



ADAPTATION FUND

Project Performance Report

Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	3/21/2022 - 3/20/2023
Project Title	Rural Integrated Climate Adaptation and Resilience Building Project (RICAR)
Project Summary	
Database Number	AF00000210
Implementing Entity (IE)	UN World Food Programme
Type of IE	Multilateral Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Gambia, The
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	Central River Region (Kuntaur LGA: Chamen , Kuntaur , Karantaba , Pachonki, Banni , Panchang , Kaur , Njau , Nyanga Bantang , Ballangharr WARDS) Janjanbureh LGA: (Bansang , Sare Sofie , Dankunku , Katamina , Kudang , Jarreng , MacCarthy , Brikama Ba , Fulabantang, Kerewan WARDS) Upper River Region (Basse LGA: (Sutukoding, Misera, Diabugu, Julangel, Basse, Koina, Dampha Kunda, Sare Ngai WARDS)
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	Yasuhiro TSUMURA, Representative and Country Director, WFP Country Office in The Gambia

Project Milestones	
AFB Approval Date	3/11/2021
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	6/2/2021
Start of Project/Programme	3/21/2022
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	3/21/2025
Original Completion Date	3/21/2026
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them	
Category of condition	
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and

provide date(s) of submission for each
Inception report submitted in April 2022

List the Website address (URL) of project
No website available for the moment.

Project Contacts			
National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	Name	Email	Date
Coordinator	Aji Oulaye Njie	aonjie@ricarmeccnar,gm	5/26/2023
Government(s) DA	Bubacarr Zidi Jallow	bubacarzaidi@gmail.com	4/27/2023
Implementing Entity	Foday Kanyi	foday.kanyi@wfp.org	5/29/2023
Executing Agency	Aji oulaye Njie	aonjie@ricarmeccnar.gm	5/26/2023

Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$)	\$895,168.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$)	\$120,629.00
Project disbursement rate (%)	9.71
Project execution rate (%)	1.31
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	Please note that WFP provides direct project services to this project, therefore part of the funds are directly expended by WFP and not transferred to the EE. The estimated cumulative disbursement at 31 March 2023 is USD 226,755. USD 287,451 is at Pre-committed and USD 150,383 has already been committed as at the date of this report. Total commitment and pre-committment is at USD 437,834.
Investment Income (\$)	\$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$)	\$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	Amount (\$)
Output 1.1.1 Studies based on updated climate change projections to understand specific impacts on and vulnerabilities of target populations	\$2,183.00
Output 1.1.2 Targeted awareness raising on climate change, food security and nutrition, focusing on pathways for women and youth to be change agents	\$0.00
Output 1.1.3 National platforms for women and youth to engage in multi-stakeholder dialogues on climate change	\$0.00
Output 1.1.4 Existing climate services systems scaled out to target populations	\$0.00
Output 1.2.1 Systematic approach for climate change capacity development and planning at sub-national level	\$0.00
Output 1.2.2 Members of sub-national structures trained on climate change and systematic adaptation planning	\$0.00
Output 2.1.2 Concrete resilience building and adaptation measures implemented	\$0.00

Output 2.1.3 Diversified livelihoods developed through value chain and marketing support for climate-resilient value chains	\$0.00
Output 3.1.1 Incentives for sustainable resilience building developed and implemented	\$1,468.00
Output 3.2.1 Risk transfer mechanism for smallholder farmers tested and implemented	\$14,059.00
Output 3.2.2 Farmers have access to savings products and microfinance	\$1,125.00
Output 2.1.1 Communities develop Local Climate Change Action Plans (LCCAPs)	\$0.00
IE fee (\$)	\$23,764.00
Execution cost (\$)	\$184,156.00

Planned Expenditure Schedule

Output	Projected Cost (\$)	Estimated Completion Date
Output 1.1.1 Studies based on updated climate change projections to understand specific impacts on and vulnerabilities of target populations	\$170,617.00	3/21/2024
Output 1.1.2 Targeted awareness raising on climate change, food security and nutrition, focusing on pathways for women and youth to be change agents	\$41,800.00	3/21/2024
Output 1.1.3 National platforms for women and youth to engage in multi-stakeholder dialogues on climate change	\$12,800.00	3/21/2024
Output 1.1.4 Existing climate services systems scaled out to target populations	\$64,000.00	3/21/2024
Output 1.2.1 Systematic approach for climate change capacity development and planning at sub-national level	\$77,800.00	3/21/2024
Output 1.2.2 Members of sub-national structures trained on climate change and systematic adaptation planning	\$62,800.00	3/21/2024
Output 2.1.1 Communities develop Local Climate Change Action Plans (LCCAPs)	\$54,800.00	3/21/2024
Output 2.1.2 Concrete resilience building and adaptation measures implemented	\$1,273,924.00	3/21/2024
Output 2.1.3 Diversified livelihoods developed through value chain and marketing support for climate-resilient value chains	\$498,800.00	3/21/2024
Output 3.1.1 Incentives for sustainable resilience building developed and implemented	\$40,332.00	3/21/2024
Output 3.2.1 Risk transfer mechanism for smallholder farmers tested and implemented	\$251,241.00	3/21/2024
Output 3.2.2 Farmers have access to savings products and microfinance	\$65,675.00	3/21/2024
IE fee (\$)		\$183,552.00
Execution cost (\$)		\$92,880.00

Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)

Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$)	\$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$)	\$0.00

Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	
---	--

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks		
List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them		
Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Political risk and COVID-19 Pandemic	Low	No action is taken by the project, the political situation remains stable even though, local government elections are scheduled to take place in April and may respectively. Covid 19 restrictions are eased by the government but the project will remains vigilant in anticipating any eventuality.
Financial Management	Low	WFP has conducted an assessment of the procurement processes of government partner (Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources) in order to identify potential risks and make recommendation on mitigation measures. An agreement was reached on a threshold limit for which Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources can only undertake procurement of activities for goods and services (USD 20,000). World Food Programme continue to use its procurement procedures, except for Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources Project Support Unit office supplies and items below the threshold. World Food Programme has established the quarterly financial reporting (liquidation of advances) before transferring of funds for the subsequent request, this ensures maintained implementation quality. Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources is working on to meet the procurement standards eg. to adopt a clear segregation of roles/duties are in place during the procurement processes.
Implementation Risk	Low	WFP has hired and seconded the Project Technical Advisor to Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources. The Advisor sits with the team of the Project Management Unit ensuring proper reviews are conducted during the development of Terms of Reference (ToRs) for contracting of consultancies on specific studies. Specialist inputs will continue to be provided during the development and implementation of community assets that will be created during Local Climate Change Aaction Plans (LCCAPs). WFP engineers will always secure /seek for professional advice and support from experts. Community-based approach/ mechanisms will be adopted that will ensure procedures developed to clarify ownership over assets developed and after the project. A clear and participatory criterion was developed for the identification of project locations using geospatial analysis and climate change indicators, this has reduced the errors in targeting project beneficiaries. The project employed regional coordinators who will be complementing the central level monitoring and field visits.
Coordination amongst government agencies	Low	The project has inaugurated/ established a National Project Technical Coordinating Committee (NPTCC) co-chaired by Director Central Project Coordinating Unit, and Director Climate Change Secretariat, and World Food Programme Project Technical Advisor served as secretary. The activities of the National Project Technical Coordinating Committee will be closely coordinated with the existing Multistakeholder Climate Committee

		(MCC), which is an institution under the National Climate Change Policy (NCCP), through the sharing of workplans, implementation progress reports, and other related project information. This will ensure coordination of the project and synergies with other active projects. This committee comprises of technical staff from key stakeholder institutions, department, and agencies this will minimize challenges around coordination and reduce the risk of competition and duplication. Good initiatives within the National Project Technical Coordinating Committee will be integrated into different institutional programmes for continuity at strategic-level bodies within the government and minimize the risks
Technical Capacity of government partners	Low	"The Project Management Team (PMT) (Regional and National) has been recruited and is leading the management of the project. The team started working with partners in the development of Terms of Reference, Technical and financial proposals as part of implementation arrangements and capacity building with WFP support to ensure sustainability and limit risks. Local structures eg. Village Development Committees (VDCs), Multi-Disciplinary Facilitation Teams (MDFTs), Technical Advisory Committees (TACs) were exposed to participatory targeting processes and will continue to participate in subnational capacity building initiatives including Gender, Good Agricultural Practices, Community Base Participatory Planning (CBPP), so that they can provide the required skills and knowledge for the communities. WFP is able to provide technical backstopping on areas of its comparative advantage, including capacity as and when required. Regular Project Steering Committee (PSC) meetings were conducted to give strong support to the project management team on reviews of workplan and budget, progress reports, quarterly reports and provide strategic directions on policy matters. The newly established Technical committee providing technical support through reviewing ToRs, reports etc.
Weather related disasters such as floods and drought in project sites	Moderate	The Department of Community Development (DCD) is engaged to lead the process of creating community assets that will increase their resilience through local action planning on adaptation options in reducing climate-related risk, as well as implementation of household level adaptation responses. A roadmap was developed and contract signed with service provider to conduct Dryrun pilot on weather-index micro insurance to increase risk reduction measures.
Lack of quality seeds for climate resilient varieties, and multi-purpose trees	Low	The opportunity of smallholder farmers to access good quality seed is high thanks to the presence of 'seed growers associations' regulated and certified by the National Seed Secretariat and supported by National Agricultural Research Institute (NARI).
Environmental risk	Not Applicable	Not Applicable - Intervention sites are identified but and types of assets/ investments are yet to be identified. Once the assets are identified and before their implementation, they will be screened for environmental and social risks. Should a risk be identified, mitigation measures will be selected and implemented according to the project's Risk Management Plan.
Lack of maintenance of community level assets developed	Not Applicable	Not Applicable yet, as field activities will only start in Project Year 2. Site-specific sustainability plans will be developed to elaborate on maintenance responsibilities. Communities will be organized and capacity building provided to responsible personnel. All contractors(infrastructure) will be required to develop maintenance manuals.

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? Yes

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Compagnie Nationale d'Assurance Agricole du Sénégal (CNAAS) - due to regulations cannot operate in the insurance market of the Gambia as a risk taker. A national company will have to play this role. Given the limited experience, national companies' capacities might be limited.	Moderate	CNAAS will now provide technical support through skills transfer to the local insurance companies in The Gambia.

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

No other risk measures were taken during the reporting period beyond the ones mentioned in the previous sections above.

ESP Compliance

Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? No

1. Compliance with the law

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that	

will be taken	
2.Access and equity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	<p>Economic benefits from the project could potentially put groups or individuals at a disadvantage or lead to disagreements and minor conflicts, including on land tenure arrangements. There are some existing ethnic conflicts in the country linked to loss of land and livelihoods. Tensions have been reported between Wolof and Mandinka as well as within the caste system among the Gambian Sarahulleh communities. The project target areas are unlikely to include any such issue. With regard to land tenure, land types in The Gambia are divided into three categories: freehold land, customary tenure, and leasehold land. Agricultural land access in the project area is regulated by customary rules and arrangements. In the customary system Alkalos (traditional chiefs) work with Area Councils to process land transaction documentation. However, individual's tenure under this arrangement could be at risk due to the lack of a formal land allocation system, which by rule of law would ensure land is rightfully bestowed to the owner. In this context, the creation of new assets, resources, or revenue-generating activities under Component 2 are expected to enhance the value of a given plot, triggering some risks in terms of access and equity in the long run, in favour of the most prominent members within a community.</p>
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	<p>Ensure consultation and implementation approaches are tailored to each community, implemented by local partners that know the context well. Record pre-existing tensions (particularly on natural resources access and use). Ensure all inclusive consultation. Provide feedback to stakeholders on consultations. Develop a proper community feedback mechanism. Record all opinions and feedbacks. Collect written proof of consultation and points agreed. Ensure management of groups/community assets through the establishment (where not existing) of management groups (i.e. associations). These groups should maintain the same targeting approach percentages used by the project for men and women/disadvantaged groups. Ensure the group/community agreement on land and natural resource access and use for the areas where the project activities will be implemented before implementation starts and ensure record keeping.</p>

	<p>Conduct awareness-raising sessions on tenure rights to be held at community level Ensure trainings for the Alkalos on processing land transaction documents to ensure a uniform record keeping system in coordination with the Area Councils. Ensure all groups and stakeholders in a given implementation area are duly involved during the consultations (consultations must be undertaken in all participants' languages/ dialects to facilitate understanding and active participation):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Record pre-existing tensions (particularly on natural resources access and use) among the different ethnic groups; • Record all opinions and feedbacks; • Set-up conflicts resolution procedures in consultation with all different stakeholders/ groups and collect written proof of consultation and agreement.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	<p>1. Number of consultation reports elaborated (yearly) 2. % of new groups created and managed 3. % of new assets managed at community level or through associations (yearly) 4. Number of reports providing proof of agreement on land and Natural Resource access and use (yearly) 5. Number of awareness sessions (yearly) 6. Number of training on land transaction delivered to communities chiefs (yearly) 7. Number of consultation reports elaborated (yearly) 8. Number of conflicts resolution procedures setup at local level</p>
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	1. 0 2. 0 3. 0 4. 0 5. 0 6. 0 7. 0 8. 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Concrete activities in the field have not started yet. Safeguard measures will be implemented as soon as implementation of field activities starts.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A
3. Marginalized and vulnerable Groups	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	

State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
4.Human rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
5.Gender equality and women's empowerment	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Factors influencing the discrimination against women in terms of land ownership could pose some risks of women being excluded from the project's benefits in the long run. In fact, most women do not have primary rights on land. Risk of increasing gender inequalities as soon as the production of the land they cultivate starts generating revenue as a consequence of the activities implemented by the project. Similarly, the use of farm inputs and implements may also be subject to men overarching power."
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance,	Set up women's groups to manage new assets (such

management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	as afforested areas/fruit tree planting etc.) at the community level or through associations, with a focus on shared benefits. Awareness-raising and sensitization sessions on land tenure rights and behavioral change for both women and men (particularly community leaders) to be held in the communities focusing on equal rights and rental conditions for men and women. Linkages with other ongoing similar activities should be sought by the project's implementers while involving relevant stakeholders (e.g. Women's Bureau/ local land administrators such as Area Councils).
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. Number of groups/associations established (yearly) and functional 2. Number of sessions held (yearly)
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	1. 0 2. 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Concrete activities in the field have not started yet. Safeguard measures will be implemented as soon as implementation of field activities starts.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A
6.Core labour rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
7.Indigenous people	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact	

assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
8.Involuntary resettlement	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
9.Protection of natural habitats	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified.	

Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
10.Conservation of biological diversity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
11.Climate change	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require	

management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
12.Pollution prevention and resource efficiency	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
13.Public health	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable	Yes

impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Risk of injuries. Risk of slight increase in water borne disease.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Ensure adequate gear and equipment. Ensure adequate trainings, including on safe postharvest handling. Awareness and signs indicating the risk of drowning and the water depths on multi-purpose water ponds sites. Add indigenous larvae-eating fish species that feed on mosquito larvae to the water ponds.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. % of groups/communities provided with adequate equipment (yearly) 2. Number of trainings held (yearly) 3. Number of water ponds sites secured from this risk (yearly) 4. Number of water ponds were mitigation measure being implemented (yearly)
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	1. 0 2. 0 3. 0 4. 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Concrete activities in the field have not started yet. Safeguard measures will be implemented as soon as implementation of field activities starts.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	N/A
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	N/A
14. Physical and cultural heritage	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
15. Lands and soil conservation	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No

During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required

Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out?	No
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	No
If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	

Section 3: Categorisation

Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant?	Yes
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	

Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	WFP HQ ESS team with RBD focal point will provided a capacity strengthening of IE key persons from programme ESP compliance. The project Technical Advisor will serve as the focal point in ensuring they are properly monitored, evaluated, reported on, and addressed in close collaboration with Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources. The project will comply with national policies especially National Environment Regulations.
Have the implementation arrangements been	Yes

effective during the reporting period?	
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	As mentioned above, concrete field activities have not started yet, therefore limited action regarding ESP compliance have been undertaken so far. MECCNAR will be supported on similar capacity building programmes with Implementing partners. They will equally assign the Regional Project Coordinator to properly monitor, evaluate, report on, and address ESP compliance. This will facilitate the effective coordination of the implementation and reporting of ESS activities at regional and district levels. All implementing partners under formal agreements will MECCNAR will have safeguarding responsibilities outlined. Cooperating Partners (CPs) will need to report the EE on implementation accordingly.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	Yes

Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.

Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	Partially
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details.	No
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled?	No
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	No

Identified USPs in the reporting period	Application of ESMP to the USP	ESP risks identified for the USP	Has an impact assessment been carried out?	Consultation held for risks and impacts identification for USP	Gender disaggregation to identify risks and impacts	Safeguard measures identified for the USP	Monitoring indicator(s) for each impact
---	--------------------------------	----------------------------------	--	--	---	---	---

Section 6: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	Partially
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process	Provide the status/outcome
--	--	----------------------------

Comments

As mentioned in a few sections above, the project was designed in such a way that the first year of the project was dedicated to the set up of the team, governance and development of the studies that will form the basis for concrete project activities starting from PY2. As a consequence, USPs have not yet been fully identified. Monitoring of risks related to USP will be carried out as soon as they will be identified and prior implementation. For a similar reason, roles and responsibilities of the different actors are currently been defined, and the capacities of the EE will be further strengthened as project implementation moves forward.

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

Gender-responsive element	Level	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Rated result for the reporting period
Develop knowledge and awareness to underpin evidence based resilience building and adaptation activities, particularly for women and youth, and enhance capacity for systematic sub-national level adaptation planning	Output	1.1.2 % of targeted population sensitized on climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, disaggregated by gender and age	0	70% of population in targeted localities - 70,560 women and 47,040 men	
Develop knowledge and awareness to underpin evidence based resilience building and adaptation activities, particularly for women and	Output	1.1.3 Number of women and youth from target localities engaging in national platforms on climate change	0	At least 15 women and 15 youth (8 female, 7 male)	

youth, and enhance capacity for systematic sub-national level adaptation planning					
Develop knowledge and awareness to underpin evidence based resilience building and adaptation activities, particularly for women and youth, and enhance capacity for systematic sub-national level adaptation planning	Outcome	1.2 Percentage of staff in targeted subnational institutions reporting increased ability to respond to and mitigate impacts of climate-related events through local adaptation planning and implementation, by gender	0	At least 70%	
Develop knowledge and awareness to underpin evidence based resilience building and adaptation activities, particularly for women and youth, and enhance capacity for systematic sub-national level adaptation planning	Output	Number of members of subnational structures trained on climate change and adaptation planning (by gender)	0	60 in total: 36 women and 24 men	
Implement concrete resilience building and adaptation measures in the project target areas	Outcome	2.1 Percentage of targeted population reporting ongoing benefits from enhanced livelihood asset base, by gender	0	50%	
Develop incentives, targeting women and youth, and risk transfer mechanisms,	Outcome	3.1 Number of targeted women and youth reporting they have effective agency and have	22.7%	50% increase on baseline value	

targeting smallholder farmers, for sustainable resilience building and adaptive capacity		engendered change in their communities on adaptation practices			
Develop incentives, targeting women and youth, and risk transfer mechanisms, targeting smallholder farmers, for sustainable resilience building and adaptive capacity	Output	Number of annual competitions held targeting women and youth as change agents	0	3	
Develop incentives, targeting women and youth, and risk transfer mechanisms, targeting smallholder farmers, for sustainable resilience building and adaptive capacity	Outcome	3.2 Average % of premium paid in cash by participants, by gender of household head	0	25% of premium paid in cash	
Develop incentives, targeting women and youth, and risk transfer mechanisms, targeting smallholder farmers, for sustainable resilience building and adaptive capacity	Output	3.2.1 Number of people insured through risk management interventions (WFP CRF G1), by gender	0	3070 - 1842 women and 1228 men	
Develop incentives, targeting women and youth, and risk transfer mechanisms, targeting smallholder	Output	3.2.2 Percentage of farmers in targeted communities with access to savings products and micro-finance, by	10.5% Female and 2.8% Male	50% increase on Baseline	

farmers, for sustainable resilience building and adaptive capacity		gender			
--	--	--------	--	--	--

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
--	---------------------------------------	--

Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	The IE though a Gender focal point at the Regional Bureau (RBD) has been supporting in finalizing the gender mainstreaming guidelines to support the mainstreaming of gender by implementing /cooperating partners in all the components of the project. Studies are underway to develop a gender-integrated inventory of climate change-related trainings and guidelines for systematic training on climate change and provide capacity development plan, manuals, and other training materials for systematic training in the regions. A set of targeting criteria has been developed as a guide to prevent women been marginalized during the process of beneficiary identification. As part of the Local Climate Change Action Planning processes, a guideline will be developed to include results from gender integrated studies to facilitate the process at both community, Ward, and regional levels.
Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	Capacity strengthening of the EE is underway. Whenever implementation starts in PY2 the EE will be cognizant with the GP.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	No

Section 4: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	Partially
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process used	Provide the status/outcome
--	--	-----------------------------------

Comments

Ratings for each gender reporting element will be provided in the next PPR. As discussed in other sections, the project has focused on preparatory activities only during the first year of implementation, with concrete activities expected to take place starting from PY2.

Rating

Implementing Entity				
Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Outcome 1.1 Enhanced knowledge and awareness on the climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, and systems to underpin evidence-based adaptation	Outcome 3	Studies commission on Localized Climate Change Impact Analysis (LCCIA) for specific crops grown and livestock breeds, in the project target areas, to understand and use the most up-to-date and suitable climate projections, how these will be impacted in the near-, medium and long-term Increase knowledge and awareness of the climate change, food security and nutrition nexus and scaled out climate services for seventy percent (70%) of the targeted population (117,600 peoples) focusing on pathways for women and youth to be change agents Women (15) and youth (15 -8 female, 7 male) engaged in multi-stakeholder dialogues on climate change at national platforms	Delayed	Marginally Unsatisfactory
Outcome 1.2 Capacity enhanced on climate change for systematic and effective sub-national planning	Outcome 2	Developed 57 Local Climate Change Adaptation Plans (LCCAPs) in the project targeted villages. Technical support of better trained 60 local government staff and enhanced systems for evidence-based and systematic adaptation planning, as well as improved regional adaptation and development plans, through the alignment process of these with the new LCCAPs.	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 2.1 Increased adaptive capacity and resilience of targeted communities through concrete adaptation and diversified livelihoods	Outcome 6	Communities developed a multi-year community-based plan- Local Climate Change Action Plans (LCCAPs) that can be used by communities, the private sector and any actor supporting development and adaptation efforts in the community At least 6,300	Ontrack	Satisfactory

		community members and smallholder farmers benefited from concrete resilience building and adaptation measures (enabled by Cash Based Transfer) CBT, diversified livelihoods developed through value chain and marketing support, and contributing to the procurement/ supplies to Home Grown School Feeding Programme in targeted communities		
Outcome 3.1 Women and youth are incentivized to become change agents	Outcome 6	Designed and implemented three (3) resilient rural entrepreneurs' competition for women and youth and reporting engendered change in their communities on adaptation practices through incentives	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 3.2 Smallholder farmers adopt sustainable pathways for risk transfer to increase longer-term	Outcome 8	The first and second years of the pilot program will be targeting 500 farmers on Microinsurance but gradually increase through the other project years up to 3,070 beneficiaries. Although, in the end about 4,500 direct beneficiaries participated in activities to increase access to financial services (financial literacy, savings, microfinance, and micro-insurance) to enhance investments in climate-resilient agriculture, and support credit unions for greater access.	Ontrack	Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
Foday Kanyi	foday.kanyi@wfp.org

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The ratings are generally satisfactory, as the initial activities planned for the first year for evidence generation to guide the development of Local Climate Change Action Plans (LCCAPs) procurement processes is at advanced stage, despite some delays due to prolonged procurement systems which are good practices to minimize the risk of corruption and enhance compliance. As the first year equally includes the setting up of the PMU with the prolonged administrative issues contributed significantly to the delay of commissioning evidence base studies. Currently, the baseline study which formed part of the planned activities is concluded and has set basis for implementation with standards and targets. A Cooperating Partner is already identified, and Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) finalization is progressing steadily to initiate the development of 57 Local Climate Change Action Plans. The project has developed and used a set of targeting criteria to identify 57 project beneficiary villages using climate change indicators. The Gambia doesn't have a regulation on Weather Index-Based Micro-insurance, but efforts are under way to support the government in creating access to weather-index based insurance by developing circulars or guidelines for the agricultural insurance scheme, to design the microinsurance product and conduct a pilot. Anticipating challenges due to the absence of regulations/laws, WFP is exploring alternatives for the conduct of this activity as the technical expertise is absent within the local insurance companies in The Gambia. Overall, project's progress is satisfactory. There will be significant improvement in the procurement processes by initiating earlier than the planned time to avoid delays in the processes. The

project continued to receive technical support from WFP Regional Bureau in Dakar (RBD) and WFP headquarters in different components.

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Outcome 1.1 Enhanced knowledge and awareness on the climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, and systems to underpin evidence-based adaptation	Outcome 3	Commissioning and validation of Localized Climate Change Impact Analysis (LCCIA) for specific crops grown and livestock breeds, in the project target areas, Increase knowledge and awareness of the climate change, food security and nutrition nexus using the findings of the LCCIA and focussing on Social Behavioural Change and Communication(SBCC). Also exploring pathways for women and youth to become community change agents. Existing Climate services also scaled out seventy percent (70%) of the targeted population in intervention localities Women (15) and youth (15 -8 female, 7 male) engaged in multi-stakeholder dialogues on climate change at national platforms	Delayed	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 1.2 Capacity enhanced on climate change for systematic and effective sub-national planning	Outcome 2	Developed 57 Local Climate Change Adaptation Plans (LCCAPs) in the project targeted villages. Technical support of better trained 60 local government staff and enhanced systems for evidence-based and systematic adaptation planning, as well as improved regional adaptation and development plans, through the alignment process of these with the new LCCAPs.	Delayed	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 2.1 Increased adaptive capacity and resilience of targeted communities through concrete adaptation and diversified livelihoods	Outcome 6	Communities developed a multi-year community-based plan- Local Climate Change Action Plans (LCCAPs) that can be used by communities, the private sector and any actor supporting development and adaptation efforts in the community At least 6,300 community members and smallholder farmers benefited from concrete resilience building and adaptation measures (enabled by Cash Based Transfer) CBT, diversified livelihoods developed through value chain and marketing support, and contributing to the procurement/ supplies to Home Grown School Feeding Programme in targeted communities	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 3.1 Women and youth are incentivized to become change agents	Outcome 6	Designed and implemented three (3) resilient rural entrepreneurs' competition for women and youth and reporting engendered change in their communities on adaptation practices through incentives	Ontrack	Satisfactory

Outcome 3.2 Smallholder farmers adopt sustainable pathways for risk transfer to increase longer-term	Outcome 8	The first and second years of the pilot program will be targeting 500 farmers on Microinsurance but gradually increase through the other project years up to 3,070 beneficiaries. Although, in the end about 4,500 direct beneficiaries participated in activities to increase access to financial services (financial literacy, savings, microfinance, and micro-insurance) to enhance investments in climate-resilient agriculture, and support credit unions for greater access.	Ontrack	Satisfactory
--	-----------	---	---------	--------------

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email	Institution
Aji Oulaye Njie	aonjie@ricarmecnar.gm	MECCNAR

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall rating this year has been satisfactory. There has been generally good progress in ensuring actions are thoroughly conducted, for example, to recruit highly competent consultants for all studies, or assessments to be conducted under component 1. Thus far, there is strong collaboration with open communication lines between MECNNAR and WFP to conduct the inception activities of the project, including introductory and sensitization meetings with key stakeholders and implementing partners as well as setting up both the PMU, governance, and technical support structures, all of which lay a strong foundation to kick-start on the ground implementation. There have been delays in the procurement processes to recruitment consultancy firms, delaying implementation of component 1 activities, However, significant progress has been made with all recruitment processes at the final stage. An innovative and inclusive targeting methodology has been utilized to identify potential beneficiary villages using climate change indicators. As planned, the baseline study has been conducted and nationally validated by key RICAR stakeholders, The national Project Technical Coordination committee was inaugurated with high technical level representation from key project stakeholders. For component 2 an implementing entity has been identified to support the project in the development of LCCAP for 57 targeted villages with opportunities to integrate and link these plans to ward and regional development plans. The next immediate steps of formalizing an implementation agreement. Component 3 has benefitted from the signature of an agreement with an experienced implementing partner to design a weather-based index insurance product to be piloted by the project. There is a high level of enthusiasm among key stakeholders who have already been consulted by the project. PMU recruitment is due to be completed during the first quarter of year 2, with 2 regional coordinators in place. Gender mainstreaming guidelines have been developed with support from WFP Regional Bureau. A recommendation for the next step is to develop and implement a gender action plan for the project. All financial disbursements have been done by the IE upon requests from the EE, with reporting conducted accordingly.

Other

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------	--------

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
------	-------

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall Rating

Overall rating

Satisfactory

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall the performance during the reporting period has been satisfactory. Despite some initial delays in procurement, the project has picked up speed. The first year was dedicated to preparatory activities that will pave the way for smooth implementation of concrete activities during the following years. Overall the project is on track with expected progress.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc...)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Objectives	Percentage of HHs in targeted communities with borderline and acceptable Food Consumption Score	70% Acceptable 35% Borderline 35%	N/A	FCS acceptable + poor = baseline +20%
Objectives	Minimum dietary diversity women (MDD-W)	80%	N/A	The current target is 15% increase. The set target during the project design was 30% increase for Minimum dietary diversity women (MDD-W). However, the baseline revealed that the baseline is already quite high (80%). Therefore the target has been revised to a 15% increase which is more realistic and appropriate considering the baseline.

Objectives	Livelihood-based Coping Strategy Index (% of households not recurring to any livelihood coping strategy)	32%	N/A	At least 40% increase in the number of targeted households not recurring to any livelihood coping strategy
Outcomes	1.1 Percentage of targeted population aware of appropriate adaptation responses to climate change affecting food security/nutrition	77%	N/A	At least 95%. The baseline study suggested 95% increase, because the project target of 70% cannot be lower than the baseline value of 77%
Outputs	1.1.1 Number of studies based on climate change projections and disaggregated vulnerability assessments	0	N/A	2
Outputs	Developed and functioning knowledge management system	0	N/A	1
Outputs	1.1.2 % of targeted population sensitized on climate change, food security and nutrition nexus, disaggregated by gender and age	0	N/A	70% of population in targeted localities
Outputs	1.1.3 Number of women and youth from target localities engaging in national platforms on climate change	0	N/A	At least 15 women and 15 youth (8 female, 7 male)
Outputs	1.1.4 Percentage of targeted villages that receive last mile climate services	0	N/A	80% of targeted Villages
Outcomes	1.2 Percentage of staff in targeted subnational institutions reporting increased ability to respond to and mitigate impacts of climate related events through local adaptation planning and implementation,	0	N/A	At least 50% increase for both males and females. It is proposed to reduce the target from 70% (as per project proposal) to 50%. The baseline study suggested that starting from a baseline of 0, 70%

	by gender			would not be a realistic target while 50% would be more achievable
Outputs	1.2.1 Inventory of climate change knowledge and training approaches	0	N/A	1
Outputs	1.2.1 Guideline's capacity development for LCCAP process	0	N/A	1
Outputs	1.2.2 Number of members of subnational structures trained on climate change and adaptation planning (by gender)	0	N/A	60
Outcomes	2.1 Percentage of targeted population reporting ongoing benefits from enhanced livelihood asset base, by gender	0	N/A	50%
Outcomes	2.1 Proportion of the population in targeted communities reporting environmental benefits	0	N/A	At least 70% of beneficiary HHs, by gender
Outcomes	2.1 Percentage of targeted smallholders selling through WFP supported farmer aggregation systems	0	N/A	33%
Outputs	2.1.1 Percentage of targeted communities that have developed LCCAPs	0	N/A	100%
Outputs	2.1.2 No. and type of adaptation assets (Tangible and intangible) created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies	0	N/A	TBD during participatory LCCAP planning Process
Outputs	2.1.2 Number of community productive assets	0	N/A	35 community assets established

	created through the project			
Outputs	2.1.3 Number of Value chain analyses for climate resilient and nutritious crops	0	N/A	3
Outputs	2.1.3 Quantity of food procured for HGSF from local farmers (by gender) in targeted communities	959.44 mt of locally produced rice and beans.	N/A	50% increase
Outcomes	3.1 Number of targeted women and youth reporting, they have effective agency and have engendered change in their communities on adaptation practices	22.70%	N/A	50% increase on baseline value
Outputs	3.1.1 Number of annual competitions held targeting women and youth as change agents	0	N/A	3
Outcomes	3.2 Average % of premium paid in cash by participants, by gender of household head	0	N/A	25% of premium paid in cash
Outputs	3.2.1 Number of people insured through risk management interventions (WFP CRF G1), by gender	0	N/A	3,070
Outputs	3.2.1 Total sum insured through risk management interventions (WFP CRF G3)	0	N/A	TBD
Outputs	3.2.1 Weather Project index micro Report insurance product for drought and dryspells designed and functional	0	N/A	1
Outputs	3.2.2 Percentage of farmers in targeted communities with access to savings products and	10.5% for Female and 2.8% for Male	N/A	50% increase from Baseline (both for male and female)

	microfinance, by gender			
--	-------------------------	--	--	--

Comments

As mentioned in previous sections, value for indicators will be reported in the next PPR as concrete project activities will start implementation in PY2.

Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management		
Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)	Opportunities	No changes were made to project design.
Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts?	Opportunities	Yes, the environmental and social safeguard measures are in place and will be implemented starting from PY2 when concrete activities with beneficiaries will start. This will be reported in the next PPR.
How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.	Opportunities	Gender and social inclusion are very strong and integral part of the project will be put into consideration. The target of the project during implementation has indicated 60% of women as project targeted beneficiaries due to their vulnerability. Both Gender mainstreaming guidelines and targeting guidelines developed has clearly indicated how women will participate as project beneficiaries base on vulnerability to climate change and accessing climate information and services .
Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?	Challenges & Opportunities	Yes, The project officially started in March 2022, however, it took few months before Project Management Unit staff are recruited including Project Technical Advisor for WFP seconded to Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural Resources. There are also delays in commissioning the evidence-based studies which suppose to feed into year

		<p>implementation, this delays are due to the prolonged procurement processes. Although, it will not have significant impact because the actual concrete resilience building programmes are planned in the second year, we will surely strategize and expedite the program implementation to achieve a good result at the end of year two reporting. Going forward the project team will avert the occurrences by initiating early requisition of goods and services timely delivery.</p>
<p>What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress?</p>	<p>Challenges & Opportunities</p>	<p>Positive : A targeting criterion was developed to facilitate transparent identification of beneficiaries to minimize inclusion and exclusion errors. A gender mainstreaming guideline was also developed to guide both the implementing entity(IE), Executing Entity (EE) and implementing partners to comply with Gender Policies (GP). WFP has facilitated cross boarder country learning (between Senegal and Gambia) for key stakeholders to learn relevant knowledge and skills for technical backstopping for successful implementation of micro-insurance programs in The Gambia. The main stakeholders were the Central Bank of The Gambia, Insurance association of The Gambia , Farmer Based Organizations, ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Environment Climate Change and Natural resources, WFP As part of the visibility, the project developed a letter head and logo and contributing to progress update as part of contributions to WFP bulletin. Challenges: The extended procurement processes have caused significant delays in completing the commissioning of evidence-</p>

		based studies. To prevent any further delays in the future, the project will proactively initiate early requisition of goods and services, ensuring the timely delivery of goods and services as planned.
--	--	---

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

Climate Resilience Measures	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness grants)	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?	
How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
Concrete Adaptation Interventions	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?	
What is the potential for the concrete adaptation interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Knowledge Management	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	

Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what channels of dissemination have been used?	
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever possible (e.g. project videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, training manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	
Innovation	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	
Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other climate finance? Or has the project build upon any other climate finance initiative?	
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report?

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries				
		Total	% of female beneficiaries	% of Youth beneficiaries
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	168000	60	30
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the	224000	60	30

	project			
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	392000	60	30
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	168000	60	30
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	224000	60	30
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	392000	60	30
Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

	Number of targeted stakeholders - Total	Number of targeted stakeholders - % of female targeted	Hazards information generated and disseminated	Overall effectiveness
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

	No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments	Sector	Scale	Status
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	No. of adopted Early Warning Systems	Category targeted	Hazard	Geographical coverage	Number of municipalities
Baseline information					
Target performance at completion					
Performance at mid-term					
Performance at completion					

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased

	Number of staff targeted - Total	Number of staff targeted - % of female targeted	Sector	Capacity level
Baseline information	60	30	Multi-sector	2: Low capacity
Target performance at completion	60	30	Multi-sector	4: High capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	Total staff trained	% of female staff trained	Type
Baseline information	0	0	Private
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	Type	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information	Public	Sub-National	Multi-sector	2: Low capacity
Target performance at completion	Public	Sub-National	Multi-sector	4: High capacity
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

	Number of beneficiaries	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes

Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses

	Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures	Sector
Baseline information	0	Multi-sector
Target performance at completion	30	Multi-sector
Performance at mid-term		
Performance at completion		

Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
Baseline information	168000	60	3: Partially aware
Target performance at completion	168000	60	5: Fully aware
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 3.2: Stenghtened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committes/associations	Level of awareness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets

Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			

Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress

Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress

	Natural resource improvement level	Sector	Type
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	Total number of natural assets or ecosystems	Unit	Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation
--	-----------------------------------	--	------	--

		protected/rehabilita		
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas

Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	Improvement level
Baseline information	0	60	2: Limited improvement
Target performance at completion	1140	60	4: High improvement
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy
Baseline information	0	Adaptation strategies	Multi-sector	Supporting livelihoods
Target performance at completion	35	Adaptation strategies	Multi-sector	Supporting livelihoods
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures**Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy**

	Integration level
Baseline information	
Target performance at completion	
Performance at mid-term	
Performance at completion	

Output 7: Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced

	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies

Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
Baseline information	Innovative adaptation financing	Local	
Target performance at completion	Innovative adaptation financing	Local	Innovation rolled out
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, scaled up, encourages and/or accelerated

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
Baseline information	1	Other	No innovative practices	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	1	Other	Undertaking innovative practices	4: Effective
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated

	No. of key findings generated	Type	Effectiveness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			