The global pandemic that has spread globally has had a heavy impact on people's health and on their ability to congregate, work together, and run businesses.	Not Applicable	COVID-19 is over
Corruption and lack of transparency: Corruption and lack of transparency is prevalent in business and everyday life, weak professional knowledge and skills among the government administrators are some of the contributing factors, including the subjects of business development and	High	The risk of corruption and lack of transparency will be mitigated by substantially raising awareness among the government officials and other stakeholders on these issues. - IFAD has established longstanding financial safeguard mechanisms and a zero-corruption tolerance that mitigates this risk. IFAD's Policy on Preventing

social inclusion.		Fraud and Corruption has been integrated into IFAD's legal framework (Project Procurement Guidelines, General Conditions for Agricultural Development financing, IFAD's Code of Conduct)
Continuous challenge to reforms by vested powers. This risk involves conflict of interest where for example parliamentarians will be hesitant to pass laws aimed at reducing overgrazing in pastures. Resistance to reforms may pose a challenge to the implementation of the project.	Moderate	This is a general risk beyond the control of the project. IFAD however does have a good record of policy action aimed at better governance and more sustainable use of pastoral land. An example is the Pasture law of 2009 to which IFAD contributed to together with the World Bank. This law assigned control and management of pastures to the Pasture User Unions. - The project will continue to further the interests of the climate-vulnerable rural poor inter alia by ensuring long-term land leases hereby helping secure the interests of the most vulnerable; empowering the vulnerable women men and youth develop small businesses.
Poor governance and weak public institutions One of the major factors of the pastoral sector's poor performance in Kyrgyzstan is weak institutions at the national level responsible for ensuring policy and the regulatory framework, producing and transferring required knowledge, and providing technical support to pasture users. In addition, the lack of institutions at the local level to mobilize farmers to jointly govern communal resources and protect their rights leads to low productivity of the sector, and high incidence of poverty in mountainous livestock communities.	Moderate	The project incorporates various measures to assure good governance as indicated by overall operational accountability and transparency; financial management; procurement of goods and services; environmental governance; gender equality and mechanisms for complaints and remedies. The risk posed by poor governance will be mitigated to some extent by IFAD's anticorruption and good governance framework that will be applicable to the project. IFAD will deliver a training session to project staff on this topic during project start-up. The RRPCP-Adapt will actively engage in strengthening local organisations / committees through capacity building.
Political instability: If political instability with respect to ethnic minorities returns, any gain on social inclusion under the project may be reversed.	Low	While social inclusion can contribute to political stability, the chances of backlash cannot be ruled out. This is a country risk that is beyond the scope of the project.
Institutional capacity for implementation and sustainability: The government is facing internal challenges in developing the market economy and social inclusion. The gaps in these fields have been partly filled by national NGOs.	Moderate	In order to mitigate this country risk, involvement of regional facilitators in rural women/youth empowerment, inclusive education and will be necessary. The project recruited regional facilitators in May 2025. They serve as the main bridge and extension arm of the project across all regions of the country, working directly with beneficiaries and assisting them to apply for the project's various grant lines, including NRMPs, CPMPs and BALI/GALS activities.
Gender. Gender stereotypes are deeply entrenched in Kyrgyz society. There is a risk that people will not be as receptive to changing their intergender and intergenerational perceptions. This may cause challenges in the rate of gender	Moderate	The project is upscaling the BALI programme that has shown to be successful in changing people perceptions and also generate profit-making small enterprises. The long-term coaching programme ensures a gradual approach can be adopted and perceptions changed. The project is implementing

mainstreaming.		the recommendations from the IFAD LMDP gender targeting by designing a programme to improve the gender awareness of the APIU project staff.
----------------	--	---

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? No

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
-----------------	----------------	------------------------------

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

No risks were identified during the project reporting period, but as mentioned in the section above, the project has several risk mitigation measures already in place- such as: financial safeguards, procurement standards, transparency mechanisms, gender equality measures, and complaint channels - reinforced by staff training at start-up.

ESP Compliance

Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? No

1.Compliance with the law

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	

Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
2.Access and equity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	A possible risk to access and equity may be posed by challenges to reforms by vested powers, poor governance, corruption, weak public institutions and lack of transparency.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	To address risks to access and equity, the project will ensure transparent and inclusive processes. Long-term land leases will be promoted to guarantee sustained access to land and related investments for climate-vulnerable rural households. Targeting will be guided by gender and age quotas, with opportunities widely advertised through mass media. Project planning and design are conducted in consultation with vulnerable groups to ensure their participation in forestry, pasture, and income-generating activities. Risks linked to governance challenges will be mitigated through IFAD's anticorruption and good governance framework, including training for project staff. In addition, the project will strengthen local organizations and committees through capacity building, and apply measures to ensure accountability, transparency, sound financial management, fair procurement, gender equality, environmental governance, and accessible grievance mechanisms.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Functioning grievance redress mechanism established and # of grievances addressed.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
3.Marginalized and vulnerable Groups	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require	

management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
4.Human rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
5.Gender equality and women's empowerment	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable	Yes

impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	There was a potential risk that the 30% women quota will not be met in the AF project.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	To address the risk of not meeting the 30% women's participation target, the project builds on lessons from previous IFAD interventions and fully integrates gender-sensitive approaches into output 1.1.2. Awareness-raising and training will be provided to the APIU and relevant government staff on gender equality. Grant attribution for Livestock and Pasture Management Plans (LPMPs) will be conditional on respect for the 30% participation threshold, and women's representation in pasture committees will be a prerequisite.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Overall target for women is 30% and the project will ensure that specific activities will take this into consideration, especially for BALI grants under Component 3, the quota for women is 50%.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
6.Core labour rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
7.Indigenous people	

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
8. Involuntary resettlement	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk of temporary economic displacement for communities resulting from land restoration interventions (e.g., reduced short-term access to pasture or forest land due to rotational grazing or afforestation activities).
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	All consultations for LPMPs and Leskhoz afforestation plans will be participatory and based on Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC). If any risk of economic displacement emerges, IFAD and implementing partners will conduct a transparent consultation and negotiation process with the affected population, ensuring that mitigation and compensatory measures are put in place in line with the ESMP Update and do-no-harm principles.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of grievances related to land access or displacement and % resolved.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	

Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
9. Protection of natural habitats	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The project is unlikely to negatively affect natural habitats. However, since specific sites and interventions under LPMPs, Leskhoz plans, and BALI enterprises have not yet been fully identified, there remains a potential risk of unintentional impacts on natural habitats.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	If risks to natural habitats are identified during implementation, IFAD will ensure that mitigation and compensatory measures are applied in line with the ESMP Update. All subprojects will undergo environmental screening to avoid activities that could significantly affect ecosystems.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of subprojects screened for environmental impacts on natural habitats. Number of subprojects rejected, modified, or conditioned due to potential impacts on habitats.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
10. Conservation of biological diversity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Project interventions are generally expected to create favorable conditions for biodiversity conservation. However, potential risks cannot be fully assessed until specific subprojects and sites are identified, creating a degree of uncertainty regarding possible impacts on biodiversity.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact	Should biodiversity risks be identified during implementation, IFAD will ensure that mitigation

that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	and compensatory measures are applied in line with the ESMP Update. Environmental screening will be carried out for all subprojects to avoid activities that could harm biodiversity or critical ecosystems.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of subprojects screened for biodiversity impacts. Number of subprojects modified, conditioned, or rejected due to potential biodiversity risks.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
11.Climate change	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
12.Pollution prevention and resource efficiency	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	

List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
13.Public health	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The project does not pose significant risks to public health under normal circumstances. However, the COVID-19 pandemic introduces risks of virus transmission during activities requiring group interaction (trainings, workshops, and field visits).
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	The project had planned to put in place transmission reduction measures such as adequate protective clothing, hygiene protocols, physical distancing, and adapted meeting formats. This is not considered relevant anymore.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	N/A
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	N/A
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
14.Physical and cultural heritage	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard	The project is highly unlikely to negatively affect

measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	physical or cultural heritage. However, because the precise locations and interventions for certain subprojects are not yet determined, there remains a potential (though low) risk of inadvertent impacts on heritage resources.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Should risks to physical or cultural heritage be identified during implementation, IFAD will ensure that appropriate mitigation and compensatory measures are put in place in line with the ESMP Update. All subprojects will undergo environmental and social screening to prevent adverse impacts on heritage sites.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of subprojects screened for potential impacts on cultural and physical heritage. Number of subprojects modified, conditioned, or rejected due to potential risks to heritage.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
15.Lands and soil conservation	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required

Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been	
---	--

carried out?	
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	
If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	

Section 3: Categorisation

Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant?	
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	

Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	
Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period?	
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	
Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	

Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.

Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details.	
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled?	
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	

Identified USPs in the reporting period	Application of ESMP to the USP	ESP risks identified for the USP	Has an impact assessment been carried out?	Consultation held for risks and impacts identification for USP	Gender disaggregation to identify risks and impacts	Safeguard measures identified for the USP	Monitoring indicator(s) for each impact

Section 6: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and	
--	--

complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process	Provide the status/outcome
--	--	----------------------------

Comments

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

Gender-responsive element	Level	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Rated result for the reporting period
Forest users trainings	Output	1700 people (30 % female, 15 % youth)	647 (women 13.9%, youth 16%)	1700 people (30 % female, 15 % youth)	Satisfactory
Local Self-Governing Bodies representatives training	Output	2000 people (30 % female, 15 % youth)	444 (women 20.5%, youth 16%)	2000 people (30 % female, 15 % youth)	Satisfactory
BALI (Business Action Learning System for Innovations) trainings	Output	3000 people trained (50 % female, 30 % youth)	4537 people (2411 female, 1187 youth)	2800 people received and realized grant (50 % female, 30 % youth)	Satisfactory
Gender leadership mainstreamed into PUU committees	Objective	138 (30%) of PUUs committee members are women by the end of the project	0	138 (30%) of PUUs committee members are women by the end of the project	Satisfactory
Number of persons with increased incomes and diversified	Objective	15,900 people will benefit (3000 households) from new climate-	0	15,900 people will benefit (3000 households) from new climate-	Satisfactory

livelihoods		proof businesses, of which 1500 households (50%) will be women headed		proof businesses, of which 1500 households (50%) will be women headed	
Number of persons with increased incomes and diversified livelihoods	Objective	2,650 people (500 households) will benefit from forestry-related businesses, of which 150 households (30%) will be women headed	0	2,650 people (500 households) will benefit from forestry-related businesses, of which 150 households (30%) will be women headed	Satisfactory
Gender mainstreamed into RRPCP-Adapt	Output	One Gender assessment conducted	1	One Gender assessment conducted	Good
Afforestation, reforestation and enrichment plans designed and implemented	Output	500 households (2,650 people) will benefit, of which 150 households (30%) will be women headed	0	500 households (2,650 people) will benefit, of which 150 households (30%) will be women headed	Satisfactory

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
No issues so far		

Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	IFAD, as the Implementing Entity, supported compliance with the Adaptation Fund Gender Policy through supervision, technical guidance and follow-up on gender-related agreed actions. During the reporting period, the mission reviewed women's participation across project activities, assessed progress under GALS and BALI, examined women's participation in pasture, forestry and grant-related activities, and provided recommendations to strengthen gender mainstreaming, targeting, beneficiary tracking, women's participation in decision-making, and integration of gender-related risks into safeguards and project monitoring. IFAD also supported the revision of BALI eligibility and scoring criteria to improve women's participation, strengthen vulnerability targeting and reduce risks of elite capture.
---	---

Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	The APIU has put in place several arrangements to support Gender Policy compliance, including recruitment of a Gender Specialist and International Gender Consultant, preparation of a Gender Action Plan, development and delivery of GALs and BALI training modules, inclusion of women representatives in the Selection Committee, and actions to strengthen women's participation in livelihood grants and beneficiary groups. The APIU is also expected to update the gender needs assessment, monitor implementation of the Gender Action Plan, ensure that gender-related risks are reflected in the ESMP and safeguards instruments, and promote women's participation in pasture and forest-related decision-making, including through documented consultations.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	No

Section 4: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process used	Provide the status/outcome
---	---	----------------------------

Comments

Rating

Implementing Entity					
Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Comments	Rating
Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section					
Name		Email			

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating	
Component 1. Capacity development to integrate climate change adaptation and gender equity for resilient ecosystems and livelihoods	Outcome 3	Implementation of the GALS and BALI methodologies, strengthen the capacity of trainers and regional specialists, conduct beneficiary-level training, advance gender mainstreaming and assessment, and further develop knowledge and learning products related to climate change adaptation. Particular attention was expected to be given to strengthening women's and youth participation and ensuring that the knowledge and skills gained through training are applied to climate-resilient livelihood activities	Ontrack		Satisfactory
Component 2 – Outcome 2.2: Afforestation/reforestation enhanced and pasture land degradation reduced.	Outcome 5	Implementation of climate-resilient forest and pasture management measures, including preparation and implementation of relevant plans and grant-supported activities for forest and pasture rehabilitation. Progress is expected in terms of areas covered by climate-resilient interventions and natural resource assets strengthened.	Ontrack		Satisfactory
Component 3 – Outcome 3.1: Gender-transformative entrepreneurship promoted.	Outcome 6	Implementation of livelihood and entrepreneurship support, with a focus on vulnerable households, women and youth, including capacity building, preparation and review of business proposals, and provision of grant support.	Ontrack		Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email	Institution
Mirlan Aitkaziev	m.aitkaziev@apiu.kg	APIU

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Within Component 1, The project developed and adapted the GALS and BALI training modules and conducted Training of Trainers activities for project specialists and regional consultants. Regional consultants subsequently conducted beneficiary-level training under the GALS and BALI methodologies. A total of 2,491 participants participated in the reported GALS training activities, including 1,317 women, 1,174 men, and 592 young people under 35 years of age. The training activities covered all seven oblasts participating in the project. A follow-up survey of 546 beneficiaries was conducted to assess the results of the training. The findings indicate positive changes in participants' confidence, family communication and joint decision-making, goal setting and planning, financial awareness, and interest in developing additional sources of income. Within Component 2, signed Grant Agreements for Reforestation and Afforestation, including natural regeneration, on an area of 2972.7 hectares, with 28 Forestry Enterprises for a total of 190,910,234 soms or 2,181,070.02 US dollars. 89 LSGBs participated in training, 36 submitted complete grant documentation and 25 met the established requirements. A total of 49 project proposals were received from 25 aiyl okmotus. Within Component 3, as of the reporting period, the project received a total of 219 grant proposals from potential beneficiaries across the seven project oblasts. 207 proposals were submitted under the BALI programme. 12 proposals were submitted by forest users (leskhozes) under the relevant grant support mechanism. All received applications are currently undergoing technical review and assessment. Based on the results of the assessment, eligible proposals will be submitted to the Selection Committee for consideration, while proposals requiring additional information or improvement will be returned to applicants with relevant comments and recommendations.

Other

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------	--------

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
------	-------

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall Rating

Overall rating

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc...)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Objectives	Number of persons with more resilient	13 437	0	109,710 people

	livelihoods			
Objectives	Improved knowledge about the impact of climate change in Kyrgyzstan	0	0	A water vulnerability assessment conducted with a specific focus on the impact of changing water availability patterns or pastoralists.
Objectives	Number of CLPMPs Developed	0	0	23 Community Livestock Pasture Management Plans developed
Objectives	Ha of pastures sustainably managed (covered by CLPMPs)	0	0	190,000 ha sustainably managed to enhance climate resilience
Objectives	Ha of land reforested, afforested and enriched	0	0	2,500 hectares afforested / reforested 1,500 hectares of forest enriched
Objectives	Gender leadership mainstreamed into PUU committees	0	0	138 (30%) of PUUs committee members are women by the end of the project.
Objectives	Number of persons with increased incomes and diversified livelihoods	0	0	15,900 people will benefit (3000 households) from new climate-proof businesses, of which 1500 households (50%) will be women headed. 2,650 people (500 households) will benefit from forestry-related businesses, of which 150 households (30%) will be women headed.
Outputs	Output 1.1.1 A TOT programme developed and implemented for Community Champions.	1	1	One TOT is designed and implemented.
Outputs	Output 1.1.1 A BALI awareness training module designed and implemented for	1	1	One BALI training module is designed and delivered.

	RRPCP-Adapt, APIU staff.			
Outputs	Output 1.1.2 2 Training of Trainers programme are developed	2	0	TOTs are designed and implemented
Outputs	Output 1.1.2 Gender assessment conducted producing concrete strategies and good practices	1	1	One Gender assessment conducted
Outputs	Output 1.1.2 No. of PUU committee members in project area are women	0	0	At least 138 (30%) of PUUs committee members are women by the end of the project.
Outputs	Output 1.2.1 A climate vulnerability assessment produced.	0	0	A water vulnerability assessment conducted with a specific focus on the impact of changing water availability patterns or pastoralists.
Outputs	Output 1.2.2 No. of knowledge-generating studies / research produced and disseminated	0	0	Baseline conducted BALI terminal study conducted Farmer success stories collected; knowledge produced synthesised into knowledge products; video clips, radio programmes, posters, leaflets produced and distributed. Impact assessment produced
Outputs	Output 1.2.2 No. of policy awareness raising events	33	33	Workshops and awareness raising events for policy makers held
Outputs	Output 2.1.1 Two tree nurseries established in spruce and walnut forest areas	0	0	One spruce and one walnut nursery established and operational. 1.5 million spruce seedlings produced per year in spruce forest areas. 400,000 walnut seedlings per year in walnut forest area but also poplar,

				willow and others
Outputs	Output 2.2.1 No. of CLPLPs developed	0	0	23 Community Livestock Pasture Management Plans developed
Outputs	Output 2.2.1 Ha of of pastures sustainably managed (covered by CLPMPs)	0	0	190,000 ha sustainably managed to enhance climate resilience
Outputs	Output 2.2.2 Ha of land reforested / afforested	0	0	2,500 hectares afforested / reforested
Outputs	Output 2.2.2 Ha of forest enriched	0	0	1,500 hectares of forest enriched 500 households (2,650 people) will benefit, of which 150 households (30%) will be women headed
Outputs	Output 3.1.1 Bali programme implemented with a focus on women, youth and men from vulnerable households in the most climate-vulnerable areas	0	0	3,000 beneficiaries of which at least 1,500 women. 900 (30%) will be young men and women Value chain assessment, prospecting and platforms implemented.

Comments

Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management		
Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)	Opportunities	The project has undertaken several adaptive management measures to improve implementation and results on the ground. Following mission findings, the project agreed to revise the FUG eligibility requirements to increase participation while maintaining the agreed unit cost and forest restoration targets. In addition, the project is strengthening implementation systems through improved beneficiary

		tracking, GIS-based monitoring, preparation of the water stress and pasture health monitoring system, operationalization of the GRM, and closer alignment of procurement planning with the AWPB. These changes do not alter the project's overall objective or core outputs but are intended to improve delivery quality, targeting, monitoring and achievement of field-level adaptation results.
Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts?	Challenges & Opportunities	The environmental and social safeguard measures have been partially effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts, as the project has established basic SECAP and Adaptation Fund ESP compliance arrangements, including screening requirements and a designated SECAP focal point. No major negative environmental or social impacts have been reported to date. However, most physical investments are still at the grant preparation, procurement or early implementation stage, so the effectiveness of safeguards cannot yet be fully assessed on the ground. Further strengthening is needed to ensure that SECAP and ESP requirements are consistently reflected in grant agreements, technical designs, ESMPs where required, procurement documents, field monitoring and the GRM. Particular attention should be given to pasture roads, bridges, water infrastructure, forest restoration activities, FUG and BALI grants, gender-related risks, community consultations and beneficiary feedback mechanisms.
How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project	Opportunities	The project implements the BALI program, which provides support to cooperatives. As part of this program, trainings for trainers—regional project

performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.		specialists—were conducted during the reporting period. Regional consultants then conducted trainings in the regions for BALI program participants. The training was based on the GALS (Gender Action Learning System) methodology. Through drawing and other interactive methods, future project beneficiaries explored gender issues and learned to be gender-sensitive in family life and cooperative activities, ensuring that grant applications reflected benefits for both genders and maximized the benefits of entrepreneurship. International consultant Asel Kuttubaeva led the trainings for trainers. Regional specialists conducted 138 training sessions, attended by 1,317 women (52%) and 1,174 men. The number of young people under 35 was 592. Following the GALS training sessions, trainer Asel Kuttubaeva conducted a ToT using the BALI (Business Action Learning for Innovation) methodology. This methodology aims to train beneficiaries in the business processes they will encounter when establishing cooperatives and running entrepreneurial activities. This methodology also incorporates a gender perspective. Regional consultants then conducted training sessions for program participants in the regions. A total of 138 training sessions were organized, attended by 2,312 people, including 1,172 women (50.7%). Following the training, program participants submitted grant applications to the project. The applications are currently being reviewed. The training sessions addressed issues of climate change and adaptation to change, as well as the need to conduct activities
---	--	---

		taking into account objective environmental circumstances.
Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?	Challenges	Implementation delays were observed, mainly due to delays in procurement, grant approval processes and the launch of physical investments. Several procurement packages had to be re-advertised because of limited market response, while some grant-financed activities remained at the review, contracting or pre-implementation stage. Pasture grants and some forestry-related activities also moved more slowly than expected, and implementation was further affected by the need to revise FUG eligibility requirements and relaunch the BALI grant selection process to address targeting and quality concerns. To reduce delays, the project has strengthened procurement capacity, agreed on revised FUG requirements, introduced a revised two-step BALI selection process, prioritised the signing of pending grant agreements, and agreed on closer monitoring of procurement, safeguards, beneficiary tracking and field implementation.
What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress?	Opportunities	According to the project, municipal governments will receive grants on a competitive basis to improve pasture management. The project helps train program participants to develop pasture management plans in accordance with the guidelines for preparing these plans. The document takes into account grazing standards per unit of pasture, grazing rotation, resting pasture areas, and other criteria that allow farmers to use pastures without harming them and maintain them as a sustainable ecosystem. Currently, 22 local governments have already submitted grant applications;

		the applications are being reviewed, and project specialists are conducting site visits to review the applications and provide recommendations. Based on the application content, total number of grant proposals from LSGBs - 27; Watering places for cattle - 20; Bridges - 27; Roads - 9 projects (110.65 km); Protective fences for pastures - 2 projects (43 hectares). In addition, grants will be provided on a competitive basis to forestry enterprises (leskhoses). Grant agreements were signed with 28 leskhoses that submitted grant proposals.
--	--	--

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

Climate Resilience Measures	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness grants)	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?	
How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
Concrete Adaptation Interventions	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation	

interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?	
What is the potential for the concrete adaptation interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Knowledge Management	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	
Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what channels of dissemination have been used?	
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever possible (e.g. project videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, training manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	
Innovation	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	
Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other climate finance? Or has the project build upon any other climate finance initiative?	
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report? Before Midterm

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries

		Total	% of female beneficiaries	% of Youth beneficiaries
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	0	50.00	0.00
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0.00	0.00
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	25.00	
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	109710	50.00	0.00
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0.00	0.00
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	109710	25.00	
Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0.00	
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0.00	

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

	Number of targeted stakeholders - Total	Number of targeted stakeholders - % of	Hazards information	Overall effectiveness
--	---	--	---------------------	-----------------------

		female targeted	generated and disseminated	
--	--	-----------------	----------------------------	--

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

	No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments	Sector	Scale	Status
--	---	--------	-------	--------

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	No. of adopted Early Warning Systems	Category targeted	Hazard	Geographical coverage	Number of municipalities
--	--------------------------------------	-------------------	--------	-----------------------	--------------------------

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased

	Number of staff targeted - Total	Number of staff targeted - % of female targeted	Sector	Capacity level
--	----------------------------------	---	--------	----------------

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	Total staff trained	% of female staff trained	Type
--	---------------------	---------------------------	------

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	Type	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
--	------	-------	--------	----------------

Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

	Number of beneficiaries	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
--	-------------------------	-------	--------	----------------

Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes

Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses

	Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures	Sector
--	--	--------

Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
--	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------

Output 3.2: Stenghtened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committes/associations	Level of awareness
--	--	--	--------------------

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
--	-----------------------------	------	-------

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets

Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
--	--------------------------	--------------------	----------------

Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
--	--------	----------------	--

Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
--	--------------------	------	--------

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress

Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress

	Natural resource improvement level	Sector	Type
Baseline information	2: Partially effective	Agriculture	Land
Target performance at completion	3: Moderately effective	Agriculture	Land
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilitated	Unit	Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation
Baseline information	Rangelands	0	ha rehabilitated	2: Partially effective
Baseline information	Forests	0	ha rehabilitated	2: Partially effective
Target performance at completion	Rangelands	190000	ha rehabilitated	3: Moderately effective
Target performance at completion	Forests	4000	ha rehabilitated	3: Moderately effective
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas

Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	Improvement level
--	----------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source
Baseline information	0	0.00	From 10% to 20%	Agricultural-related
Target performance at completion	3000	0.00	From 10% to 20%	Agricultural-related
Performance at mid-				

term				
Performance at completion				

Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy
Baseline information	0	Financial capital	Agriculture	Supporting livelihoods
Target performance at completion	3000	Financial capital	Agriculture	Supporting livelihoods
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)
Baseline information	0	Agricultural-related	0.00
Target performance at completion	3000	Agricultural-related	0.00
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures

Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy

Integration level

Output 7: Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
--	--	--------	-------	------

Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced

	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness
--	-------------------------------	------------	---------------

Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies**Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level**

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
--	-------------------------------	------------------	------

Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
--	---	--------	--------	---------------

Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated

	No. of key findings generated	Type	Effectiveness
--	-------------------------------	------	---------------