

Project Performance Report

Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	11/21/2023 - 11/21/2024
Project Title	EMBRACING THE SUN: Redefining Public Space as a Solution for the Effects of Global Climate Change in Indonesia's Urban Areas
Project Summary	
Database Number	AF00000189
Implementing Entity (IE)	Partnership for Governance Reform (Kemitraan) of Indonesia
Type of IE	National Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Indonesia
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	Samarinda City, East Kalimantan Province, Indonesia
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	Eka Melisa

Project Milestones

AFB Approval Date	10/21/2021
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	3/8/2022
Start of Project/Programme	11/21/2022
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	
Original Completion Date	5/21/2024
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	5/21/2025

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

Yes

List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them	
Category of condition	Other
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	Condition met and cleared by the AFB Sec
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each

Inception request; extension request;

List the Website address (URL) of project

<https://kemitraan.or.id/program/adaptation-fund-project-samarinda/>; https://untag-sby.ac.id/web_eng/halamanstatis/statis-pusat-studi-perubahan-iklim-dan-ketahanan-kota.html

Project Contacts			
National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	Name	Email	Date
National Project Manager	Abimanyu Sasongko Aji	abimanyu.aji@kemitraan.or.id	11/21/2022
Implementing Entity	Eka Melisa	eka.melisa@kemitraan.or.id	1/20/2025
Government(s) DA	Laksmi Dhewanthi	ldhewanthi@menlhk.go.id	4/30/2021

Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$)	\$824,835.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$)	\$548,847.00
Project disbursement rate (%)	100
Project execution rate (%)	72.20
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	
Investment Income (\$)	\$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$)	\$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	Amount (\$)
Output 1.1.1 Research conducted on climate-resilient public space, including best practices and lesson learned within the Asia-Pacific Region, and South-East Asia cities in particular	\$22,460.00
Output 1.1.2 Assesment tool and methodology for evaluation of climate-resilient Public Space developed	\$12,497.00
Output 1.1.3 Public Space guidelines, incorporating new typologies that can be used as a best practice for replication	\$6,584.00
Output 2.1.1 Community profiling developed for targeted locations	\$9,260.00
Output 2.1.2 Targeted communities are engaged in design processes through a participatory approach (e.g. workshop, interactive debate, etc.), focused on climate-resilient Public Space	\$9,200.00
Output 2.2.1 Climate-resilient Public Space is co-developed and built in the selected communities (in the City of Samarinda) based on previous findings	\$265,876.00
Output 2.2.2 Community groups are established, based on the existing governance structure (if present), to ensure adequate maintainance of the Public Space	\$1,698.00
Output 3.1.1 Training for community groups to base strengthen community adaptation in Public Space location	\$5,340.00
Output 3.1.2 Training for government officials in key sector (e.g. planning department) on project findings, methodologies and approaches app	\$758.00
Output 3.2.1 Lessons learned and best practices on climate-resilient Public Space and community adaptive capacity building are captured and disseminated for regional replication	\$37,165.00
Output 4.1.1 Evaluation of place quality before the intervention, at completion of the intervention, and two years after the completion of the intervention	\$10,655.00
Output 4.2.1 High-level internal meeting with Samarinda Government Municipalities	\$6,999.00
IE fee (\$)	\$37,048.00
Execution cost (\$)	\$47,372.00

Planned Expenditure Schedule		
Output	Projected Cost (\$)	Estimated Completion Date
Output 1.1.1 Research conducted on climate-resilient public space, including best practices and lesson learned within the Asia-Pacific Region, and South-East Asia cities in particular	\$29,613.00	5/1/2025
Output 1.1.2 Assesment tool and methodology for evaluation of climate-resilient Public Space developed	\$1,503.00	5/1/2025
Output 1.1.3 Public Space guidelines, incorporating new typologies that can be used as a best practice for replication	\$281.00	5/1/2025
Output 2.1.1 Community profiling developed for targeted locations	\$296.00	5/1/2025
Output 2.1.2 Targeted communities are enggaged in design processes through a participatory approach (e.g. workshop, interactive debate, etc.), focused on climate-resilient Public Space	\$17,582.00	5/1/2025
Output 2.2.1 Climate-resilient Public Space is co-developed and built in the selected communities (in the City of Samarinda) based on previous findings	\$132,495.00	5/1/2025
Output 2.2.2 Community groups are established, based on the existing governance structure (if present), to ensure adequate maintainance of the Public Space	\$8,302.00	5/1/2025
Output 3.1.1 Training for community groups to base strengthen community adaptation in Public Space location	\$9,660.00	5/1/2025
Output 3.1.2 Training for government officials in key sector (e.g. planning department) on project findings, methodologies and approaches app	\$14,242.00	5/1/2025
Output 3.2.1 Lessons learned and best practices on climate-resilient Public Space and community adaptive capacity building are captured and disseminated for regional replication	\$13,833.00	5/1/2025
Output 4.1.1 Evaluation of place quality before the intervention, at completion of the intervention, and two years after the completion of the intervention	\$6,845.00	5/1/2025
Output 4.2.1 High-level internal meeting with Samarinda Government Municipalities	\$10,725.00	5/1/2025
IE fee (\$)		\$21,621.00
Execution cost (\$)		\$8,990.00

Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)	
Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$)	\$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$)	\$0.00
Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Weak commitment from city government due to project	Low	1. Intensive coordination and strengthening the involvement of related department or local agencies in the Government of Samarinda City at every stage of activities. The PMU has scheduled weekly coordination meeting with the Head of Cooperation, monthly coordination with related local agencies and submitted a progress report to them every 3 months. 2. The PMU has provided the progress reports to the city government of Samarinda every month. 3. The PMU asked the municipal government to form an official team with the Department of Environment as the leading sector in the project implementation and sustainability. Currently, the team has been initiated together with the municipal government and selected local agencies that will be the team members. Moreover, the team will increase their capacity through knowledge related to climate change adaptation during the construction of public spaces. 4. The PMU always involves all relevant stakeholders in every stage of decision-making process e.g., segiri trader, women MSME's, Difable Group's or PPDI-Perkumpulan Penyandang Disabilitas Indonesia or Indonesian Association of Persons with Disabilities Samarinda Chapter, Maggot Community.
Changes in project personnel can affect the progress of the project	Low	1. The PMU established the mechanism of a job hand over for the skills and knowledge transfer. 2. The mechanism of skills and knowledge transfer is conducted by providing training for replacement staff for 1 month.
Delays in project expenditure, procurement and institutional efficiency (long approval process and others) that delay project implementation. 	Low	- The PMU has developed an annual workplan. - The PMU has established procurement planning on a regular basis. - The PMU has strengthened the planning and regular reviews.
Lack of community (direct beneficiaries) in supporting the project 	Low	- Identification of vulnerable groups (Diffable Groups, Children's Communities) as stakeholders was conducted to be engaged in the project implementation progresses. - Adoption of a bottom-up approach for community involvement was conducted, for instance, the grassroots community (local residents of RT 31 Sidodadi, local residents of RT 22 and RT 27 Termindung Permai and Segiri market traders). - An officer as person in charge to build and maintain relationships with direct beneficiaries and local government (Department of Environment, Technical Implementation Unit/UPT Segiri market and the Cooperation Division of the Samarinda City Government) was appointed. - Activities to provide understanding the context of a project has been conducted, such as multi-stakeholder workshops that consist of City Government of Samarinda ; Department of Environment,

		<p>Department of Public Works and Housing, Department of Settlement and Spatial, Department of Trade, Department of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection, Department of Food Security, local civil organisation (CSOS) such as Bank Ramli (Bank of Environment Friendly), Maggot Community, PPDI (Indonesian Association of Persons with Disabilities Samarinda Chapter, Samarinda Children Community, Samarinda Literacy Community, professional groups such as REI, Samarinda Design Hub, Women's SMEs, Journalists such as Kaltim Pos, Samarinda Etam, Samarinda Post, Radio Gembira Raya Jaya, RRI Pro 2, TVRI Samarinda, Academic groups such as Mulawarman University, University of 17 August 1945 Samarinda, University of Muhamadiyah East Kalimantan, and Samarinda Polytechnic. - PMU has conducted PRA to gain the insight on knowledge and concerns of community support</p>
<p>Communities are less aware of climate change and have lack of enthusiasm to respond to disasters. If beneficiaries are not fully aware of the impacts of climate change, it is difficult to gain their commitment in climate change adaptation through public space development.</p>	Moderate	<p>- PMU has engaged the communities and introduced participatory methods to so that they can be provided with understanding on the impacts of climate change. - The PMU has built community-based adaptation to climate change through activities or events that can help boost awareness of climate change impacts, so then they are more enthusiastic to participate in adaptation process toward climate resilience and disaster responsive. (PMU has developed a stakeholder engagement plan, PMU has conducted workshops with multi-stakeholders to build knowledge and awareness) - PMU initiated public campaigns, with an aim to educate the community on local issues of climate change and climate adaptation strategies. - PMU has built the common understanding in community (direct beneficiaries) through FGD, CeCUR's social media, online media and City Government of Samarinda. - PMU has built communication strategy to increase awareness through podcast and public discussion.</p>
<p>Conflict of community interest in developed public space</p>	Low	<p>- The PMU has conducted mediation based upon the request of local residents (RT 31 and UPT Segiri market) to build a public space in a different location that has been determined by the City Government of Samarinda. The mediation was conducted by creating a separately discussion and providing the general purpose of the project and the impact at the urban level instead of the sub-district scope and not for the Segiri market's scope. - PMU encouraged the municipal government to issue a letter of determination the status of the location for the construction of public spaces to provide certainty to public and stakeholders. - During the political year, PMU has built neutral communication to avoid favouritism at the election. - This project has built trust among stakeholders in the Segiri Market neighborhood. There will be a representation person for each group that coordinates in the decision-making process.</p>
<p>Low technical knowledge of municipal government officials and communities to maintaining the public space.</p>	Moderate	<p>- The PMU has identified and synchronised activities within the relevant department into the public space. - The PMU provided capacity building to the municipal government and communities on the technology and knowledge in the project so that they will have capacity to maintain the public space. Capacity building activities will be conducted in line with the project construction phase. - The PMU engaged the government officials and communities through activities e.g., FGD, workshop, and training</p>

		that can improve their technical knowledge and skills so that they have capacity to maintain public spaces.
--	--	---

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? Yes

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
The lengthy selection of method and technology to be used in the participative design process in order to form a simple visualization for public.	Low	Community needs were identified manually through community-supported series of field observation (without AI technology).
The lengthy process to develop design parameters.	Low	Data are processed manually to achieve preliminary public space design, in which design parameters are being used to validate the outcome of such preliminary design.
Different levels of education and understanding of the community. Repetition process of learning is important to have common perceptions and to ensure the value of inclusion is established. However, the process takes a long time.	Low	There were several special treatments, for instance, FGDs with various community groups, gathering activities, creating an atmosphere that can raise awareness of the program, to encourage local residents to get involved in every design process from beginning to end.
Local community participation in the event might be unoptimal and overlooked since the event is being labeled as high-level and also the barrier language in international level meeting	Low	PMU has built the community's capacity beforehand in activity involving cities stakeholders. Moreover, PMU conducted various activities separately that allowed community to feel unencumbered by other stakeholders' intervention, followed by joint sessions with other stakeholders afterwards. For global event, facilitators was provided by PMU to ensure community representative could actively involve in the event.

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

Yes, risk mitigation measures has been employed through the mitigation steps taken as above. The potential risks have been reduced.

ESP Compliance

Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? Yes

1.Compliance with the law

Are environmental or social risks present as per table Yes

II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Insufficient alignment with laws, regulations and standards, particularly for interventions under component 2 (construction of public space).
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Submit request for the Statement of Capability for Environmental Management and Monitoring (SPPL) for the component 2
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	SPPL issued by the Municipal Office of Environment (status: issued)
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	- Issued SPPL covers all prerequisites for the start of the construction - Tender process could be implemented in regard to the SPPL
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	- Prerequisite for the construction not completely adopted within the SPPL - Unqualified companies participate in the tender process
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	- Consultation with related offices to check the completeness of the SPPL's prerequisite for the construction to avoid delays in tender process - Repeat the tender process if necessary
2.Access and equity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Unequal distribution of project benefits among target communities. Unequal engagement and participation in workshops, consultations, etc. throughout the project process. This could potentially exclude less empowered community members from decision making processes.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	1. Avoid the dominance of certain groups in participation and engagement during workshops with preventive strategies as follow: a). The PMU identified, selected and determined each participant to get involved in workshops/trainings, as well as consultations within the project. b). PMU provided open access for information to all participants. c). The PMU provided open access for all communities who want to give suggestions. 2. The PMU facilitated community participation in implementation and to ensure open access information for all groups; to

	encourage stakeholders to get involved in supporting and to ensure equality and robust participation of all groups.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. Indicators for prevention of the dominance representation toward certain groups: a) Percentage of participants involved in workshops, trainings and consultations that represent various groups. b) The amount of diversity in participants' feedback. c) Easy mechanism in the process of suggestions or giving ideas. 2. The percentage of the level of participation and diversity in community groups during the project activities; percentage of stakeholder meetings that have been held to support equality and participation of all groups, the maintenance group comes from all the stakeholders that involved in project.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Currently 3 workshops, community meetings and 1 FGDs have been held by PMU to select participants with certain criteria and to ensure equal participation of various groups. The diversity and inclusive ideas from various community groups have been represented in the results of project design. The attendance of participants has also described the involvement of community from different background. The dissemination of the project information or knowledge during the workshops is conducted through television (TVRI Samarinda), radio (RRI and Gembira Raya Jaya radio), online newspapers, and social media. The Samarinda city government, from the city level to the sub-district level, has been actively involved in every project activity to ensure equal participation for all community groups.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	There is likely that community awareness is still low in understanding the availability of open access information, even though it that has been provided by PMU. Similarly, there is a lack of awareness in communities and involvement in project activities, even though the project has been designed using participatory methods.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Conduct community outreach related to the access information that has been provided and create opportunity for the community participation as broadly as possible.
3.Marginalized and vulnerable Groups	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes

List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Potential risks include that traditionally vulnerable groups such as women, youth, children, the elderly, people with disabilities are not engaged appropriately throughout design and execution phases.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	1. Organise workshops/trainings/consultations with a basic needs approach for vulnerable groups. 2. A separate workshop that provides a safe space for expression and opinion without the intervention of a dominant group.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. The outcomes of the project activities (workshop and FGD) accommodate the needs of vulnerable groups. 2. Number of activities that aimed to increase the capacity of vulnerable groups.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The result of design process has been accommodated the needs of vulnerable groups, for instance, disability-friendly design, nursery room, playgrounds, youth park and facilities for the elderly. During the identification of vulnerable groups' needs, there were several meetings separately to provide a safe space and convenient atmosphere to express their ideas.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	There is a possibility for the need vulnerable groups not accommodated.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Monitoring regularly for the following action: 1. The outcomes of the project activities (workshop and FGD) accommodate the needs of vulnerable groups. 2. Number of activities that aimed to increase the capacity of vulnerable groups.
4.Human rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Principle that applies to community-related processes and interventions in public space.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Consultation with the local community and related stakeholders to avoid disturbance during the project implementation, especially during construction process
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Monitor the involvement of local labour to avoid domination of certain ethnicity involved in the construction; explore the contractor's commitment and track record prior to contract awarding (background check)
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been	Consultation and communication with local informal

implemented during the reporting period	leaders to collect information of any occurring conflict; involve community members of different ethnicity to have insights on their interactions
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	contractors prefer to employ labour from certain ethnicity; certain ethnicity impose their traditional influence to be integrated in the design of the public space
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	conduct regular monitoring to ensure equal treatment by the contractors; conduct group discussion to redetermine the purpose and the function of the public space
5. Gender equality and women's empowerment	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Despite progress made, inequalities between men and women are still present across the country ⁸⁶ . Among the issues that hinder gender equality are: deficient participation of women in paid employment, gender inequality in access to education, weak institutional framework for gender mainstreaming, low participation of women in decision making and violence against women. Risks identified are related to a potential lack of participation of women as well as their capacity in communicating their ideas.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	1. Provide assistance for women's groups to encourage them to communicate their ideas. 2. To make them get involved in certain time outside of working hours. If project activities were conducted by PMU during working hours, they will be compensated for the time spent. 3. Organise discussions with social issues related to the welfare of women. 4. Ensure institutions' commitment to support women participation in every phase of the project activities.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. Number of ideas input from women. 2. Number of special time activities outside of working hours and participation of working women. 3. Number of educational discussions on women's issues. 4. The existence of rules/safeguards related to guaranteeing women's involvement in activities.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	In the design workshop, the proposal of the women's group was accommodated through a balanced percentage of women's representation between men and women. To accommodate women workers, workshops have been held outside working hours on weekends and Saturdays and also provide

	compensation for the time used. Related to the issue of women and climate change, discussions have also been held via social media in the form of live Instagram with PMU and women activists. In addition, participatory needs assessment has been conducted involving university student. The assessment was not explicitly for women groups only, but they are part of the target priorities.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	There is possibility for women attendance with unidentified occupancy or unidentified potential working women participants
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Monitoring regularly and ensuring the following action: To facilitate women involvement outside of working hours. If project activities were conducted by PMU during working hours, they will be compensated for the time spent.
6.Core labour rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	- Potential lack of adherence to the ILO labor Standards and national labor laws. - Communities may not apply safety and security measures during construction works related to the implementation of activities under output 2.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Adherence to the ILO labor Standards and national labor laws is to be monitored throughout the process as a standard procedure. This includes the eight International Labor Organization Convention (ILO) core labor standards related to fundamental principles and rights of workers, as well as ILO Convention No. 169, which concerns rights of indigenous and tribal peoples. Contracts will be reviewed periodically to ensure compliance with these laws.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	- Compliance of labor compensation with core labor standards - Checklist for the contract with the construction company - Compliance with safety and security measures for the construction workers
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Coordination with Municipal Office of Manpower to ensure the core labor rights are maintained during the construction
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	No violation of core labor rights during the construction process
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Evaluation of the contractual arrangements to ensure core labor rights are fulfilled
7.Indigenous people	

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Indonesia is a country of great diversity and complexity in its culture, ethnicity, language, people, and geography ⁸⁷ . There are 500 ethnic groups speaking more than 600 languages across the country. The Javanese form the majority ethnic group at 45% of the population. The Sundanese, Madurese, Coastal Malays, and other ethnic groups make up the rest. Muslims form the majority religious group at 89% of the total population ⁸⁹ . The complexity of the context will require that this principle is monitored throughout the planning and implementation phases.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Consultation with the local community to clarify and identify any possible ethnic conflict within the community
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Monitor tightly any potential conflict between different ethnicity during the project implementation
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Involved community members from different ethnicity in and consider any constructive input for the development of the public space design
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Occurring ethnic conflict within the communities involved
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Conduct dialogue, discussion and consultation with informal leaders to solve conflict
8.Involuntary resettlement	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	The design of public spaces could potentially identify the need to demolish existing buildings. This could potentially lead to involuntary resettlement.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Interventions under component 2 will be designed to avoid resettlement.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Conduct site mapping prior to the construction; communicate with the Municipal Government on the development plan for the public space construction

	site
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	There has been relocation prior to the development of the Segiri market
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Involving the Municipal Development Office, Municipal Public Works and Community Settlement Office and the Mayor Office to consult on the municipal development plan for the public space construction site, as well as ensuring that the construction site is part of the municipal assets.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Illegal settlement occurred on the public space construction site after site mapping has been conducted; changes in development plan for the use of the selected construction site
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Securing the commitment of the Municipal Government of Samarinda to support and to solve problem arising during the planning and construction process of the public spaces

9. Protection of natural habitats

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

10. Conservation of biological diversity

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	

List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
11.Climate change	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
12.Pollution prevention and resource efficiency	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Construction could lead to inadequate resource management and production of excessive waste
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance,	1. Manage liquid waste treatment with filtration

management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	method in Segiri market. 2. Conduct solid waste treatment with waste sorting process (3R-Reduce, Reuse, Recycle).
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. Filtration ponds will be built and are included in the design of public spaces; there are filtration ponds for liquid waste treatment; there is lower pollutants in wastewater flowing into the Karang Mumus river. 2. There is disposal facility and waste storage, for instance, garbage can, waste baskets and bins for separate wastes.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	The liquid waste treatment and the plan for separate wastes features are included in the public space design. It has been approved by the city government of Samarinda and local residents in the workshop of consultation for public space final design.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Technically, there is still a possibility of physical disruption during construction related to the existing drainage path area. Despite the presence of separate 3R bins, there is still unchanged habits and behaviour of local community to separate waste and using 3R bins; there is possibility that the separated waste are being mixed during the collection, transportation and final disposal.
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	1. Monitor existing drainage paths and the quality of wastewater in Segiri market. 2. Conduct public campaigns to raise awareness of residents about using 3R bins and to separate wastes.
13.Public health	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Public spaces have the potential of improving citizens' health and well-being. This can be achieved by creating green spaces, spaces that can be used for recreational and sports activities, etc. Opportunities are identified that can be enhanced through the project.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	1. Ensure that puddle is not contained in all drainage area. 2. Ensure that no accumulation of garbage which can cause disease; ensure that UPT Segiri market (technical unit) transporting waste on schedule; ensure that local residents and traders in Segiri market who involved in waste disposal activities is on schedule. 3. Conduct public campaigns related to extreme weather adaptation and the availability of clean water.

List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. No more puddle in drainage area; A flawless drainage system that is integrated with the Karang Mumus river. 2. There are schedule and rules to control the waste collected by UPT Segiri and the schedule of waste disposal activities for local residents and traders in Segiri market.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Measures not yet implemented since construction work has not started
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	1. There is still a possibility of public health problems due to extreme weather and the availability of clean water in Samarinda, causing puddle in drainage areas. 2. Schedules and rules are not implemented properly for waste collection and/or waste disposal activities
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	1. Ensure that puddle is not contained in all drainage area. 2. Ensure that no accumulation of garbage which can cause disease; ensure that UPT Segiri market (technical unit) transporting waste on schedule; ensure that local residents and traders in Segiri market who involved in waste disposal activities is on schedule. 3. Conduct public campaigns related to extreme weather adaptation and the availability of clean water.

14. Physical and cultural heritage

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	No
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Project activities might affect unidentified cultural sites which exist in the targeted areas and are impacted by project activities
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	Collect information on the historical data related to the construction site
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	Monitor tightly the site clearing activity for the public space construction
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Maintain communication with the Municipal Office for Culture and History
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	Cultural and/or historical traces found during site clearing
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Consult with the Municipal Office for Culture and History in the case of any findings

15. Lands and soil conservation

Are environmental or social risks present as per table	Yes
--	-----

II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	No risks are identified for activities under components 1 and 3. Component 2 will require further assessment based on the activities that are defined after the designing phase. Given that the project is within an urban context and will promote urban agriculture at a small scale it is highly unlikely that any risks are triggered.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	1. Monitor and maintain the land with the public space design that does not cover the entire ground (Less than 50% of covered space). 2. Develop environmental management guidelines based on the statement letter of obligation to manage the environment surrounding the site (SPPL).
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1. The public space design that does not cover all land (Less than 50% of covered space). 2. Guideline document for environment management based on the statement letter of obligation to manage the environment surrounding the site (SPPL).
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	Measures not yet implemented since construction work has not started
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	1. Public space exceeds the space coverage 2. Incompliance with the guideline document
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	Monitor frequently the construction process once it is ongoing to keep the public space coverage not to exceed 50% available space and the compliance with the guideline document

Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required

Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out?	Yes
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	No
If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	

Section 3: Categorisation

Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant?	Yes
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	

Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	The Implementing Entity arranged the schedule for report submission quarterly included the ESP safeguard monitoring.
Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	PMU developed activity report as a monitoring tool for every project activities.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	Yes

Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.

Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details.	
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been assigned and positions filled?	
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	

Identified USPs in the reporting period	Application of ESMP to the USP	ESP risks identified for the USP	Has an impact assessment been carried out?	Consultation held for risks and impacts identification for USP	Gender disaggregation to identify risks and impacts	Safeguard measures identified for the USP	Monitoring indicator(s) for each impact

Section 6: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process	Provide the status/outcome

Comments

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

Gender-responsive element	Level	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Rated result for the reporting period
Research conducted on climate-resilient public spaces, including best practices and lessons learned within the Asia-Pacific Region, and South-East cities in particular	Output	Output 1.1.1 Completed mapping and research regarding best practices and lessons learned of public space in the Asia-Pacific Region, and South-East cities.	0	40 from 100 participants are women (40%)	Good
Increase the awareness and the sense of belonging toward the public scape design through the process and participatory method	Outcome	Outcome 2.1 Targeted population aware of climate projections and expected impacts	0	260 from 560 participants are women (40%)	Good
Community profiling developed for targeted location in the City of Samarinda	Output	Output 2.1.1 Number of community profiles that have been developed for the targeted location.	0	240 from 600 participants are women (40%)	Good
Targeted communities are engaged in design processes through a participatory approach (e.g., workshops,	Output	Output 2.1.2 Design strategies are formulated together with the local communities.	0	20 from 50 participants are women (40%)	Good

interactive debates, etc.), focused on climate- resilient public spaces					
Develop the community-based infrastructure to strengthened adaptive capacity	Outcome	Outcome 2.2 Improved physical infrastructure that adaptive to climate change and to consider the induced stress variable in targeted group that involved in the development process	0	60 from 150 participants are women (40%)	Good
Climate-resilient public space is co-developed and built in the selected communities (in the city of Samarinda) based on previous findings	Output	Output 2.2.1 The public space is built with certain conditions based on climate change variables and type of asset	0	40 from 100 participants are women (40%)	Good
Community groups are established, based on existing governance structures (if present), to ensure adequate maintenance of the public spaces	Output	Output 2.2.2 1 community groups established	0	20 from 50 participants are women (40%)	Good
Increased capacity at the city- and community-levels on climate-resilient strategies and design options for public spaces	Outcome	Outcome 3.1 Increase the capacity of targeted beneficiaries that involved in project and to minimize the climate risk variable.	0	60 from 150 participants are women (40%)	Good
Training for community groups to disseminate the findings of the project and the	Output	Output 3.1.1 People aware of the impacts of climate change, they will respond to those issues	0	40 from 100 participants are women (40%)	Good

methodology of the intervention		and the project as well as the methodologies have been implemented during the intervention			
Training for government officials in key sectors (e.g., planning departments). The project findings, methodologies and approaches will be applied for replication	Output	Output 3.1.2 1 Book 1 Documented Video and people aware of the benefit of the development of public space through international seminar 3 News media in the local press level covering the topic.	0	50 from 125 participants are women (40%)	Good
Knowledge sharing and increased awareness on project results among targeted audience (communities, government institutionals or local departments or agencies, general public)	Outcome	Outcome 3.2 Targeted population aware of the impacts of climate change, and they will respond to those issues.	0	50 from 125 participants are women (40%)	Good
Lessons learned and best practices on climate- resilient public spaces and community adaptive capacity building are captured and disseminated for regional replication	Output	Output 3.2.1 People aware of the benefit of the development of public space through international seminar 3 news media in the local press level covering the topic	0	50 from 125 participants are women (40%)	Good
Increased understanding and awareness of the impact of the intervention	Outcome	Outcome 4.1 Evaluation of the project impacts. Participants will engage in terms of data collection	0	24 from 60 participants are women (40%)	Good

Evaluation of the quality before the intervention, at completion of the intervention, and two years after the completion of the interventions	Output	Output 4.1.1 Evaluation report in the project location, participants will engage in terms of data collection	0	24 from 60 participants are women (40%)	Good
High-level internal meeting with Samarinda Government Municipalities	Outcome	Outcome 4.2 Multi-dialogue stakeholder meeting will be held while post project completion. Participants will discuss the further steps related to climate-resilient and mainstreaming the agenda	0	40 from 100 participants are women (40%)	Good
Policy advocacy related to the public space development benefits and further climate-resilient mainstreaming agendas	Output	Output 4.2.1 1 Internal meeting with the government municipal	0	40 from 100 participants are women (40%)	Good

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
Women near designated area in many contexts are therefore disproportionately affected by natural disasters and extreme weather events, such as floods, droughts, and mudslides. Women near designated are under-represented in decision making at the household, community and societal level, including policy formulation, implementation, and monitoring and evaluation processes. This limits their ability to contribute their unique and valuable perspectives and gender-specific expertise. In order for this situation to improve, there is a need for gender- sensitive policies and	Good	women and vulnerable groups have been prioritised. The process of the designing has also been carried out involving women and vulnerable groups, for them to address their needs for adoption in the

strategies. This phenomenon reflected on basic infrastructure (water and sanitation) near the designated area that has not accommodate women and vulnerable groups' needs. Low understanding of how societal expectations, roles, status, and economic power of women and men affect, and are affected differently by climate change will consequently improve actions taken to reduce vulnerability and combat climate change in the developing world. Low understanding related to adaptation efforts should systematically and effectively address gender-specific impacts of climate change in the areas of energy, water, food security, agriculture and fisheries, biodiversity and ecosystem services, health, industry, human settlements, disaster management, and conflict and security		design of the public space
The development process may involve workers from outside the region / location. This can have social impacts, such as violence against women and children Based on data from the Centre for Integrated Services for Women's Empowerment and Child Protection (P2TP2A), East Kalimantan Province, in 2014 there were 369 cases of violence against women and in 2015 there were an increase of 613 cases. Meanwhile, based on data per city / district, in Samarinda Municipality there are 344 cases. Data from the Women Empowerment and Child Protection Agency (DP2PA) in 2019 recorded 132 cases of violence. Of the total number of cases of violence, 107 cases of violence against children and 25 cases of violence against women, with the most types of violence were sexual violence and psychological violence. Based on the gender profile book of Samarinda City, it is known that child marriage often occurs throughout 2019. The total number of child marriage cases that occurred in 2019 was 91 children (aged 15-18 years).	Poor	During this reporting period, the construction work has not been commenced, so measures to mitigate possible occurrence of violation has not been implemented yet, although the mitigation plan has been discussed.
The Gender Development Measurement/Index for Samarinda City in 2019 is 89.41, while the Gender Empowerment Measurement/ Index for Samarinda City in 2019 is 66.29. Based on data, Kota Samarinda is still dealing with gender disparities in the role / participation of women in politics, economy and decision making, as well as control of economic resources.	Good	The initial gender assessment has been followed up. Throughout the project implementation, women have been actively involved. Women have also been provided with capacity-building opportunities, enabling them to play a role in the sustainability of project management.
The government of Indonesia has put strong efforts in promoting gender equality. The country has put in place a number of laws, regulations and programmes that provide support to girls and women. However, gender inequality is still persisting, although there are already progress to date. Legal barriers in some key areas, coupled with unequal gender norms and gender role practiced in the community continue to prevent girls and women from fulfilling their rights. Gender mainstreaming is important in development planning, especially in urban and regional planning, in accordance with the mandate of Presidential Instruction No. 9 Year 2000 which obliges government agencies at all levels to carry out gender mainstreaming, namely placing gender as one of the bases for consideration of developing work programs. More recently, the Indonesian Government committed to improving gender equality in RPJMN	Poor	The planned gender analysis of all budget lines and financial instruments for climate change initiatives has not been conducted.

2020-2024, which includes targets on access to education, employment, health, violence, and representation in politics. Decree of the Minister of Public Works regarding the Establishment of the Gender Mainstreaming Team of the Ministry of Public Works No. 165 / KPTS / M / 2013 Guidelines for Gender Responsive Planning and Budgeting The Samarinda City Government has a Regional Regulation on Gender Mainstreaming in the Region No.2 /2020		
--	--	--

Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	The implementing entity has required the submission of quarterly progress reports to the executing entity to ensure that project implementation is monitored in compliance with the safeguard GP.
Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	Executing Entity reports on monitoring and evaluation activities related to the GP. Participatory designing and planning of the public space have been conducted involving all stakeholders to make sure that the GP compliance is fulfilled
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	Yes

Section 4: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	Yes
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process used	Provide the status/outcome
---	---	----------------------------

Comments

Rating

Implementing Entity

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Research and Development on	Outcome 8	1 Complete document related to	Delayed	Unsatisfactory

city-wide adaptation to climate change through public spaces		Collective approaches and theoretical model for a new typology of Public Space related to the traditional market and riverside area improvement as the social and economic activities epicenter in adapting climate change		
Awareness raising and local resilience strengthening through the design and implementation of a new Public Space typology	Outcome 3	650 (390 male, 260 female) of targeted population aware of climate projections and expected impacts	Delayed	Unsatisfactory
Community-based infrastructure developed resulting in a strengthened adaptive capacity	Outcome 4	1 public space	Delayed	Satisfactory
Capacity building, knowledge management and communication	Outcome 2	20 staff trained	Ontrack	Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
Abimanyu Sasongko Aji	abimanyu.aji@kemitraan.or.id

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project provides innovative idea towards conventional public space arrangement in Indonesia and was welcome with enthusiasm, not only from the municipal government but also from the community through its inclusive and participative development process. However, during the course of implementation, the project suffered under project leadership issue leading to confusion and delays in the implementation of essential project components, especially the community development part. Indeed, the team of CeCUR has so far managed to redirect the course of the implementation to the current status. Support of the municipal government is highly appreciated and has triggered spirit of inclusive and more adaptive municipal development planning. Solid project team work on the ground made it possible to bring the project to the current status.

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Research and Development on city-wide adaptation to climate change through public spaces	Outcome 8	1 Complete document related to Collective approaches and theoretical model for a new typology of Public Space related to the traditional market and riverside area improvement as the social and economic activities epicenter in adapting climate change	Delayed	Satisfactory
Awareness raising and local resilience strengthening through the design and implementation of a new Public Space	Outcome 3	650 (390 male, 260 female) of targeted population aware of climate projections and expected impacts	Delayed	Satisfactory

typology 				
Community-based infrastructure developed resulting in a strengthened adaptive capacity	Outcome 4	1 public space	Delayed	Satisfactory
Capacity building, knowledge management and communication	Outcome 2	20 staff trained	Ontrack	Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email	Institution
R.A Retno Hastijanti	retno.hastijanti@cecur.or.id	Centre of Climate Urban Resilience (CeCUR) of the UNTAG

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project has achieved notable success in its mission to promote climate adaptation and resilience through public spaces. Key accomplishments include the development of adaptive public space principles that emphasize sustainability, inclusivity, and resilience, as well as the engagement of 650 participants in climate awareness initiatives. The construction of public spaces is 90% complete, with significant community involvement and capacity-building efforts ensuring future ownership and effective management. Additionally, the creation of diverse media such as books, videos, and journals has broadened the reach of the project's outcomes, inspiring replication and contributing to climate adaptation discussions. Despite these achievements, certain areas require attention. The adaptive principles developed in Component 1 need piloting and practical evaluation to measure their effectiveness. Delays in completing construction in Component 3 could hinder timely project benefits, and the dissemination efforts in Component 4 need more targeted engagement to ensure materials reach the intended audiences effectively. Addressing these challenges is vital to maximizing the project's long-term impact. To strengthen outcomes, the project should focus on piloting and measuring the real-world impact of the adaptive principles while refining them based on stakeholder feedback. Efforts must be made to expedite construction while maintaining quality and developing a community-led operational plan. Furthermore, targeted campaigns should enhance the dissemination of project outcomes, particularly to policymakers and practitioners. By implementing these steps, the project can serve as a model for sustainable urban development and climate adaptation.

Other

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------	--------

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
------	-------

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall Rating

Overall rating

Satisfactory

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Despite the delay and hick-ups, the current progress achievement is satisfactory given the challenge that the project team have faced during the initial phase of the project implementation. Further, this project has set a benchmark of inclusive and participative process of development that have successfully engaged vulnerable groups, local community, CSOs and the Municipal Government. This process has been much appreciated by the stakeholders and serve as a good example for other local governments in Indonesia.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc...)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Outcomes	Outcome 1.1 Collective approaches (In one module) and theoretical model for a new typology of Public Space related to the traditional market and riverside area improvement as the social and economic activities epicenter in adapting climate change	0	95%	1 Complete document related to Collective approaches and theoretical model for a new typology of Public Space related to the traditional market and riverside area improvement as the social and economic activities epicenter in adapting climate change
Outputs	Output 1.1.1 Complete research regarding best practices and lessons learned of public space in the Asia-Pacific Region, and South-East cities	0	95%	1 Complete document of mapping and research finding regarding best practices and lessons learned of public space in the Asia-Pacific Region, and South-East cities
Outputs	Output 1.1.2 Complete guideline of tool and methodology for the evaluation of climate-resilient public space	0	100%	1 Complete document of mapping and research finding regarding best practices and lessons learned of public space in the Asia-Pacific Region, and South-East cities

Outputs	Output 1.1.3 Complete guideline of new public space typologies best practices Output 1 Complete guideline of tool and methodology for the evaluation of climate-resilient public space	0	80%	1 Complete document of new public space typologies best practices
Outcomes	Outcome 2.1 650 (390 male, 260 female) of targeted population aware of climate projections and expected impacts	0	205 people (103 male, 102 female) aware of climate change adaptation	50% participating from 650 (390male, 260 female)
Outputs	Output 2.1.1 Complete community profiles document. 600 participants involve (360 male, 240 female)	0	1 community profile and involving 667 people (338 male, 329 female) in profiling	1 and 50% participants involve
Outputs	Output 2.1.2 Targeted communities are engaged in design processes through a participatory approach (e.g., workshops, interactive debates, etc.), focused on climate-resilient public spaces	0	1 design and 50% participant involve	1 design and 50% participant involve
Outcomes	Outcome 2.2 Physical infrastructure improved to with stand climate change and variability-induced stress. 150 (90 male, 60 female) of targeted group involved in the development process	0	Construction progress 90%	1 public space
Outputs	Output 2.2.1 Physical public space build constructed to withstand conditions resulting from	0	90%	1 public space and 50% participants involve

	climate variability and change (by asset types). 100 participants involve (60 male, 40 female)			
Outputs	Output 2.2.2 Community groups are established, based on existing governance structures (if present), to ensure adequate maintenance of the public spaces	0	50%	1 formalize entity
Outcomes	Outcome 3.1 Increased capacity at the city- and community-levels on climate-resilient strategies and design options for public spaces	0	100%	150 (90 male, 60 female) targeted institutions beneficiaries with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks
Outputs	Output 3.1.1 Training for community groups to divulgate findings of the project and methodology of the intervention	0	100%	1 training (30% women participating)
Outputs	Output 3.1.2 Training for government officials in key sectors (e.g., planning departments) on project findings, methodologies and approaches applied for replication	0	90%	50 (30 male, and 20 female) Government officials trained to design and replicate the public space approaches
Outcomes	Outcome 3.2 Knowledge sharing and increased awareness on project results among targeted audience (communities, governmental bodies, general public)	0	85%	125 (75 male, 50 female) Percentage of targeted population aware of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses
Outputs	Output 3.2.1 Lessons learned and best practices on climate-resilient public	0	80%	1 book 1 Video and 50% participant from 125 (45 male, 30 female), 1 new

	spaces and community adaptive capacity building are captured and disseminated for regional replication			outlet the local press and media covering the topic
Outcomes	Outcome 4.1 Increased understanding and awareness of the impact of the intervention	0	0%	1 report
Outputs	Output 4.1.1 Evaluation of place quality before the intervention, at completion of the intervention, and two years after the completion of the interventions	0	71.62%	1 report and 50% participant involve
Outcomes	Outcome 4.2 High-level internal meeting with Samarinda Government Municipalities	0	0%	1 report of activities
Outputs	Output 4.2.1 Policy advocacy related to the public space development benefits and further climate-resilient mainstreaming agendas	0	4.52%	1 report of activities and 50% participant involve

Comments

Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management		
Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)	Challenges & Opportunities	Significant changes were made to the project design to address clean water availability. Some activities initially focused on flood mitigation were transformed into initiatives aimed at improving access to and the quality of clean water for the community. New programs, such as infrastructure

		development for water provision and community education on water management, were incorporated into the project.
Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts?	Challenges	Yes. in preventing unwanted negative impacts. The shift in focus to clean water ensured that community needs remained a priority, and updated mitigation measures were implemented to address the new challenges that arose. The safeguards helped maintain a balance between project objectives and community welfare.
How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.	Challenges	Gender considerations were actively included throughout the reporting period. Programs designed to empower women, especially in managing and distributing clean water, yielded positive results. Key lessons learned include the fact that actively involving women in the project enhances the effectiveness and sustainability of climate change adaptation. Gender-specific project indicators, such as women's participation in training and decision-making, highlighted their crucial role in climate adaptation.
Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?	Challenges	Yes, There were delays due to the change in focus from flood adaptation to clean water availability. This shift required time for redesigning certain activities, which slowed down the overall implementation. Measures were taken to reduce these delays, including quick evaluations and adjustments to the project plan, as well as intensive coordination with relevant stakeholders to ensure that the project could proceed smoothly with the new focus.
What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress?	Challenges & Opportunities	Initially, the project focused on flood adaptation, but this shifted towards the availability of clean water due to the

		successful reduction of flooding by the government in the project area. While the clean water issue became more relevant and directly impactful to the beneficiaries—especially during flooding—the shift required a reallocation of resources and redesigning of some planned activities. This change impacted the overall progress of the project, as it necessitated new strategies and approaches.
--	--	--

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

Yes

Climate Resilience Measures	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	Positive 1. Increase public awareness of climate change issues 2. Provide public knowledge related to renewable energy technology innovation 3. Increase community capacity in resource management for climate change adaptation Negative 1. Lack of local knowledge can cause obstacles in climate resilience in the community. 2. Too much depend on the use of technology will affect social aspects in the community 3. Rejection of new technology
What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	1. Sustainable water management 2. Use of renewable energy 3. Waste management 4. Circular economy 5. Increase community resilience through the development of public spaces to improve social relations and networks between residents to tackle climate change issues.
Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness grants)	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?	NIE has not received any readiness grant
How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from	As above

employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
Concrete Adaptation Interventions	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?	1. Using rainwater as an alternative water source 2. Promote and promote the use of solar and wind energy technologies as alternative energy for electricity 3. Processing Segiri Market waste
What is the potential for the concrete adaptation interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	1. Rainwater harvesting 2. Segiri Market waste water management 3. Use of renewable energy 4. Waste management
Knowledge Management	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	1. To understand the initial conditions of the community and location 2. To understand problems and potential risk of the community 3. For planning and design Population data of Segiri market and trader, data of the land and soil area, socio-economic data of community, environmental data (water conditions, wind, sun intensity by location, frequency in rainfall)
Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what channels of dissemination have been used?	Yes, the existing information, data, and knowledge have been shared with the relevant stakeholders. Climate change adaptation campaign messages are communicated to direct beneficiaries and local communities through social media channel, Instagram. These messages are also shared during public discussions and training for residents of Temindung Permai and Sidodasi sub-districts. Additionally, workshops with residents and communities focus on designing climate-resilient public spaces. The principles of climate resilient public space design are presented at international conferences. The events include the World Water Forum in Bali in 2024, the 5th Urban Regeneration Sustainability (UR) Online Conference organized by the Department of Architects at the University of Palermo, Italy, and the 48th Southeast Asia Seminar, which addresses the co-creation of new urban living and advancing quality of life in the era of climate change, with online conference format
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever possible (e.g. project videos, project	Paper Proceeding and Infographic 1. The Role of Public Space as Resilience Infrastructure in Community Adaptation to Climate Change Impacts (Journal: Springer Nature on the process of publishing in mid 2025) https://centerforclimateandurbanresili.box.com/s/gjaunws9dwmaulf7ex6mvjvf306jjcto Potential Advantages of Combining Public Space and Technology for Climate Resilient

stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, training manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	https://centerforclimateandurbanresili.box.com/s/zrirwc6yfy40vafe2we8o9nkqa5utpjh3 10 Principles of Climate-Resilient Public Space https://centerforclimateandurbanresili.box.com/s/7muh6pz4fwp6vnml78xr1k3qyxqw14
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	The purpose of learning from climate change adaptation activities is to enhance the capacity of residents to respond to climate change. For example, training sessions on planting medicinal plants and using renewable energy—such as installing solar panels and wind turbines in public spaces—are being developed for the residents and communities. These training modules will be replicated for wider use. Through these activities, residents will learn to apply and utilize medicinal plants for family health, thus contributing to health resilience. Additionally, the renewable energy training aimed to familiarize residents with clean energy solutions, integrating them into their daily lives.
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	Accessing data can be challenging, particularly due to the time required for data collection and the archipelagic nature of Indonesia. For instance, conducting comparative field studies of public spaces across various cities in Indonesia and Southeast Asia can be time-consuming. Traveling to another city for primary data collection may require a two-hour journey from Samarinda or even a flight. However, digital technology has the potential to enhance data accessibility; for example, webinars combined with virtual tours could be utilized to facilitate this process.
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	Yes the identification of learning objectives has contributed to the outcomes of the project. (1) Engaged in workshops and training on the 10 principles of climate-resilient public spaces, along with renewable energy initiatives for citizens and communities, contributed to the outcome of developing new typology of climate-resilient public spaces. (2) Learning about participatory methods for creating public spaces that engage citizens and communities has led to greater awareness among the public regarding climate change adaptation (outcome). It has also fostered a sense of belonging over the public spaces (outcome).
Innovation	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	In this mid-term period, we have developed a wastewater treatment system for market wastewater, transforming it into clean and uncontaminated water. The system involves three key stages: physical treatment, chemical treatment with an aerobic process, and biological treatment. Through this approach, we ensure that the wastewater is processed efficiently, meeting cleanliness and environmental standards.
Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other climate finance? Or has the project build upon any other climate finance initiative?	No
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and

programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report? Before Midterm

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries

		Total	% of female beneficiaries	% of Youth beneficiaries
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0	0
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	0	0	0
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	1335	29.96	6.89
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	702	61.4	44.87
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	2037	45.68	25.88
Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project	1516	39.31	9.14
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project	2151	47.56	19.23
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	3667	43.435	14.185
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)	0	0	0

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats

Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis

	Number of targeted stakeholders - Total	Number of targeted stakeholders - % of female targeted	Hazards information generated and disseminated	Overall effectiveness
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

	No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments	Sector	Scale	Status
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	No. of adopted Early Warning Systems	Category targeted	Hazard	Geographical coverage	Number of municipalities
Baseline information					
Target performance at completion					
Performance at mid-term					
Performance at completion					

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from

targeted institutions increased

	Number of staff targeted - Total	Number of staff targeted - % of female targeted	Sector	Capacity level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	Total staff trained	% of female staff trained	Type
Baseline information	0	0	Public
Target performance at completion	20	40	Public
Performance at mid-term	14	21.43	Public
Performance at completion			

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	Type	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

	Number of beneficiaries	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes

Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses

	Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures	Sector
Baseline information	0	Urban development
Target performance at completion	50	Urban development
Performance at mid-term	12	Urban development
Performance at completion		

Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
Baseline information	0	0	2: Partially not aware
Target performance at completion	650	40	4: Mostly aware
Performance at mid-term	1516	39.31	2: Partially not aware
Performance at completion			

Output 3.2: Strengthened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committees/associations	Level of awareness
Baseline information	0	20% to 39%	2: Partially not aware
Target performance at completion	1	40% to 60%	4: Mostly aware
Performance at mid-term	0	40% to 60%	2: Partially not aware
Performance at completion			

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
Baseline information	0	Technical guidelines	Regional
Target performance at	1	Technical guidelines	Regional

completion			
Performance at mid-term	0	Technical guidelines	Local
Performance at completion			

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets

Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
Baseline information	Urban development	Local	2: Partially responsive (Lacks most elements)
Target performance at completion	Urban development	Local	4: Mostly responsive (Most defined elements)
Performance at mid-term	Urban development	Local	2: Partially responsive (Lacks most elements)
Performance at completion			

Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
Baseline information	Water management	2: Physical asset (produced/improved/strengthened)	2: Somewhat improved
Target performance at completion	Water management	2: Physical asset (produced/improved/strengthened)	4: Mostly Improved
Performance at mid-term	Water management	2: Physical asset (produced/improved/strengthened)	2: Somewhat improved
Performance at completion			

Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and

variability-induced stress**Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress**

	Natural resource improvement level	Sector	Type
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilitated	Unit	Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas**Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets**

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	Improvement level
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				

Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures

Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy

	Integration level
Baseline information	
Target performance at completion	
Performance at mid-term	
Performance at completion	

Output 7: Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
Baseline information				
Target performance				

at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced

	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies

Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
Baseline information	Water management	Local	Innovation replicated
Target performance at completion	Water management	Local	Innovation replicated
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, scaled up, encourages and/or accelerated

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
Baseline information	0	Water management	No innovative practices	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	1	Water management	Undertaking innovative practices	3: Moderately effective
Performance at mid-term	1	Water management	Undertaking innovative practices	1: Ineffective
Performance at completion				

Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated

	No. of key findings	Type	Effectiveness
--	---------------------	------	---------------

	generated		
Baseline information	0	Innovative practice	1: Ineffective
Target performance at completion	1	Innovative practice	3: Moderately effective
Performance at mid-term	1	Innovative practice	1: Ineffective
Performance at completion			