



ADAPTATION FUND

Project Performance Report

Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	3/21/2023 - 3/20/2024
Project Title	Rural Integrated Climate Adaptation and Resilience Building Project (RICAR)
Project Summary	
Database Number	AF00000210
Implementing Entity (IE)	UN World Food Programme
Type of IE	Multilateral Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Gambia, The
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	Central River Region (Kuntaur LGA: Chamen , Kuntaur , Karantaba , Pachonki, Banni , Panchang , Kaur , Njau , Nyanga Bantang , Ballangharr WARDS) Janjanbureh LGA: (Bansang , Sare Sofie , Dankunku , Katamina , Kudang , Jarreng , MacCarthy , Brikama Ba , Fulabantang, Kerewan WARDS) Upper River Region (Basse LGA: (Sutukoding, Misera, Diabugu, Julangel, Basse, Koina, Dampha Kunda, Sare Ngai WARDS)
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	Miranda Sende, Representative and Country Director, WFP Country Office in The Gambia

Project Milestones

AFB Approval Date	3/11/2021
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	6/2/2021
Start of Project/Programme	3/21/2022
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	3/21/2025
Original Completion Date	3/21/2027
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them

Category of condition	
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and

provide date(s) of submission for each
Inception report submitted in April 2022

List the Website address (URL) of project
No website available for the moment.

Project Contacts			
National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	Name	Email	Date
National Project Manager	Aji Oulaye Njie	aonijie@ricarmeccnar.gm	5/21/2024
Government(s) DA	Bubacar Zidi Jallow	bubacarzaidi@gmail.com	5/21/2024
Implementing Entity	Foday Kanyi	foday.kanyi@wfp.org	5/21/2024
Executing Agency	Ebraima Jawara	ebraima1999@yahoo.co.uk	5/21/2024

Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$)	\$3,124,586.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$)	\$209,463.08
Project disbursement rate (%)	31.58
Project execution rate (%)	2.27
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	
Investment Income (\$)	\$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$)	\$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	Amount (\$)
1.1.1	\$51,989.26
1.1.2	\$0.00
1.1.3	\$0.00
1.1.4	\$1,629.89
1.2.1	\$14,246.32
1.2.2	\$29,279.97
2.1.1	\$0.00
2.1.2	\$5,600.00
2.1.3	\$0.00
3.1.1	\$0.00
3.2.1	\$87,883.14
3.2.2	\$0.00
IE fee (\$)	\$31,204.07
Execution cost (\$)	\$289,434.07

Planned Expenditure Schedule		
Output	Projected Cost (\$)	Estimated Completion Date

1.1.1	\$11,400.00	3/31/2025
1.1.2	\$25,400.00	3/31/2025
1.1.3	\$1,400.00	3/31/2025
1.1.4	\$32,000.00	3/31/2025
1.2.1	\$1,400.00	3/31/2025
1.2.2	\$41,400.00	3/31/2025
2.1.1	\$34,400.00	3/31/2025
2.1.2	\$1,201,700.00	3/31/2025
2.1.3	\$641,400.00	3/31/2025
3.1.1	\$31,400.00	3/31/2025
3.2.1	\$253,650.00	3/31/2025
3.2.2	\$54,150.00	3/31/2025
IE fee (\$)		\$251,542.00
Execution cost (\$)		\$150,518.00

Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)	
Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$)	\$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$)	\$0.00
Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks		
List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them		
Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Political risk and COVID-19 Pandemic	Low	The political situation remains stable, even though the country and its economic situation is relatively fragile. No specific actions were needed to mitigate this risk during the current reporting period.
Financial Management	Low	WFP has conducted a procurement capacity assessment of government partners (Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources) to identify potential risks and make recommendations on mitigation measures. An agreement was reached on a threshold limit for which the Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources can undertake procurement of activities for goods and services (USD 20,000). Procurement processes above this threshold are done by the World Food Programme. The IE requires the EE to provide quarterly financial reporting (liquidation of advances) before transferring funds for the subsequent request

		to ensure financial transparency and maintain implementation quality. The Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources is working on meeting required procurement standards based on the recommendations from the procurement assessment eg. establishing clear segregation of roles/duties, tendering systems etc.
Implementation Risk	Low	The Project Technical Advisor was hired in project year one, providing critical technical support to the Project Management Unit and thus minimizing implementation risks. This includes ensuring proper oversight on contracting and implementation modalities.
Coordination amongst government agencies	Low	Both the technical and management structures were established in the first year of the project. These structures continue to effectively minimize implementation challenges, reducing the risk of competition and duplication for continuity at strategic level, and minimizing the risks.
Technical Capacity of government partners	Low	Since the beginning of the project the Project Management Team, with support from the IE, has been engaging with relevant and technical Implementing Partners (IPs) at regional and national levels to ensure results' sustainability and limit risks.
Weather related disasters such as floods and drought in project sites	Moderate	In the context of the project the team is putting in place a capacity-building program that raises awareness on climate adaptation planning and early warning (seasonal forecast, capacity building on climate information services), mitigating climate-related risks. The community assets that will be built by the project will also contribute to increasing resilience, further reducing climate change-induced risk.
Lack of quality seeds for climate resilient varieties, and multi-purpose trees	Low	The opportunity for smallholder farmers to access good quality seed is high thanks to the presence of 'seed grower associations' regulated and certified by the National Seed Secretariat and supported by National Agricultural Research Institute (NARI).
Environmental risk	Low	Although the intervention sites are known, the types of assets/investments are yet to be identified. Once the assets are identified, before their implementation they will be screened for environmental and social risks. Should a risk be identified, mitigation measures will be selected and implemented according to the project's Risk Management Plan.
Lack of maintenance of community level assets developed	Moderate	Communities will be supported to develop site-specific sustainability plans that will clarify respective responsibilities for the maintenance of the assets, and ensure that the capacities of responsible personnel are strengthened in line with their tasks. All contractors (infrastructure) will be required to develop maintenance manuals.

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? No

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
-----------------	----------------	------------------------------

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

No other risk mitigation measures were implemented besides the ones detailed in the "Identified risks" section.

ESP Compliance

Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? No

1.Compliance with the law

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

2.Access and equity

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Economic benefits from the project could potentially put groups or individuals at a disadvantage or lead to disagreements and minor conflicts, including on land tenure arrangements. There are some existing ethnic conflicts in the country linked to loss of land and livelihoods. Tensions have been reported between Wolof and Mandinka as well as within the caste system among the Gambian Sarahulleh communities. The project target areas are unlikely to include any such issue. With regard to land tenure, land types in

	<p>The Gambia are divided into three categories: freehold land, customary tenure, and leasehold land. Agricultural land access in the project area is regulated by customary rules and arrangements. In the customary system Alkalos (traditional chiefs) work with Area Councils to process land transaction documentation. However, individual's tenure under this arrangement could be at risk due to the lack of a formal land allocation system, which by rule of law would ensure land is rightfully bestowed to the owner. In this context, the creation of new assets, resources, or revenue-generating activities under Component 2 are expected to enhance the value of a given plot, triggering some risks in terms of access and equity in the long run, in favour of the most prominent members within a community.</p>
<p>List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.</p>	<p>Ensure consultation and implementation approaches are tailored to each community, implemented by local partners that know the context well. Record pre-existing tensions (particularly on natural resources access and use). Ensure all inclusive consultation. Provide feedback to stakeholders on consultations. Develop a proper community feedback mechanism. Record all opinions and feedbacks. Collect written proof of consultation and points agreed. Ensure management of groups/community assets through the establishment (where not existing) of management groups (i.e. associations). These groups should maintain the same targeting approach percentages used by the project for men and women/disadvantaged groups. Ensure the group/community agreement on land and natural resource access and use for the areas where the project activities will be implemented before implementation starts and ensure record keeping. Conduct awareness-raising sessions on tenure rights to be held at community level Ensure trainings for the Alkalos on processing land transaction documents to ensure a uniform record keeping system in coordination with the Area Councils. Ensure all groups and stakeholders in a given implementation area are duly involved during the consultations (consultations must be undertaken in all participants' languages/ dialects to facilitate understanding and active participation):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Record pre-existing tensions (particularly on natural resources access and use) among the different ethnic groups; • Record all opinions and feedbacks; • Set-up conflicts resolution procedures in consultation with all different stakeholders/ groups and collect written proof of consultation and agreement.
<p>List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Number of consultation reports elaborated (yearly) 2. % of new groups created and managed 3. % of new assets managed at community level or through associations (yearly) 4. Number of reports providing

	proof of agreement on land and Natural Resource access and use (yearly) 5. Number of awareness sessions (yearly) 6. Number of training on land transaction delivered to communities chiefs (yearly) 7. Number of consultation reports elaborated (yearly) 8. Number of conflicts resolution procedures setup at local level
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Concrete activities in the field have not started yet. Safeguard measures will be implemented as soon as implementation of field activities starts.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	As implementation of field-level activities with the communities has not yet begun, these risks persist.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	The safeguard measures identified at project design stage will be upheld throughout project implementation to ensure that any potential negative impacts of the project on access and equality will be avoided.

3. Marginalized and vulnerable Groups

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

4. Human rights

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	

List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
5. Gender equality and women's empowerment	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	1. Factors influencing the discrimination against women in terms of land ownership could pose some risks of women being excluded from the project's benefits in the long run. In fact, most women do not have primary rights on land. 2. Risk of increasing gender inequalities as soon as the production of the land they cultivate starts generating revenue as a consequence of the activities implemented by the project. Similarly, the use of farm inputs and implements may also be subject to men overarching power.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Set up women's groups to manage new assets (such as afforested areas/fruit tree planting etc.) at the community level or through associations, with a focus on shared benefits. Awareness-raising and sensitization sessions on land tenure rights and behavioral change for both women and men (particularly community leaders) to be held in the communities focusing on equal rights and rental conditions for men and women. Linkages with other ongoing similar activities should be sought by the project's implementers while involving relevant stakeholders (e.g. Women's Bureau/ local land administrators such as Area Councils).
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. Number of groups/associations established (yearly) and functional 2. Number of sessions held (yearly)
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	1. 0 2. 0

Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Concrete activities in the field have not started yet. Safeguard measures will be implemented as soon as implementation of field activities starts.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	As implementation of field-level activities with the communities has not yet begun, these risks persist.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	The safeguard measures identified at project design stage will be upheld throughout project implementation to ensure that any potential negative impacts of the project on gender equality and women's empowerment will be avoided.

6. Core labour rights

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

7. Indigenous people

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	

State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
8.Involuntary resettlement	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
9.Protection of natural habitats	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring	

indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
10. Conservation of biological diversity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
11. Climate change	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	

Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
12.Pollution prevention and resource efficiency	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
13.Public health	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk of injuries. Risk of slight increase in water borne disease.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Ensure adequate gear and equipment. Ensure adequate trainings, including on safe postharvest handling. Awareness and signs indicating the risk of drowning and the water depths on multi-purpose water ponds sites. Add indigenous larvae-eating fish species that feed on mosquito larvae to the water ponds.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. % of groups/communities provided with adequate equipment (yearly) 2. Number of trainings held (yearly) 3. Number of water ponds sites secured from this risk (yearly) 4. Number of water ponds were

	mitigation measure being implemented (yearly)
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	1. 0 2. 0 3. 0 4. 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Concrete activities in the field have not started yet. Safeguard measures will be implemented as soon as implementation of field activities starts.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	As implementation of field-level activities with the communities has not yet begun, these risks persist.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	The safeguard measures identified at project design stage will be upheld throughout project implementation to ensure that any potential negative impacts of the project on public health will be avoided.

14. Physical and cultural heritage

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

15. Lands and soil conservation

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the	

safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required

Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out?	Yes
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	No
If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	

Section 3: Categorisation

Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant?	Yes
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	

Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	WFP HQ Environmental and Social Safeguards team with the regional office focal point have provided a capacity strengthening of key staff from the IE on how to ensure project's ESP compliance. The project's Technical Advisor will serve as the focal point in ensuring they are properly monitored, evaluated, reported on, and addressed in close collaboration with Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources. The project will comply with national policies, especially national environmental regulations.
Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	MECCNAR is being supported on similar capacity building programmes with Implementing partners. They have equally assigned the Regional Project Coordinator to properly monitor, evaluate, report on, and address ESP compliance. This will facilitate the effective coordination of the implementation and reporting of ESS activities at regional and district levels. All implementing partners under formal agreements will MECCNAR will have safeguards

	responsibilities outlined. Cooperating Partners (CPs) will need to report the EE on implementation accordingly.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	Yes

Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.

Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	No
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details.	Yes
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled?	Yes
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	No

Identified USPs in the reporting period	Application of ESMP to the USP	ESP risks identified for the USP	Has an impact assessment been carried out?	Consultation held for risks and impacts identification for USP	Gender disaggregation to identify risks and impacts	Safeguard measures identified for the USP	Monitoring indicator(s) for each impact
---	--------------------------------	----------------------------------	--	--	---	---	---

Section 6: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process	Provide the status/outcome
--	--	----------------------------

Comments

The required capacity to implement the ESMP is present within the IE and the EE. Key IE staff received capacity strengthening from WFP HQ Environmental and Social Safeguards team and the regional office focal point to provide appropriate support and oversight on the project's ESP compliance. Within the EE and government partners, responsibilities for ESP implementation and oversight have been clearly assigned to key focal points at the national, regional and community level. Moreover, agreements and contracts with strategic and cooperating partners are being drafted with ESP requirements in mind, ensuring that appropriate monitoring and reporting is in place to uphold these standards. As soon as USPs are identified, roles and responsibilities will be clarified once again, and additional capacity strengthening will be provided if needed to ensure a smooth screening process of USPs and effective implementation with communities in full compliance with the ESMP.

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

Gender-responsive element	Level	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Rated result for the reporting period
Develop knowledge and awareness to underpin evidence based resilience building and adaptation activities, particularly for women and youth, and enhance capacity for systematic sub-national level adaptation planning	Output	1.1.2 % of targeted population sensitized on climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, disaggregated by gender and age	0	70% of population in targeted localities - 70,560 women and 47,040 men	Good
Develop knowledge and awareness to underpin evidence based resilience building and adaptation activities, particularly for women and youth, and enhance capacity for systematic sub-national level adaptation planning	Output	1.1.3 Number of women and youth from target localities engaging in national platforms on climate change	0	At least 15 women and 15 youth (8 female, 7 male)	Good
Develop knowledge and	Outcome	1.2 Percentage of staff in targeted	0	At least 70%	Good

awareness to underpin evidence based resilience building and adaptation activities, particularly for women and youth, and enhance capacity for systematic sub-national level adaptation planning		subnational institutions reporting increased ability to respond to and mitigate impacts of climate-related events through local adaptation planning and implementation, by gender			
Develop knowledge and awareness to underpin evidence based resilience building and adaptation activities, particularly for women and youth, and enhance capacity for systematic sub-national level adaptation planning	Output	Number of members of subnational structures trained on climate change and adaptation planning (by gender)	0	60 in total: 36 women and 24 men	Good
Implement concrete resilience building and adaptation measures in the project target areas	Outcome	2.1 Percentage of targeted population reporting ongoing benefits from enhanced livelihood asset base, by gender	0	50%	Poor
Develop incentives, targeting women and youth, and risk transfer mechanisms, targeting smallholder farmers, for sustainable resilience building and adaptive capacity	Outcome	3.1 Number of targeted women and youth reporting they have effective agency and have engendered change in their communities on adaptation practices	22.7%	50% increase on baseline value	Poor
Develop	Output	Number of	0	3	Good

incentives, targeting women and youth, and risk transfer mechanisms, targeting smallholder farmers, for sustainable resilience building and adaptive capacity		annual competitions held targeting women and youth as change agents			
Develop incentives, targeting women and youth, and risk transfer mechanisms, targeting smallholder farmers, for sustainable resilience building and adaptive capacity	Outcome	3.2 Average % of premium paid in cash by participants, by gender of household head	0	25% of premium paid in cash	Good
Develop incentives, targeting women and youth, and risk transfer mechanisms, targeting smallholder farmers, for sustainable resilience building and adaptive capacity	Output	3.2.1 Number of people insured through risk management interventions (WFP CRF G1), by gender	0	3070 - 1842 women and 1228 men	Good
Develop incentives, targeting women and youth, and risk transfer mechanisms, targeting smallholder farmers, for sustainable resilience building and adaptive capacity	Output	3.2.2 Percentage of farmers in targeted communities with access to savings products and micro-finance, by gender	10.5% Female and 2.8% Male	50% increase on Baseline	Good
Implement concrete resilience	Output	2.1 Proportion of the population in targeted	0	At least 70% of beneficiary HHs, by gender	Poor

building and adaptation measures in the project target areas		communities reporting environmental benefits			
Implement concrete resilience building and adaptation measures in the project target areas	Output	2.1.3 Quantity of food procured for HGSM from local farmers (by gender) in targeted communities	0	50% procured from women	Poor

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
NA		NA

Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	The IE, supported by the Gender focal point at the regional office, has been supporting the Project Management Team in finalizing the gender mainstreaming guidelines to support the mainstreaming of gender by implementing partners in all the components of the project. A set of targeting criteria has been developed as a guide to prevent women been marginalized during the process of beneficiary identification. As part of the Local Climate Change Action Planning processes, a guideline was developed to include results from climate change gender-sensitive studies to facilitate an inclusive planning process at community, ward, and regional levels.
Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	The project commissioned a series of gender-integrated studies to guide the integration of gender-sensitive and gender-transformative actions throughout project implementation. 3 studies were conducted: 1. Gender-Integrated Inventories of Climate-Related Trainings at the Sub-National Level: This assessment aims to explore the status of gender-sensitive climate change-related training and knowledge at the sub-national level, alongside a thorough stocktaking effort that delves into various approaches to local climate change planning. 2. Gender-Integrated Guidelines for Systematic Training on Climate Change: This document outlines

	comprehensive guidelines for developing gender-integrated training strategies focused on climate change within the framework of Local Climate Change Action Plans. 3. Capacity Development Plan, Manuals and other training materials for systematic training: The purpose of this manual is to provide trainers with guidance for developing gender-focused training programs on climate change, its impacts, adaptation strategies, and best practices. These studies and guideline will inform the implementation of the project, ensuring full compliance with the AF Gender Policy.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	No

Section 4: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process used	Provide the status/outcome
---	---	----------------------------

Comments

Key lessons learned from the gender studies include: 1. Using gender mainstreaming can serve as a vital entry point to enhance adaptation planning. Gender equality and women empowerment's principles will be applied to all stages of the development of local adaptation plans, ensuring adequate participation of women and mindful inclusion of gender-specific barriers. 2. To promote gender-integrated climate-related training effectively, it is crucial to understand previous training efforts in this area, as they can guide the decision-making on how to respond to current challenges posed by climate change but also ensure that the future strategies are developed inclusively and consider the vital contributions of women and girls, integrating them into the broader conversation on climate adaptation and resilience. 3. It was observed that the number of trained females at the subnational level is lower than the number of male trainees as a result of women's hindered access to education due to socio-economic barriers, contributing to large gender gaps in labor market outcomes. Although part of the solution goes beyond the scope of this project, the project will ensure that adequate targeting and awareness raising is done at community level to ensure effective participation of women to project activities and trainings. 4. It was noted that stakeholders, including government agencies and non-profits, recognize the importance of climate training. However, there's a notable gap in terms of gender considerations within the design and the delivery of these programs, underscoring the need for gender-sensitive training. To address this gap, the designed a manual for a training program on climate change, gender, and agriculture to support and guide the rollout of gender-sensitive training activities.

Rating

Implementing Entity

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Outcome 1.1 Enhanced knowledge and awareness on the climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, and systems to underpin evidence-based adaptation	Outcome 3	Produce a study report on climate change projections in the near-, medium and long-term	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 1.1 Enhanced knowledge and awareness on the climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, and systems to underpin evidence-based adaptation	Outcome 3	Design and produce tailored awareness-raising messages and materials on climate change, food security, and nutrition nexus'	Delayed	Satisfactory
Outcome 1.1 Enhanced knowledge and awareness on the climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, and systems to underpin evidence-based adaptation	Outcome 3	Continuous support to women and youth in engaging National platforms on climate change	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 1.2 Capacity enhanced on climate change for systematic and effective sub-national planning	Outcome 2	60 local government staff at sub-national level have their capacity enhanced on systematic adaptation planning on climate change adaptation	Ontrack	Highly Satisfactory
Outcome 2.1 Increased adaptive capacity and resilience of targeted communities through concrete adaptation and diversified livelihoods	Outcome 6	57 community-based Local Climate Change Action Plans (LCCAPs) on Climate Change Adaptation developed	Delayed	Satisfactory
Outcome 2.1 Increased adaptive capacity and resilience of targeted communities through concrete adaptation and diversified livelihoods	Outcome 6	Value Chain Analysis, cost-benefit analysis, and ESS are conducted to determine investments in the communities	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 3.1 Women and youth are incentivized to become change agents	Outcome 6	Supported women and youth in implementing adaptation practices through incentives for climate resilient building	Delayed	Satisfactory
Outcome 3.2 Smallholder farmers adopt sustainable pathways for risk transfer to increase longer-term	Outcome 8	Enroll smallholder farmers into microinsurance scheme and build capacities of 1,000 smallholder farmers on financial literacy and create access to other financial services	Delayed	Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
Foday Kanyi	foday.kanyi@wfp.org

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The ratings are generally satisfactory, even though delays were realized in completing the initial activities, significant improvement was made in completing the planned activities. The study on the Local Climate Change Impact Analysis (LCCIA) for specific crops grown and livestock breeds kept by farmers to understand how these will be impacted in the near-, medium, and long-term, using the most up-to-date and suitable climate projections has been completed and report validated and submitted. The consultancy services of Gender-integrated studies (Stocking taking exercise, Manual, and the Guidelines development) are also concluded. During the period under review, three bulletins were produced for early warning on food security coordinated by the Department of Water Resources for the multistakeholder working group (NDMA, Dept. of Livestock, Dept. of Agriculture, etc.). These are all part of the initial activities planned for evidence generation. The Department of Community Development, the Implementing Partner (IP) was able to implement the MOU on the development of Local Climate Change Action Plans (LCCAPs) which is nearly completion, although due to delays an addendum was done to give room to finalize all the plans developed by the communities. The setting up of a toll-free call center is in progress and per Standard Operation Procedure (SOP). The capacities of committee members were built on the tools for reporting. Even though the Gambia doesn't regulate Weather Index-Based Micro-insurance, the project has developed insurance products on Maize, Groundnut, rainfed Rice, and Millet which were tested through a Dry run and results validated for implementation. The national Micro-insurance committee was established and chaired by the Central Bank of The Gambia with other efforts at the regional and national level for the establishment of the micro-insurance infrastructure. The consultancy firm identified has commenced the software development and the implementation of the Monitoring, Evaluation, and Knowledge Management System which will be handed over to the Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources. Support to women and youth in engaging National platforms on climate change dialogue has been implemented where twenty-eight women and youth change agents participated. This high-level platform was organized by the National Youth Council to engage all the relevant stakeholders on climate change. Guidelines and tools developed to support women and youth in implementing adaptation practices through incentives for climate-resilient building. A capacity enhancement strategy has been developed for local government staff at the sub-national level on systematic adaptation planning for climate change. Value Chain Analysis and Market Assessment have been initiated to promote value chains identified during the LCCIA within the project communities. A procurement process commences for the engagement of a service provider for capacity building of smallholder farmers on financial literacy and creating access to other financial services including credit and loan schemes. The project continued to receive technical support from the WFP Regional Bureau in Dakar (RBD) and WFP headquarters on different components

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Outcome 1.1 Enhanced knowledge and awareness on the climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, and systems to underpin evidence-based adaptation	Outcome 3	Produce a study report on climate change projections in the near-, medium and long-term	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 1.1 Enhanced knowledge and awareness on the climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, and systems to underpin evidence-based adaptation	Outcome 3	Design and produce tailored awareness-raising messages and materials on climate change, food security, and nutrition nexus'	Delayed	Satisfactory
Outcome 1.1 Enhanced knowledge and awareness on the climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, and systems to underpin evidence-based adaptation	Outcome 3	Continuous support to women and youth in engaging National platforms on climate change	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 1.2 Capacity enhanced on	Outcome 2	60 local government staff at	Ontrack	Satisfactory

climate change for systematic and effective sub-national planning		sub-national level have their capacity enhanced on systematic adaptation planning on climate change adaptation		
Outcome 2.1 Increased adaptive capacity and resilience of targeted communities through concrete adaptation and diversified livelihoods	Outcome 6	57 community-based Local Climate Change Action Plans (LCCAPs) on Climate Change Adaptation developed	Delayed	Satisfactory
Outcome 2.1 Increased adaptive capacity and resilience of targeted communities through concrete adaptation and diversified livelihoods	Outcome 6	Value Chain Analysis, cost-benefit analysis, and ESS are conducted to determine investments in the communities	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 3.1 Women and youth are incentivized to become change agents	Outcome 6	Supported women and youth in implementing adaptation practices through incentives for climate resilient building	Delayed	Satisfactory
Outcome 3.2 Smallholder farmers adopt sustainable pathways for risk transfer to increase longer-term	Outcome 8	Enroll smallholder farmers into microinsurance scheme and build capacities of 1,000 smallholder farmers on financial literacy and create access to other financial services	Delayed	Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email	Institution
Aji Oulaye Njie	aonjie@ricarmeccnar.gm	MECCNAR (EE)

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project achieved progress in evidence generation with the completion of the Local Climate Change Impact Analysis (LCCIA) study and gender-integrated studies, leading to the development of a training manual and guidelines for gender integration in adaptation planning. However, the potential for enhanced community climate change awareness could have further strengthened the LCCIA data. A Monitoring, Evaluation and Knowledge Management System is under development, with stakeholder consultations demonstrating interest from the Ministry of Environment, Climate Change and Natural Resources (MECCNAR). Local Action Planning advanced with the implementation of a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) for developing Local Climate Change Action Plans (LCCAPs) and the establishment of Community Feedback Mechanisms (CFMs) based on Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) in three project areas. Capacity-building efforts included the participation of women and youth in a high-level climate change dialogue platform, which notably led to the development of a National & Global Youth Statement on climate policy demands. Additionally, significant progress was made in the Climate Risk Transfer component with the design and validation of insurance products, including dry runs and product testing alongside farmer sensitization initiatives. While initial activity delays had a cascading effect due to the interconnected nature of project components, all preparatory work is now complete. Notably, capacity-building efforts remain crucial for successful project implementation, particularly for subnational authorities on adaptation planning and extension workers on climate information services dissemination. These capacity gaps contributed to setbacks in outreach and sensitization programs, as well as the postponement of last-mile climate information dissemination activities. With preparatory work finalized, the project is well-positioned for investment and implementation in the coming year.

Other

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------	--------

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
------	-------

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall Rating

Overall rating

Satisfactory

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The ratings are generally satisfactory, even though delays were realized in completing the initial activities, significant improvement was made in completing the planned activities. The study on the Local Climate Change Impact Analysis (LCCIA) for specific crops grown and livestock breeds kept by farmers to understand how these will be impacted in the near-, medium, and long-term, using the most up-to-date and suitable climate projections has been completed and report validated and submitted. The consultancy services of Gender-integrated studies (Stocking taking exercise, Manual, and the Guidelines development) are also concluded. During the period under review, three bulletins were produced for early warning on food security coordinated by the Department of Water Resources for the multistakeholder working group (NDMA, Dept. of Livestock, Dept. of Agriculture, etc.). These are all part of the initial activities planned for evidence generation. The Department of Community Development, the Implementing Partner (IP) was able to implement the MOU on the development of Local Climate Change Action Plans (LCCAPs) which is nearly completion, although due to delays an addendum was done to give room to finalize all the plans developed by the communities. The setting up of a toll-free call center is in progress and per Standard Operation Procedure (SOP). The capacities of committee members were built on the tools for reporting. Even though the Gambia doesn't regulate Weather Index-Based Micro-insurance, the project has developed insurance products on Maize, Groundnut, rainfed Rice, and Millet which were tested through a Dry run and results validated for implementation. The national Micro-insurance committee was established and chaired by the Central Bank of The Gambia with other efforts at the regional and national level for the establishment of the micro-insurance infrastructure. The consultancy firm identified has commenced the software development and the implementation of the Monitoring, Evaluation, and Knowledge Management System which will be handed over to the Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources. Support to women and youth in engaging National platforms on climate change dialogue has been implemented where twenty-eight women and youth change agents participated. This high-level platform was organized by the National Youth Council to engage all the relevant stakeholders on climate change. Guidelines and tools developed to support women and youth in implementing adaptation practices through incentives for climate-resilient building. A capacity enhancement strategy has been developed for local government staff at the sub-national level on systematic adaptation planning for climate change. Value Chain Analysis and Market Assessment have been initiated to promote value chains identified during the LCCIA within the project communities. A procurement process commences for the engagement of a service provider for capacity building of smallholder farmers on financial literacy and creating access to other financial services including credit and loan schemes. The project continued to receive technical support from the WFP Regional Bureau in Dakar (RBD) and WFP headquarters on different components.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc...)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Objectives	Percentage of HHs in targeted communities with borderline and acceptable Food Consumption Score	70% (Acceptable 35% + Borderline 35%)	Not yet available	FCS acceptable + poor = baseline +20%
Objectives	Minimum dietary diversity women (MDD-W)	80%	Not yet available	The current target is 15% increase. The set target during the project design was 30% increase for Minimum dietary diversity women (MDD-W). However, the baseline revealed that the baseline is already quite high (80%). Therefore the target has been revised to a 15% increase which is more realistic and appropriate considering the baseline.
Objectives	Livelihood-based Coping Strategy Index (% of households not recurring to any livelihood coping strategy)	32%	Not yet available	At least 40% increase in the number of targeted households not recurring to any livelihood coping strategy
Outcomes	1.1 Percentage of targeted population aware of appropriate adaptation responses to climate change affecting food security/nutrition	77%	Not yet available	At least 95%. The baseline study suggested 95% increase, because the project target of 70% cannot be lower than the baseline value of 77%
Outputs	1.1.1 Number of studies based on	0	1	2

	climate change projections and disaggregated vulnerability assessments			
Outputs	1.1.1 Developed and functioning knowledge management system	0	1	1
Outputs	1.1.2 % of targeted population sensitized on climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, disaggregated by gender and age	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	70% of population in targeted localities
Outputs	1.1.3 Number of women and youth from target localities engaging in national platforms on climate change	0	22 (13 females and 9 male)	At least 15 women and 15 youth (8 female, 7 male)
Outputs	1.1.4 Percentage of targeted villages that receive last mile climate services	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	80% of targeted Villages
Outcomes	1.2 Percentage of staff in targeted subnational institutions reporting increased ability to respond to and mitigate impacts of climate related events through local adaptation planning and implementation, by gender	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	At least 50% increase for both males and females. It is proposed to reduce the target from 70% (as per project proposal) to 50%. The baseline study suggested that starting from a baseline of 0, 70% would not be a realistic target while 50% would be more achievable
Outputs	1.2.1 Inventory of climate change knowledge and training approaches	0	1	1
Outputs	1.2.1 Guideline's capacity development for LCCAP process	0	1	1
Outputs	1.2.2 Number of members of subnational structures trained on climate change and	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	60

	adaptation planning (by gender)			
Outcomes	2.1 Percentage of targeted population reporting ongoing benefits from enhanced livelihood asset base, by gender	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	50%
Outcomes	2.1 Proportion of the population in targeted communities reporting environmental benefits	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	At least 70% of beneficiary HHs, by gender
Outcomes	2.1 Percentage of targeted smallholders selling through WFP supported farmer aggregation systems	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	33%
Outputs	2.1.1 Percentage of targeted communities that have developed LCCAPs	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	100%
Outputs	2.1.2 No. and type of adaptation assets (Tangible and intangible) created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	TBD during participatory LCCAP planning Process
Outputs	2.1.2 Number of community productive assets created through the project	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	35 community assets established
Outputs	2.1.3 Number of Value chain analyses for climate resilient and nutritious crops	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	3
Outputs	2.1.3 Quantity of food procured for HGSF from local farmers (by gender) in targeted communities	959.44 mt of locally produced rice and beans.	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	50% increase
Outcomes	3.1 Number of targeted women and youth reporting, they have effective agency and have	22.70%	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	50% increase on baseline value

	engendered change in their communities on adaptation practices			
Outputs	3.1.1 Number of annual competitions held targeting women and youth as change agents	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	3
Outcomes	3.2 Average % of premium paid in cash by participants, by gender of household head	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	25% of premium paid in cash
Outputs	3.2.1 Number of people insured through risk management interventions (WFP CRF G1), by gender	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	3,070
Outputs	3.2.1 Total sum insured through risk management interventions (WFP CRF G3)	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	TBD
Outputs	3.2.1 Weather Project index micro Report insurance product for drought and dryspells designed and functional	0	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	1
Outputs	3.2.2 Percentage of farmers in targeted communities with access to savings products and microfinance, by gender	10.5% for Female and 2.8% for Male	This activity will be implemented in the next reported period	50% increase from Baseline (both for male and female)

Comments

Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management		
Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)	Opportunities	No changes were made.
Have the environmental and social	Opportunities	The awareness raising and

<p>safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts?</p>		<p>training activities on ESS for the IE and EE teams in-country described in the section "ESP compliance" were effective in strengthening the project team's capacity to uphold safeguard measures as soon as field-level activities begin (in project year 3).</p>
<p>How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.</p>	<p>Opportunities</p>	<p>Gender and social inclusion are very strong priorities for the project and are at the heart of multiple project activities. The project targets 60% of women, due to their heightened vulnerability to climate change. Both gender mainstreaming guidelines and targeting guidelines developed during project year 2 (please see the GP Compliance section for more information) have provided valuable insight on how best to ensure that women can fully take part in project activities and benefit for its results.</p>
<p>Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?</p>	<p>Challenges</p>	<p>Yes, the delay was due to long processes and procedures for Climate Change adaptation planning at the community level due to inadequate organizational structures to facilitate/enhance community participation. The project has reorganized the communal organizational structures (Village Development Committees), and conducted Training of Trainers to strengthen the capacity of the village-level key focal points to conduct the actual planning at the community level.</p>
<p>What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress?</p>	<p>Challenges & Opportunities</p>	<p>Positive: The project has established the National Micro-insurance Committee with the main objective of ensuring the efficiency and effectiveness of micro-insurance programs in The Gambia and streamlining processes in a coordinated manner. This has contributed to strengthening the micro-insurance landscape at the</p>

		<p>national level and created a positive dynamic and momentum between key stakeholders. Negative: The absence of a regulatory framework for micro-insurance in the Gambia has hindered the progress of the enrolment of the smallholder farmers beneficiaries into the scheme. Nonetheless, strategies were formulated to work with mandated insurers to take up the risk (eg. Africa Risk Capacity Limited) and the index products were also designed in advance and validated with the selection targeting criteria.</p>
--	--	--

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

Climate Resilience Measures	
<p>What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?</p>	
<p>What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?</p>	
Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness grants)	
<p>What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?</p>	
<p>How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?</p>	
Concrete Adaptation Interventions	
<p>What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation</p>	

interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?	
What is the potential for the concrete adaptation interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Knowledge Management	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	
Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what channels of dissemination have been used?	
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever possible (e.g. project videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, training manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	
Innovation	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	
Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other climate finance? Or has the project build upon any other climate finance initiative?	
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report? Before Midterm

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries

		Total	% of female beneficiaries	% of Youth beneficiaries
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	168000	60	30
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	224000	60	30
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	392000	60	30
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	168000	60	30
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	224000	60	30
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	392000	60	30
Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

	Number of targeted stakeholders - Total	Number of targeted stakeholders - % of	Hazards information	Overall effectiveness
--	--	---	----------------------------	------------------------------

		female targeted	generated and disseminated	
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

	No. of projects/programme that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments	Sector	Scale	Status
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	No. of adopted Early Warning Systems	Category targeted	Hazard	Geographical coverage	Number of municipalities
Baseline information					
Target performance at completion					
Performance at mid-term					
Performance at completion					

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased

	Number of staff targeted - Total	Number of staff targeted - % of	Sector	Capacity level

		female targeted		
Baseline information	60	30	Multi-sector	2: Low capacity
Target performance at completion	60	30	Multi-sector	4: High capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	Total staff trained	% of female staff trained	Type
Baseline information	0	0	Private
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	Type	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information	Public	Sub-National	Multi-sector	2: Low capacity
Target performance at completion	Public	Sub-National	Multi-sector	4: High capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

	Number of beneficiaries	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes

Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses

	Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures	Sector
Baseline information	0	Multi-sector
Target performance at completion	30	Multi-sector
Performance at mid-term		
Performance at completion		

Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
Baseline information	168000	60	3: Partially aware
Target performance at completion	168000	60	5: Fully aware
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 3.2: Strengthened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committees/associations	Level of awareness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			

Performance at completion			

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets

Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress

Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress

	Natural resource improvement level	Sector	Type

Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilitated	Unit	Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas

Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	Improvement level
Baseline information	0	60	2: Limited improvement
Target performance at completion	1140	60	4: High improvement
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy
Baseline information	0	Adaptation strategies	Multi-sector	Supporting livelihoods
Target performance at completion	35	Adaptation strategies	Multi-sector	Supporting livelihoods
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures

Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy

	Integration level
Baseline information	
Target performance at completion	
Performance at mid-term	
Performance at completion	

Output 7: Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced

	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies

Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
Baseline information	Innovative adaptation financing	Local	Innovation rolled out
Target performance at completion	Innovative adaptation financing	Local	Innovation rolled out
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, scaled up, encourages and/or accelerated

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
Baseline information	1	Other	No innovative practices	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	1	Other	Undertaking innovative practices	4: Effective
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated

	No. of key findings generated	Type	Effectiveness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			

Performance at completion			