

Project Performance Report

Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	7/11/2025 - 6/30/2026
Project Title	Increasing Rural Communities' Adaptive Capacity and Resilience to Climate Change in Bandama Basin in Côte d'Ivoire
Project Summary	
Database Number	AF00000222
Implementing Entity (IE)	International Fund Agricultural Dev
Type of IE	Multilateral Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Côte d'Ivoire
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	Region of BÉLIER; Region of GBÊKÊ; Region of MARAHOUÉ
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	Ministry of Environment and Ecological Transition in Côte d'Ivoire (MINETE)

Project Milestones	
AFB Approval Date	2/23/2022
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	5/16/2022
Start of Project/Programme	7/8/2025
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	
Original Completion Date	7/11/2029
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them	
Category of condition	
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each

Inception Report submitted on July 31, 2025

List the Website address (URL) of project

The project does not have website

Project Contacts			
National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	Name	Email	Date
National Project Manager	Andé Jean Yves KONAN,	andyveskajyr@gmail.com	9/3/2026
Implementing Entity	Messan, Fumilayo Hermann	f.messan@ifad.org	9/3/2026
Executing Agency	Aka Jean Paul	jean.paul.aka@undp.org	9/3/2026
Executing Agency	Dedi Séraphin	Seraphin.Dedi@fao.org	9/3/2026

Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$)	\$2,060,470.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$)	\$1,908,931.00
Project disbursement rate (%)	34.52
Project execution rate (%)	34.51
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	The first Request for Withdrawal of Funds (DRF), in the amount of 1,080,149,954 CFA francs, or approximately 1,646,678 euros, representing 32.8% of the total grant amount of 5,016,741 USD, was prepared based on the Project's PTBA and led to the preparation of a duly validated PPM. However, no disbursement has been made to date. Although the Project meets the requirements for the first disbursement, it had not yet been made at the time of the mission, and no payment had been recorded in the Project's bank account. The delay in the disbursement was due to an error in the IBAN provided for the Project's designated account. This error has since been corrected, and the process of funding the Project's account is currently underway.
Investment Income (\$)	\$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$)	\$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	Amount (\$)
Output 1.1. Expanding and upgrading existing early warning systems and hydro met observation networks to enhance data collection, interpretation and understanding for adaptation in agriculture	\$0.00
Output 1.2. Capacity of rural communities, cooperatives, Farmers organizations extension agents, decision makers is strengthened to understand climate risks to better adapt to climate shocks	\$0.00
Output 2.1. Best available technologies and Integrated Climate Resilient Farming systems on rice, cocoa, cassava are implemented to foster the resilience of cocoa and rice production and post-harvest practices:	\$0.00
Output 2.2: Income-generating activities focusing on climate resilient fish farming on the Bandama river basin, conservation, processing units, marketing) are promoted as livelihood	\$0.00

diversification measures	
Output 3.1. Capacity of the government (esp. Ministry of Environment, Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Water and forest , Ministry of Rice, local councils, SODEXAM, FIRCA,) in managing climate risk is strengthened	\$0.00
Output 3.2: Activities are adequately coordinated, monitored and evaluated.	\$0.00
IE fee (\$)	\$139,967.63
Execution cost (\$)	\$0.00

Planned Expenditure Schedule

Output	Projected Cost (\$)	Estimated Completion Date
Output 1.1. Expanding and upgrading existing early warning systems and hydro met observation networks to enhance data collection, interpretation and understanding for adaptation in agriculture	\$516,773.85	6/30/2027
Output 1.2. Capacity of rural communities, cooperatives, Farmers organizations extension agents, decision makers is strengthened to understand climate risks to better adapt to climate shocks	\$220,783.63	6/30/2027
Output 2.1. Best available technologies and Integrated Climate Resilient Farming systems on rice, cocoa, cassava are implemented to foster the resilience of cocoa and rice production and post-harvest practices:	\$1,130,863.39	6/30/2027
Output 2.2: Income-generating activities focusing on climate resilient fish farming on the Bandama river basin, conservation, processing units, marketing) are promoted as livelihood diversification measures	\$207,782.34	6/30/2027
Output 3.1. Capacity of the government (esp. Ministry of Environment, Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Water and forest , Ministry of Rice, local councils, SODEXAM, FIRCA,) in managing climate risk is strengthened	\$122,514.64	6/30/2027
Output 3.2: Activities are adequately coordinated, monitored and evaluated.	\$812,536.98	6/30/2027
IE fee (\$)	\$255,956.66	
Execution cost (\$)	\$3,011,254.83	

Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)

Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$)	\$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$)	\$0.00
Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Insufficient capacities to appropriately manage the day-to-day implementation of the project	Moderate	A National Country Programme Unit (NPCU) with administrative and financial management autonomy that assumes the fiduciary management functions of the projec was set up through the recruitment of experts with specific experiences in development project management and financial management procedures of the lessors and mastery of an accounting software. IFAD country office participated as an observer in all stages of the recruitment process. The staff of the NPCU was linked to the project by renewable annual contracts based on a performance evaluation, Start-up support took into account training in financial management
The project budgeting process doesn't respect procedures and doesn't allow for a good implementation of project activities	Moderate	"The budget preparation process was carried out by the project staff and the AWPB was then submitted to the non objection of IFAD for validation since the teering committee has not not yet been set-up neither functional . The AWPB provides details of activities, their unit and overall costs, expected results and monitoring indicators, and their implementation modalities including procurement procedures. So far the rest of the mitigation measures have not yet been implemented, because of the delay in the starting of the project activities due to the cumbersome administrative requirements to comply with the first disbursement "
Project financial flows and disbursement processes are not timely and jeopardize the implementation of activities on the ground	Moderate	None of the mitigation measures proposed at design phase was implemented so far because of the delay in the starting of the project activities due to the cumbersome administrative requirements to comply with the first disbursement
Project implementation and financial management procedures do not guarantee sufficient transparency and accountability	High	"Project was equipped with management software covering all financial aspects: accounting, commitment, financial statements, budget monitoring, contracts, etc (TomPRO). The project team was trained but the team does not master yet the use of the software since it has not yet used it extensively to get familiar with it. The rest of the mitigation measures proposed at design phase have not yet been implemented so far because of the delay in the starting of the project activities due to the cumbersome administrative requirements to comply with the first disbursement"
The project financial procedures do not allow for proper and regular monitoring	Moderate	None of the mitigation measures proposed at design phase was implemented so far because of the delay in the starting of the project activities due to the cumbersome administrative requirements to comply with the first disbursement
Current climate and seasonal variability and/or hazard events result in poor restoration results or agricultural yields.	Low	None of the mitigation measures proposed at design phase was implemented so far because of the delay in the starting of the project activities due to the cumbersome administrative requirements to comply with the first disbursement
Loss of government support may result in lack of prioritisation of	Low	None of the mitigation measures proposed at design phase was implemented so far because of the delay in the starting of the project activities due to the cumbersome administrative requirements to

AF project activities		comply with the first disbursement
Communities may not adopt activities during or after the AF project	Moderate	None of the mitigation measures proposed at design phase was implemented so far because of the delay in the starting of the project activities due to the cumbersome administrative requirements to comply with the first disbursement
Priority interventions implemented are not found to be cost-effective.	Low	None of the mitigation measures proposed at design phase was implemented so far because of the delay in the starting of the project activities due to the cumbersome administrative requirements to comply with the first disbursement

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? Yes

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Fiduciary Risk: Complex administrative procedures leading to delays in the disbursement of funds During the reporting period, the project faced complex administrative procedures that slowed down operations and even prevented the payment of salaries.	Moderate	Strengthen the capacity of stakeholders and the PMU regarding national and donor administrative procedures.
Institutional Risk: Institutional Changes During Project Implementation During the reporting period, there were changes within the ministries (a cabinet reshuffle) that may have affected administrative procedures and, consequently, the project's progress.	Moderate	Integrate procedure manuals, technical guides, and project tools directly into the ongoing training programs of sectoral ministries. Involve multiple ministry departments across the board in the Steering Committee to ensure the project does not depend on a single political anchor

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

ESP Compliance

Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? No

1.Compliance with the law

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable	Yes

impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Non compliance with the laws and other administrative orders of national, regional and local government is notably related to 1) absence of project safeguard mechanism, 2) Non compliance with rural land tenure security measures, for women and youth and 3) insufficient participation of women and youth in decision making bodies at regional and local levels
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	1) Project must ensure that all safeguards are in place so that the activities of the investment do not exacerbate environmental degradation. 2) Project must make sure in collaboration with the national body in charge of the rural land tenure security (AFOR) that national Security of tenure measures are implemented for women and youth 3) The project has proposed targets to include Women and youth in rural decision making bodies
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of sites for which Environmental and social impact assessment document has been prepared according to the 15 principles of the Adaptation Funds ESP
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Baseline is 0 and No monitoring of the baseline no activity implementation has started due to the lack of resources transfer from IFAD to the project' bank account. However, these mitigation measures identified as well as the environmental and social studies required for their implementation, have been planned and budgeted for in the 2026 PTBA, which has been approved.
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No safeguard measure was implemented during the reporting period because no activity implementation has started due to the lack of resources transfer from IFAD to the project' bank account. However, these mitigation measures identified as well as the environmental and social studies required for their implementation, have been planned and budgeted for in the 2026 PTBA, which has been approved.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact expected because no activity implementation has started due to the lack of resources transfer from IFAD to the project' bank account. However, these mitigation measures identified as well as the environmental and social studies required for their implementation, have been planned and budgeted for in the 2026 PTBA, which has been approved.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No corrective action is require
2.Access and equity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require	No

management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	There is, a risk that certain community members may benefit more than others. This may result in both intra- and intercommunity conflicts.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Raise awareness among households and individuals regarding the approach of prioritizing project support for the most vulnerable households, while ensuring that the benefits trickle down to all households in the village through one of the project activities
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Level of application of fair criteria for selecting training session participants
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0 activities implemented; no screening conducted; 0 complaints
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No field activities have started during the period. The institutional frameworks are in place or being finalized and will be applied prior to any physical activity
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Minor frustrations or subjective feelings of injustice may persist among certain individuals. Individual conflicts outside the scope of the project may still occur. A low level of suspicion toward community leaders may remain in the short term
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Define transparent, objective eligibility criteria validated by the entire community. Involve a joint governance committee that is representative of each sub-group or neighborhood. Communicate massively and publicly on the distribution of benefits to avoid rumors.

3.Marginalized and vulnerable Groups

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	There is a risk that vulnerable and marginalized groups will be excluded during the implementation of the project.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Implement outreach and awareness-raising activities at project sites, at the household and village levels, to ensure equal participation and equitable access to safe drinking water.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Training sessions organized
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0 activities implemented; no screening conducted; 0 complaints
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No field activities have started during the period. The institutional frameworks are in place or being finalized and will be applied prior to any physical

	activity
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Some women or minorities will self-exclude due to cultural barriers or deeply rooted patriarchal structures. Households living in totally inaccessible or landlocked areas of the basin will remain difficult to integrate
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Set up an accessible, anonymous, and independent grievance redress mechanism
4.Human rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
5.Gender equality and women's empowerment	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Women will not benefit equitably from the proposed project's climate change adaptation and capacity-building interventions
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Ensure that 45% of activity beneficiaries are women
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Percentage of women in the decision-making process
State the baseline condition for each monitoring	0 activities implemented; no screening conducted; 0

indicator	complaints
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No field activities have started during the period. The institutional frameworks are in place or being finalized and will be applied prior to any physical activity
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Women hold official positions, but actual strategic decisions continue to be influenced by men behind the scenes. Access to new project activities adds to household chores without any reduction in their initial workload. Household tensions may arise due to the change in women's economic status
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Establish gender-balanced management committees or enforce a minimum quota of 40 to 50% of women in project decision-making positions. Organize capacity-building and leadership training sessions exclusively for women. Schedule meetings and activities at times and in locations compatible with women's domestic responsibilities. Raise awareness among traditional and community male leaders regarding the economic benefits of women's empowerment

6.Core labour rights

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

7.Indigenous people

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require	

management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
8.Involuntary resettlement	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
9.Protection of natural habitats	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable	No

impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	There is low risk that the project affects the Bandama basin/wetland with the removal of rice paddies and impact on natural habitat during the rehabilitation of degraded land.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Promoting sustainable intensive rice farming
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Percentage of funded sub-projects including activities with risks of natural habitat alteration
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0 activities implemented; no screening conducted; 0 complaints
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No field activities have started during the period. The institutional frameworks are in place or being finalized and will be applied prior to any physical activity
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	The natural environment will take several months to return to an optimal ecological balance after rehabilitation
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Implement active restoration measures (biodiversity accelerators) and temporary conservatory measures
10.Conservation of biological diversity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	There is a risk of biodiversity loss caused by bush fires and slash and burn agriculture which lead to biological diversity losses.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	avoid land clearing which leads to loss of biodiversity and deforestation through the physical elimination of species.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Wildfire or man-made fire
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0 activities implemented; no screening conducted; 0 complaints
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No field activities have started during the period. The institutional frameworks are in place or being finalized and will be applied prior to any physical activity
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Fire outbreaks from origins external to the project (game hunters, cigarette butts, lightning) can still occur and spin out of control. Plots historically degraded by fire will take decades to recover their original level of biodiversity

Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Create and regularly maintain firebreaks (strips of bare or cleared land) around forested areas and agricultural plots. Establish village vigilance committees equipped with first-aid material to quickly detect and extinguish fire outbreaks
11.Climate change	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	There is a low to moderate risk of GHG emissions from rice paddies.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Promoting SRI in the rice sector and the climate-resilient cassava and cocoa sector will help to avoid and sequester CO2.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Deforestation
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0 activities implemented; no screening conducted; 0 complaints
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No field activities have started during the period. The institutional frameworks are in place or being finalized and will be applied prior to any physical activity
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No field activities have started during the period. The institutional frameworks are in place or being finalized and will be applied prior to any physical activity Unexpected rainfall or flooding in the Bandama basin can prevent the intentional drying of the plots, temporarily canceling the effect of the measures
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Disseminate high-yielding, short-cycle rice varieties that minimize plot flooding time and optimize nutrient use efficiency
12.Pollution prevention and resource efficiency	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the	

safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
13.Public health	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	There was risk under the COVID19 Context.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Promote social distancing and safe agricultural and health measures, in accordance with national requirements to prevent the spread of COVID-19.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Number of person (beneficiaries) implementing covid prevention measures
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0 activities implemented; no screening conducted; 0 complaints
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Today, the project implementation is no longer taking place in a COVID-19 context, so no safeguard measure is currently necessary for this health risk.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No residual impact expected
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	No corrective action is required
14.Physical and cultural heritage	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the	

safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
15.Lands and soil conservation	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk identified is related to land rehabilitation and use.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Ensure that all relevant environmental codes and standards are respected during its implementation.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Ha of land area rehabilitated taking into account the environmental and social requirements
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0 activities implemented; no screening conducted; 0 complaints
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No field activities have started during the period. The institutional frameworks are in place or being finalized and will be applied prior to any physical activity
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	The sharp increase in the value and fertility of rehabilitated lands may attract the greed of influential external actors. The most vulnerable original farmers risk being gradually ousted by traditional local power structures
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Restore soils according to strict technical criteria (choice of species, appropriate soil amendments) to ensure that future productivity meets the real needs of the populations. Establish a joint land dispute resolution committee to immediately arbitrate boundary challenges or access rights after rehabilitation

Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required

Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out?	No
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	No

If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	
---	--

Section 3: Categorisation

Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant?	Yes
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	

Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	The implementing entity has integrated environmental and social safeguard activities into the proposed AWPB for the reporting period. These include conducting environmental and social impact assessments before each PACCB-CI sub-project (for example, the construction of dams and dikes). Additionally, the PMU has contacted the National Environment Agency to ensure that the planned environmental and social safeguard actions comply with national procedures
Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	Since no field activities were conducted during the reporting period, it is difficult to gauge the effectiveness of the implementation arrangements. However, the contact established with ANDE and the implementing partners' understanding of the importance of ESS aspects suggest that the proposed arrangements will be effective. No specific arrangement put in place.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	Yes

Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.

Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	No
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details.	No
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled?	No
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	No

Identified USPs in the	Application of ESMP to	ESP risks identified	Has an impact	Consultation held for	Gender disaggregated	Safeguard measures	Monitoring indicator(s)
------------------------	------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-----------------------	----------------------	--------------------	-------------------------

reporting period	the USP	for the USP	assessment been carried out?	risks and impacts identification for USP	to identify risks and impacts	identified for the USP	for each impact
N/N	No	N./A	No	No	No	N/A	N/A

Section 6: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	No
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process	Provide the status/outcome
--	--	----------------------------

Comments

The complaints management mechanism has not yet been established and made operational, as no field activities have yet begun and the Project's financial resources are not yet actually available. However, the establishment and operationalization of this mechanism were planned and budgeted for in the approved 2026 PTBA. As soon as the first disbursement becomes available, the Project will proceed with the establishment and operationalization of the mechanism, prior to the actual start of the relevant activities. Information and awareness-raising campaigns will also be conducted among beneficiaries and various stakeholders to ensure the mechanism's accessibility and effectiveness. Therefore, at this stage, it is not possible to evaluate the implementation of the actions since the activities identified in the Procurement Plan (PPM) and the Annual Work Plan and Budget (AWPB) have not yet been implemented.

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

Gender-responsive element	Level	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Rated result for the reporting period
People affected by the activities supported by the project	Output	Number of beneficiaries (direct and indirect)	Total 0 - Men 0 - Women 0 - Youth 0	Total 15600 - Men 8580 (55%) - Women 7020 (45%) - Youth 6240 (40%)	Poor

access to reliable climate information and services	Output	Percentage of farmers with access to reliable climate information and services ($\geq 90\%$)	Total 0 - Men 0 - Women 0 - Youth 0	Total 90% - Men (50%) - Women (40%) - Youth (36%)	Poor
Better understanding of climate risks	Output	Percentage of farmers demonstrating a better understanding of climate risks (85%).	Total 0 - Men 0 - Women 0 - Youth 0	Total 85% - Men (47%) - Women (38%) - Youth (34%)	Poor
Use of climate information in agricultural planning.	Outcome	Percentage of public decision-makers using climate information in agricultural planning.	Total 0 - Men 0 - Women 0	Total 85% - Men (47%) - Women (38%)	Poor
Utilization of climate information for their production decisions.	Outcome	Percentage of producers using climate information for their production decisions.	Total 0 - Men 0 - Women 0	Total 85% - Men (47%) - Women (38%)	Poor

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
No,		

Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	The arrangements made by the implementing entity was mainly the strengthening of the PMU members gender capacities, particularly for the Monitoring and Evaluation specialist. A training was held during the start up of the project. Since then nothing else have been done by the PMU in terms of actions on the gender. There is a need to elaborate the gender action plan et implement it.
Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	No activity implemented so far, the PMU has just complied with the requirements for the first disbursement and the project fund is not yet available in the project account to initial the activities. no

	arrangement has been put in place
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	Yes Comments: So far, there is not a gender specialist recruited for the project. Right now, the capacity of the staff is really limited with respect to gender.

Section 4: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	No
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process used	Provide the status/outcome
---	---	----------------------------

Comments

No activity implemented so far, the PMU has just complied with the requirements for the first disbursement and the project fund is not yet available in the project account to initial the activities.

Rating

Implementing Entity

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Comments	Rating
Component 1: Climate information and early warning systems (SICA) for capacity building in adaptation, programming, and planning within the cocoa, rice, and cassava value chains	Outcome 3	This component focuses on training, capacity building, expansion, and upgrading of existing early meteorological warning systems and hydrometeorological observation networks to improve data collection, interpretation, and understanding. This component also aims to strengthen the capacity of rural communities, cooperatives, farmers' organizations, and extension services to understand climate risks and better manage climate shocks.	Delayed	For the time being, the project has identified the structures for installing weather stations and training farmers. The draft agreements are being reviewed by the legal departments of the parties involved, and the TORs for field activities are awaiting	Marginally Satisfactory

				validation (execution rate: 0%)	
Component 2: Climate-resilient agricultural production and post-harvest practices combined with livelihood diversification	Outcome 8	This component focuses on the rapid scaling up of selected CSA technologies and innovations through targeted interventions at the smallholder/household level to increase the resilience of agricultural systems to climate extremes. It aims to encourage farmers to adopt and implement the best available technologies and integrated varieties of resilient rice, cassava, and cocoa, as well as post-harvest practices to promote the resilience of cocoa, cassava, and rice production. It also aims to develop income-generating activities focused on climate-resilient fish farming in the Bandama River basin and fish preservation; it also targets the promotion of processing and marketing units as measures to diversify livelihoods due to climate change.	Delayed	Technology identification activities are pending the signing of the agreement with FAO; and the TOR for the CNRA mission on crop modeling and climate vulnerability assessment is currently being validated. -The project last met with FAO on Wednesday, May 20, 2026, where both teams reviewed the draft of the proposed agreement, which will be submitted to IFAD in the coming weeks (execution rate: 0%)	Marginally Satisfactory
Component 3: Institutional capacity building, policy engagement, and knowledge management.	Outcome 2	This component aims to develop the capacities of competent government agencies in terms of climate change adaptation, from the policy level to the implementation level. It therefore focuses on capacity building for key government institutions.	Delayed	Pending the signing of the agreement with the UNDP (execution rate: 0%)	Marginally Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
Messan, Fumilayo Hermann	f.messan@ifad.org

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project has been delayed for several reasons related to meeting the first disbursement requirements. The

project team has experienced several back and forth in going through the administrative tasks at the national level to meet the first disbursement conditions. So far, no fund has been transferred to the project's bank account and no salary paid. No activity has been implemented on the ground and no fund has been disbursed by the project. However, the project team has prepared the AWPB2026 and the PPM. Some meeting with potential partner have been held and ToR and MoU drafted for further discussions with the project Executing partners.

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating	
Component 1: Climate information and early warning systems (SICA) for capacity building in adaptation, programming, and planning within the cocoa, rice, and cassava value chains	Outcome 3	This component aims to develop early warning systems and existing hydrometric observation networks in order to improve data collection, interpretation, and understanding for agricultural adaptation, and to enhance capacities to understand climate risks through training and capacity building, in order to better manage climate shocks for rural communities, cooperatives, farmers' organizations, extension agents, and decision-makers.	Delayed	For the time being, the project has identified the structures for installing weather stations and training farmers. The draft agreements are being reviewed by the legal departments of the parties involved, and the TORs for field activities are awaiting validation, but preparatory activities have been completed (agreements with support partners are in progress and TORs for some activities) (execution rate: 0%)	Marginally Satisfactory
Component 2: Climate-resilient agricultural production and post-harvest practices	Outcome 8	This component focuses on the rapid scaling up of selected CSA technologies and innovations through targeted interventions at	Delayed	Technology identification activities are pending the	Marginally Satisfactory

combined with livelihood diversification		the smallholder/household level to increase the resilience of agricultural systems to climate extremes. It aims to encourage farmers to adopt and implement the best available technologies and integrated varieties of resilient rice, cassava, and cocoa, as well as post-harvest practices to promote the resilience of cocoa, cassava, and rice production. It also aims to develop income-generating activities focused on climate-resilient fish farming in the Bandama River basin and fish preservation; it also targets the promotion of processing and marketing units as measures to diversify livelihoods due to climate change.		signing of the agreement with FAO; and the TOR for the CNRA mission on crop modeling and climate vulnerability assessment is currently being validated.- The project last met with FAO on Wednesday, May 20, 2026, where both teams reviewed the draft of the proposed agreement, which will be submitted to IFAD in the coming weeks (execution rate: 0%)	
Component 3: Institutional capacity building, policy engagement, and knowledge management.	Outcome 2	This component aims to develop the capacities of competent government agencies in terms of climate change adaptation, from the policy level to the implementation level. It therefore focuses on capacity building for key government institutions. The expected outcome of this component is the strengthening of the government's capacities in climate risk management (particularly the Ministry of Environment, SODEXAM): Number of employees from MINETE, MINADERPV, SODEXAM, and other sectors trained.	Delayed	These activities have been identified to be executed with the UNDP; to date, the project is pending the signing of the agreement with the UNDP to start (execution rate: 0%)	Marginally Satisfactory
Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the					

Rating section

Name	Email	Institution
Andé Jean Yves KONAN	andyveskajyr@gmail.com	Ministry in Charge of Environment

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Several developments represent significant progress. These include, in particular, the validation of the PTBA and the PPM. It is also worth noting the submission and processing of the first request for disbursement, in the amount of 1,080,149,954 CFA francs, or approximately 1,646,678 euros, representing about 32.8% of the total grant amount of 5,016,741 USD. Furthermore, the memoranda of understanding with UNDP for Component 1 and with FAO for Component 2 have been negotiated and are ready to be signed upon actual receipt of the funds. The signing of these agreements will accelerate the implementation of activities under both components, particularly through the use of faster and more appropriate implementation procedures, and should thus help to make up for the accumulated delay.

Other

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------	--------

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
------	-------

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall Rating

Overall rating

Marginally Satisfactory

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Despite initial implementation challenges, notable progress has been achieved. The validation of both the PTBA and the PPM represents a major step forward. Likewise, the first disbursement request has been completed and processed for CFAF 1,080,149,954 (around EUR 1,646,678), equivalent to 32.8% of the total grant amount of USD 5,016,741. At the same time, memoranda of understanding with UNDP for Component 1 and with FAO for Component 2 have been successfully negotiated and stand ready for signature once the funds are effectively received. The operationalization of these agreements is expected to significantly speed up activity implementation, thanks to more agile and suitable execution mechanisms, and thereby contribute to reducing the backlog accumulated to date.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc...)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Objectives	Number of beneficiaries (direct and indirect) (Number);	0	0	15,600 direct beneficiaries, of which 45% are women and 40% are youth. 93,600 indirect beneficiaries
Objectives	Number of smallholder farmers reporting an improvement in their living conditions	0	0	15,600 (100%)
Components	Number of farmers with increased access to reliable climate information and services (45% women) -	0	0	14 040 At least 90% of the targeted beneficiaries
Components	Number of met stations in the 3 targeted regions	22	0	At least 40 stations
Components	Number of farmers with increased capacity and understanding of climate risks in agriculture	0	0	13 260 (85 per cent of farming households (in project area))
Components	Number of government decision makers/ local authorities capacity and understanding of climate risks in agriculture (45% women with access to assets and property)	0	0	At least 45 (85 per cent of government decisions makers/local authorities (in project area))
Components	Number of farmers reporting more diverse income sources	0	0	9 360 (60 per cent of farming households (in project area))
Components	Number of farmers reporting an increase in cocoa productivity	0	0	13 260 (85 per cent of farming households (in project area))
Components	Number of farmers reporting an increase in rice productivity	0	0	13 260 (85 per cent of farming households (in

				project area))
Components	Number of farmers reporting an increase in cassava productivity	0	0	13 260 (85 per cent of farming households (in project area))
Components	Number of farmers adopting climate resilient farming practices	0	0	9 360 (60 per cent of farming households (in project area))
Components	Number of earth dams constructed	0	0	At least 6
Components	Number of fisherman adopting climate resilient fishing	0	0	At least 50
Components	- Number of staff of the MINEDD, MINADER, ministry of Rice, SODEXAM and other sector trained	0	0	Two technicians trained by PY1. Two meteorologists trained by PY3. 24 staff completed the training (12 by PY 1 and 12 by PY3)
Components	Number of sectoral policies integrating climate change risks (thanks to the training provided by the project)	0	0	At least one

Comments

No progress observed in the achievement of the targets because of the delay in the implementation of the project lined to the compliance with the first disbursement requirements and others administrative issues.

Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management		
Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)	Challenges	At this stage of implementation, no major change has been made to the project (PACCBCI) or its results framework. The objectives, components, expected results, and indicators remain consistent with the design document approved by the Adaptation Fund and administered by IFAD. However, in order to get effectively prepared for the start of the implementation on the ground, several operational adjustments were made during

		the launch phase
Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts?	Challenges	At this stage of implementation, no major changes have been made to the project (PACCB-CI) or to its results framework. The objectives, components, expected results, and indicators remain in line with the design document approved by the Adaptation Fund and administered by IFAD. However, in order to effectively prepare for the start of field implementation, several operational adjustments were made during the inception phase. Meetings held with technical implementing partners, such as the UNDP, revealed that the targets set for procurement are overestimated and that, given the amounts allocated to these activities, procurement will fall short of the planned targets.
How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.	Challenges	At this stage, no project activities have been carried out on the ground, and no environmental and social safeguard measures have been implemented so far, due to delays in meeting the conditions for the first disbursement. Furthermore, no funds have been transferred to the project's bank account to allow the launch of activities, nor even the payment of salaries. Consequently, it is not yet possible to evaluate the effectiveness of the safeguards based on their operational implementation. However, the project has integrated environmental and social requirements into the 2026 AWPB (Annual Work Plan and Budget), and the recruitment of a consultant is planned to support the project team in effectively implementing E&S safeguard measures. A gender assessment was conducted during the preparation phase of the PACCB, in order to identify

		the differentiated needs of women and men among the targeted smallholder farmers in the Bandama basin. This assessment was based on: Two consultation missions conducted in 2019, involving local stakeholders and producer organizations; A field survey conducted in 2020, allowing the collection of sex-disaggregated data on access to resources, inputs, and decision-making bodies. The results of this assessment guided the design of the project activities, notably measures aimed at strengthening women's equitable access to training, agricultural inputs, and local natural resource governance bodies. Beyond the assessment carried out upstream, the project plans to recruit a gender analysis consultant during the implementation phase. This mechanism aims to: Deepen the analysis of gender dynamics as project execution progresses; Support the operationalization of gender inclusion measures within the project's technical components; Ensure periodic monitoring of gender-sensitive indicators included in the logical framework. (Distribution of the number of beneficiaries, percentage of farmers with access to climate services, etc.)
Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?	Challenges	The late validation of methodological framework documents (The procurement arrangements document that defines procurement thresholds) constitutes a blocking prerequisite for configuring digital management tools (OPEN), with a direct cascading effect on the entire procurement schedule. Indeed, the platform configuration can only begin once the management rules (thresholds, applicable procedures) are

		formally validated. It is essential to prioritize and accelerate the validation of methodological framework documents right from the project's start. IFAD has been follow-up with to accelerate the validation of the project's procurement arrangements document with the government. The experience of launching the PACCB-CI highlights the importance of planning, as soon as the project is approved, for all preparatory activities and conditions necessary for the effective start of operations. The preparation of technical and financial documents, recruitment processes, the translation and validation of documents, as well as the fulfillment of preconditions for disbursement must be initiated early enough to minimize the risk of delays. The experience with the first disbursement also underscores the need to secure banking information and administrative and financial procedures in advance, particularly the details of the designated account. An error in the banking information can lead to a delay in funding the project account and, consequently, affect the start or continuity of operations. Therefore, for future operations, it is recommended that, upon approval of the financing, a start-up roadmap be established, including a timeline for preconditions, with systematic verification of administrative, technical, financial, and banking documents prior to the submission of disbursement requests. This approach would help reduce start-up delays, secure the first disbursement, and improve the Project's ability to quickly begin the planned activities.
--	--	--

What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress?	Challenges	At this stage of implementation, no adaptation activities have yet been carried out in the field, as the project has not yet received the first disbursement of resources from the Adaptation Fund. Consequently, the lessons learned primarily concern the project's start-up phase, particularly institutional, administrative, financial, and operational aspects. The main lesson learned from this start-up phase is that meeting the preconditions for disbursement does not, in and of itself, guarantee that activities will actually begin. It is essential that resource transfer mechanisms, national procedures, and administrative arrangements be fully operational in order to avoid delays that could compromise the effectiveness of climate change adaptation projects. Therefore, at this stage, the issue is basically related to the administrative requirements notably at the national level (to meet the first disbursement condition) and also at IFAD level (delay in transferring AF resources to the project bank account).
---	------------	---

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

Climate Resilience Measures	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness grants)	

What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?	
How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
Concrete Adaptation Interventions	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?	
What is the potential for the concrete adaptation interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Knowledge Management	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	
Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what channels of dissemination have been used?	
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever possible (e.g. project videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, training manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	
Innovation	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	
Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other climate finance? Or has the project build upon any	

other climate finance initiative?	
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report?

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries				
		Total	% of female beneficiaries	% of Youth beneficiaries
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0.00	0.00
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0.00	0.00
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0.00	0.00
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	15600	45.00	40.00
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	93600	45.00	40.00
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	109200	45.00	40.00
Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0.00	0.00
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			

Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0.00	0.00

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

	Number of targeted stakeholders - Total	Number of targeted stakeholders - % of female targeted	Hazards information generated and disseminated	Overall effectiveness
Baseline information	117		Drought	4: Effective
Target performance at completion	117		Drought	5: Very effective
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

	No. of projects/programme that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments	Sector	Scale	Status
Baseline information	1	Agriculture	Regional	3: Risk and vulnerability assessments completed or updated
Target performance at completion	2	Agriculture	Regional	3: Risk and vulnerability assessments completed or updated
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	No. of adopted	Category	Hazard	Geographical	Number of
--	----------------	----------	--------	--------------	-----------

	Early Warning Systems	targeted		coverage	municipalities
Baseline information	0	2: Monitoring and warning service	Inland flooding	Regional	13
Target performance at completion	1	2: Monitoring and warning service	Inland flooding	Regional	13
Performance at mid-term					
Performance at completion					

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased

	Number of staff targeted - Total	Number of staff targeted - % of female targeted	Sector	Capacity level
Baseline information	0	0.00	Agriculture	2: Low capacity
Target performance at completion	54		Agriculture	4: High capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	Total staff trained	% of female staff trained	Type
Baseline information	0	0.00	Public
Target performance at completion	30	45.00	
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	Type	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information	Public	National	Agriculture	2: Low capacity
Target performance at completion	Public	National	Agriculture	4: High capacity
Performance at mid-term				

Performance at completion				
Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance				
Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality				
	Number of beneficiaries	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information	0	National	Agriculture	2: Low capacity
Target performance at completion	12	National	Multi-sector	4: High capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes

Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses

	Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures	Sector
Baseline information	0.00	Agriculture
Target performance at completion	60.00	Agriculture
Performance at mid-term		
Performance at completion		

Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
Baseline information	0	0.00	1: Aware of neither
Target performance at completion	13260	45.00	5: Fully aware
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 3.2: Strengthened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committees/associations	Level of awareness
Baseline information	0	20% to 39%	5: Fully aware
Target performance at completion	300	40% to 60%	5: Fully aware
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
Baseline information	0	Technical guidelines	Regional
Target performance at completion	3	Technical guidelines	Regional
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets

Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
Baseline information	Agriculture	Regional	1: Non responsive (Lacks all elements)
Target performance at completion	Agriculture	Regional	4: Mostly responsive (Most defined elements)
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
Baseline information	Agriculture	2: Physical asset (produced/improved/strengthened)	1: Not improved
Target performance at completion	Agriculture	2: Physical asset (produced/improved/strengthened)	5: Fully improved
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in

response to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
Baseline information	0		Agriculture
Target performance at completion	178		Agriculture
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress

Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress

	Natural resource improvement level	Sector	Type
Baseline information	1: Ineffective	Agriculture	Land
Target performance at completion	4: Effective	Agriculture	Land
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilitated	Unit	Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation
Baseline information	Cultivated land/Agricultural land	0	ha rehabilitated	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	Cultivated land/Agricultural land	20	ha rehabilitated	5: Very effective
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas

Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	Improvement level
Baseline information	0	0.00	2: Limited improvement
Target performance at completion	15600	45.00	4: High improvement
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source
Baseline information	0	0.00	From 0 to 0.5%	Agricultural-related
Target performance at completion	15600	45.00	From 1% to 5%	Agricultural-related
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy
Baseline information	0	Human capital	Agriculture	Capacity development
Target performance at completion	1	Human capital	Agriculture	Capacity development
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)
Baseline information	0	Agricultural-related	
Target performance at completion	15600	Agricultural-related	
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience

measures

Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy

	Integration level
Baseline information	2: Most not integrated
Target performance at completion	4: Most
Performance at mid-term	
Performance at completion	

Output 7: Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
Baseline information	0	Agriculture	Regional	Other policy
Target performance at completion	1	Agriculture	Regional	Other policy
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced

	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness
Baseline information	0	1: Not enforced (No elements implemented))	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	1	4: Enforced (Most elements implemented)	4: Effective
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies

Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
Baseline information	Water management	Regional	Innovation rolled out
Target performance at completion			Innovation replicated
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, saled up, encourages and/or accelerated

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
Baseline information	0	Agriculture	No innovative practices	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	1	Agriculture	Completed innovation practices	4: Effective
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated

	No. of key findings generated	Type	Effectiveness
Baseline information	0	Innovation rolled out	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	1	Innovative product	4: Effective
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			