



ADAPTATION FUND

Project Performance Report

Overview

Period of Report (Dates)	3/21/2022 - 3/21/2023
Project Title	Talent Retention for Rural Transformation - Adapt (TRTP-Adapt)
Project Summary	
Database Number	AF00000186
Implementing Entity (IE)	International Fund Agricultural Dev
Type of IE	Multilateral Implementing Entity
Country(ies)	Moldova
Relevant Geographic Points (i.e. cities, villages, bodies of water)	The project has a national coverage and is implemented in the areas controlled by the Government of Moldova (GoM) except the entities registered in Chisinau and Balti.
Name of Implementing Entity Focal Point	Janie Rioux

Project Milestones

AFB Approval Date	10/11/2019
IE-AFB Agreement Signature Date	5/6/2020
Start of Project/Programme	3/22/2021
Actual Mid-term Review Date (if applicable)	
Original Completion Date	3/21/2027
Revised Completion Date after approval of extension request (if applicable)	

Were there any approval condition for this Project?

No

List each approval condition, if any, and report on the status of meeting them

Category of condition	
Condition or Requirement	
Current Status	
Planned actions, including a detailed time schedule	

List (only) inception report/ extension request(s)/ MTR that have been prepared for the project and provide date(s) of submission for each

Inception report submitted to the AF on 20 May 2021

List the Website address (URL) of project

<http://www.ucipifad.md/>

Project Contacts			
National/Regional Project Manager/Coordinator	Name	Email	Date
Implementing Entity	Samir Bejaoui	s.bejaoui@ifad.org	11/10/2023
Government(s) DA	Vladimir Bolea	vladimir.bolea@maia.gov.md	11/10/2023
Executing Agency	Iurie Uşurelu	iurie.usurelu@ucipifad.md	11/10/2023
Executing Agency	Sergiu Gherciu	sergiu.gherciu@maia.gov.md	11/10/2023

Financial Data

Disbursement of AF grant funds	
Cumulative total disbursement from Trustee to IE as of date (\$)	\$2,136,105.00
Estimated cumulative total disbursement from IE to EEs as of date (\$)	\$138,498.00
Project disbursement rate (%)	38.58
Project execution rate (%)	2.50
Add any comments on AF Grant Funds	
Investment Income (\$)	\$0.00
Cumulative Investment Income since inception (\$)	\$0.00

Expenditure Data	
Output	Amount (\$)
Output 1.1.1 Training expert recruited to design CA ToT programme and to train trainers.	\$0.00
Output 1.1.2 As part of the Service Provider ToRs 10 demo plots will be identified and set up with USD 7,500 grants based on specific criteria.	\$0.00
Output 1.1.3 FFS set up and 5,000 beneficiaries trained in groups of around 20 on climate resilient techniques and approaches to adapt to weather extremes of which at least 400 on water efficient irrigation.	\$0.00
Output 1.2.1 Training expert recruited and 40 Professionals trained including new graduates and existing professionals in gender awareness, CA, and CA technical and service provision to smallholder farmers.	\$0.00
Output 1.3.1. Knowledge management and climate change awareness raising system established and implemented (Climate vulnerability Baseline Survey for TRTP)	\$15,087.00
Output 2.1 Nationwide annual 4-year annual mobilisation campaign to be carried out together with TRTP to raise awareness about the benefits of the project through workshops and seminars organised in the identified vulnerable agroecological regions and beneficiaries supported in making their applications.	\$0.00
Output 2.2 Improved smallholder access to water from rivers and rainwater reservoirs (construction of canals linked to pumping stations on rivers and natural reservoirs or to larger functional secondary pipelines and supply systems and investment in micro- and small-irrigation pumping stations or water harvesting ponds and tertiary canal and pipeline distribution network).	\$0.00
Output 2.3.1 t least 400 households to receive climate smart, waterefficient irrigation grants Support for pro-poor escrow account banking fees	\$0.00
Output 2.3.2 Around 4600 supported with farm tools to apply climate adaptive farming	\$0.00

techniques (shredders for crop residues; machines for distribution of organic fertilizers; compost making machines; row cultivators; weed burners; and hand tools; drainage making support; laying of gravel to increase soil water uptake and reduce erosion)	
Output 3.1.1 National overview of CA adoption to inform policy and the development of a national CA curriculum (recruiting of team of experts and enumerators conducting nationwide survey, producing report of findings and White Paper on recommendations for CA in Moldova)	\$0.00
Output 3.1.2 (Possibly two) national convention(s) on CA	\$0.00
Output 3.1.3 Conservation Agriculture mainstreamed into the national higher-level educational system (translating and printing of textbooks and journals on CA) 33,000 33,000 Two one-year gender-balanced scholarships to study a Masters in Conservation Agriculture	\$0.00
Output 3.2.1 Research institute supported with CA machinery for demo plots. These will be subject to proposals and technical review by the CPIU but could include 6-row no-till drill and CA seeder. The research institute will be supported in the demo plots for 5 years, the running costs of which co-financed by the institute that will also be open to University students to use for research purposes. The research institute will be supported with research grants over the course of the 5 years that will also give university students practical exposure to CA. Soil testing capacity is supported for 5 years (equipment and chemicals)	\$0.00
IE fee (\$)	\$94,113.91
Execution cost (\$)	\$27,403.00

Planned Expenditure Schedule

Output	Projected Cost (\$)	Estimated Completion Date
Output 1.1.1. A Training of Trainers (ToT) programme designed and implemented	\$32,980.00	12/30/2023
Output 1.1.2: 10 Demo plots identified and set up	\$94,580.00	3/20/2024
Output 1.1.3 500 beneficiaries (out of total 5000) trained in groups of around 20 on climate resilient techniques and approaches to adapt to weather extremes of which at least 400 on water efficient irrigation	\$31,275.00	3/30/2024
Output 1.2.1. CA Training expert recruited and 3-5 trainers of trainers are identified and trained	\$14,000.00	3/20/2024
Output 1.3.1. Knowledge management and climate change awareness raising system established and implemented	\$30,000.00	3/20/2024
Output 2.1.1. Annual mobilisation campaign delivered and beneficiaries supported in making grant applications	\$52,905.00	3/30/2024
Output 2.2.1: Improved smallholder access to water from rivers and rainwater reservoirs (Service provider for feasibility study of investment proposals)	\$8,000.00	3/30/2024
Output 3.1.1. National overview of CA adoption to inform policy and the development of a national CA curriculum (conducting nationwide survey, producing report of findings and White Paper on recommendations for CA in Moldova)	\$4,200.00	12/30/2023
Output 3.1.1./3.1.1. Conservation Agriculture mainstreamed in the national high-level educational system (translating and printing textbooks and journals on CA)	\$19,250.00	3/30/2024
Output 3.1.2. National convention on CA	\$3,000.00	12/30/2023
Output 3.1.3. Service provider reviews existing CA master programs (for scholarship grants)	\$1,500.00	12/30/2023
Output 3.2.1. Research institute supported with grant for CA machinery, research grants years, soil testing capacity (equipment and chemicals)	\$157,500.00	3/20/2024

	\$0.00
IE fee (\$)	\$0.00
Execution cost (\$)	\$34,485.00

Actual co-financing (if the MTR or TE have not been undertaken this reporting period, do not report on actual co-financing)

Does this Project have Co-Financing ?	No
How much of the total co-financing as committed in the Project Document has actually been realized? (\$)	\$0.00
Estimated cumulative actual co-financing as verified during Mid-term Review (MTR) or Terminal Evaluation (TE). (\$)	\$0.00
Add any comments on actual co-financing in particular any issues related to the realization of in-kind, grant, credits, loans, equity, non-grant instruments and other types of co-financing.	

Risk Assessment

Identified Risks

List all Risks identified in project preparation phase and what steps are being taken to mitigate them

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
Low interest and capacity of smallholder to adopt new climate smart approaches and technologies.	Low	A contracted service provider conducted an awareness and mobilisation campaign in rural areas. The campaign started on March 10, 2023 and by the end of the current reporting period (March 21, 2023) three events took place and 119 farmers were informed about the opportunities related to the new climate adapted smart technologies offered by TRTP-Adapt project. During the upcoming reporting period 12 more events will take place, reaching an additional 480 small-scale farmers (based on the past statistics).
Water is a scarce resource the use of which is heavily regulated. There is a risk that the institutional process of requesting and issuing permits is long and laborious leading to delays in project implementation.	Low	The Commission for Exceptional Situations modified, in August 2022, the list of documents required to obtain the environmental authorization for the special use of water for the purpose of irrigating agricultural land from reservoirs or ponds. Thus, land owners will not have to present a whole series of documents, but will be limited to some information about the land that will be irrigated and about the reservoir. The period of obtaining the water permit is also reduced from 30 to 20 days. The process is mainstreamed via a "one stop shop" managed by the Environmental Agency, but also involves implication of the Agency for technical Supervision, National Agency for Public Health, Agency "Apele Moldove" (Moldovan Waters) and National Inspectorate for Environmental Protection. These changes there were pushed by the Ministry of Agriculture to which the executing Entity is subordinated.
Climatic shock: the main effect of climate change on weather patterns is the	Moderate	The programme has prepared a set of measures to mitigate this risk that have to be implemented during the active phase of the project, which will start in Q3 2023. By the reporting date those measure

increased occurrence of extreme weather events: droughts and flooding in particular. These climatic shocks can have a direct impact on crop production		included preparation of two RfPs and launch of a selection process for two service providers that will lead this activity, signing a contract with one of the service provider (ACSA). The second serviced provider is planned to be contracted by May 2023. The activities that will be implemented by these service providers include the measures meant to prepare the farmers to face the climatic shocks, e.g. trainings of farmers (the farmers will be trained to use irrigation equipment and act in case of flood); helping farmers to apply for AF grants for demo plots and irrigation equipment; knowledge sharing and dissemination of best practices related to climate resilience; activities related to promotion of Conservation Agriculture (national census, developing of CA curriculum for the univercities; support to a scientific insitution dealing with CA).
Insufficient capacities to appropriately manage the day-today implementation of the project	Low	It was decided that IFAD will participate as an observer in all stages of the recruitment process and the staff of the CPIU will be linked to the project by renewable annual contracts based on a performance evaluation. IFAD is also engaged in regular Implementation Support Missions, supporting the project in activity planning and implementation. During the reporting period, one implementation support mission was scheduled in December 2022 and one supervision mission was scheduled in May 2023, with a dedicated AF specialist.

Critical Risks Affecting Progress (Not identified at project design)

Are there any critical risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project? No

Identify Risks with a 50% or > likelihood of affecting progress of project

Identified Risk	Current Status	Steps taken to mitigate risk
-----------------	----------------	------------------------------

Risk Measures

Were there any risk mitigation measures employed during the current reporting period? If so, were risks reduced? If not, why were these risks not reduced?

None

ESP Compliance

Section 1: Identified ESP Risk Management

Was the ESP risks identification complete at the time of funding approval? Yes

1.Compliance with the law

Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal? No

During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable

impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
2.Access and equity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
3.Marginalized and vulnerable Groups	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	

List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
4.Human rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
5.Gender equality and women's empowerment	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard	

measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
6.Core labour rights	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
7.Indigenous people	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	

List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
8. Involuntary resettlement	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
9. Protection of natural habitats	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Low risk. The project is highly unlikely to pose a risk to critical natural habitats. The ongoing mobilization campaigns will allow to make a definitive assessment, by identifying specific areas of

	implementation.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	For the reporting period: - Inclusion of AF safeguards in the Project Implementation Manual (PIM) and the Annual Work Plan and Budget (AWPB). - All protected areas identified and mapped at country level. - Setting up of screening panel and screening requirements. Ongoing: - Inclusion in public tenders that the GPS locations of project activities need to be provided and a mapping of project locations vis-à-vis protected areas. - Screening of proposals and grants for funding to ensure that project activities will not operate in or near protected areas. - Should proposals be received within or near identified protected areas they will need to include: i. an analysis on the nature and the extent of the impact including direct, indirect, cumulative, or secondary impacts; the severity or significance of the impact; and a demonstration that the impact is consistent with management plans and affected area custodians. ii. Describe the location of the critical habitat in relation to the project and why it cannot be avoided, as well as its characteristics and critical value. - The screening panel should assess whether ESP compliance and proposed mitigations measures are sufficient.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1- Number of geo-referenced locations of project activities mapped against natural habitats 2- Reviewing the screening checklist for grants against the map of natural habitats
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Baseline is 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	During the full IFAD/AF project design IFAD carried out a SECAP and ESA that identified and excluded national parks ensuring that the project will not directly or indirectly impact protected areas or high value conservation areas. During the current reporting period, the PMU has referred to the ESA and carried out the following activities: - Inclusion of AF safeguards in the Project Implementation Manual (PIM) and the Annual Work Plan and Budget (AWPB). - All protected areas identified and mapped at country level. - Setting up of screening panel and screening requirements.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	None
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	None
10. Conservation of biological diversity	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable	No

impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Low risk. The ongoing mobilization campaigns will allow to make a definitive assessment, by identifying specific areas of implementation.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	For the reporting period: - Inclusion of AF safeguards in the Project Implementation Manual (PIM) and the Annual Work Plan and Budget. - Conduct an assessment and mapping of the national of threatened flora and fauna species (if any). - Setting up of screening panel and screening requirements. Ongoing: - Assessment of whether proposed activities are within areas identified as containing threatened flora and fauna species integrated in the proposal selection process. - Inclusion in public tenders that project activities need to be screened for threatened flora and fauna. - Screen and assess whether project activities are a potential threat to threatened species. - Should threatened flora and fauna be identified in proposals, carry out the following: i. Describe the elements of known biological diversity importance in the project area, using any relevant sources of information, such as protection status, status on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species and other inventories, recognition as a UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Programme reserve, Ramsar site. ii. Describe why the biological diversity cannot be avoided and what measures will be taken to minimize impacts. - The screening panel should assess whether the proposed mitigation measures are sufficient.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1- Number of geo-referenced locations of project activities mapped against the national hotspots for threatened flora and fauna 2- Reviewing the screening checklist for grants and include the map of national thretened flora and fauna
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Baseline is 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	For the reporting period: - Inclusion of AF safeguards in the Project Implementation Manual (PIM) and the Annual Work Plan and Budget. - Conduct an assessment and mapping of the national of threatened flora and fauna species (if any). - Integrated screening requirements.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	None
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	None
11.Climate change	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require	

management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
12.Pollution prevention and resource efficiency	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Possible risk for outcome 2.2: - There is a risk that project activities will add extraction pressures on a limited resource. - There is a risk that water used as a result of the outcome 2.2 tertiary canals (through the TRTP programme) from rivers or rain water reservoirs is not used efficiently.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	For the upcoming reporting period: - In order to ensure that project activities will not add extraction pressure on a limited resource, the officer in charge of environmental safeguards within the CPIU will assess whether the proposed water permit framework in the project proposal is still relevant. - The CPIU will hire a consultant to work with MAIA to explore ways within the national legal and procedural framework to streamline the national water permit approval process and requirements for simple investment proposals for the grants. - Update the PIM and ESMP with revised safeguard guidelines - Based on the revised guidelines screen grant proposals to ensure that they have the required water permits to ensure grant approval. In order to ensure that water is used efficiently, the project has put in place provisions to access the off-farm irrigation (under outcome 2.2) only with efficient water irrigation technologies.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact	1- Number of proposals received, duly completed,

identified.	and with valid water permit 2- The screening checklist for grants include provisions related to the water permits 3 - Number of site verification visits for off-farm applications
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Baseline is 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	No measures implemented yet
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	None
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	None
13.Public health	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	
14.Physical and cultural heritage	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	Yes
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	Yes
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	Low risk. At project design the project is not able to determine the project areas and conduct a full risk assessment.
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the	For the reporting period: - Inclusion of AF safeguards in the Project Implementation Manual (PIM) and the Annual Work Plan and Budget. - All physical and cultural areas identified and mapped in all the

safeguard measures by activity.	country - Setting up of screening panel and screening requirements for the award of grants. Ongoing: - Inclusion in public tenders that the GPS locations of project activities need to be provided and a mapping of project locations vis-à-vis protected areas. - Screening of proposals and grants for funding to ensure that project activities will not operate in or near protected cultural areas. - Should proposals be received within or near identified cultural areas proposals for screening and review will need to include: i. Provide an inventory of the physical and cultural heritage present in the wider project area that enjoys recognition at community, national, or international levels. Describe the cultural heritage, the location and the results of a risk assessment analysing the potential for impacting the cultural heritage; and ii. Describe the measures to be taken to ensure that cultural heritage is not impacted, and if it is being accessed by communities, how this access will continue. - The screening panel should assess whether mitigation measures are sufficient.
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact identified.	1- Number of geo-referenced project activities mapped against cultural heritage sites 2- Reviewing the screening checklist for grants to ensure proposals do not affect cultural heritage.
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	Baseline is 0
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	For the reporting period: - Inclusion of AF safeguards in the Project Implementation Manual (PIM) and the Annual Work Plan and Budget. - All physical and cultural areas identified and mapped in all the country - Setting up of screening panel and screening requirements for the award of grants.
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	None
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	None
15.Lands and soil conservation	
Are environmental or social risks present as per table II.K (II.L for REG) of the proposal?	No
During project/programme formulation, an impact assessment was carried out for the risks identified. Have impacts been identified that require management actions to prevent unacceptable impacts? (as per II.K/II.L)	
List the identified impacts for which safeguard measures are required (as per II.K/II.L)	
List here the safeguard measures (i.e. avoidance, management or mitigation) identified for each impact that are supposed to be (or had to be) implemented during the reporting period. Please break down the safeguard measures by activity.	
List the monitoring indicator(s) for each impact	

identified.	
State the baseline condition for each monitoring indicator	
Describe each safeguard measure that has been implemented during the reporting period	
Describe the residual impact for each impact identified - if any - using the monitoring indicator(s)	
Describe remedial action for residual impacts that will be taken	

Section 2: Monitoring for unanticipated impacts / corrective actions required

Has monitoring for unanticipated ESP risks been carried out?	No
Have unanticipated ESP risks been identified during the reporting period?	No
If unanticipated ESP risks have been identified, describe the safeguard measures that have been taken in response and how an ESMP has been prepared/updated	

Section 3: Categorisation

Is the categorisation according to ESP standards still relevant?	Yes
If No, please describe the changes made at activity, output or outcome level, approved by the Board, that resulted in this change of categorization.	

Section 4: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	The implementing entity has conducted regular supervision and implementation support missions in relations to the implementation of environmental and social safeguards. The project has been guided in the implementation of preparatory measures for safeguards application.
Have the implementation arrangements been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to implement the required ESP safeguard measures?	Only preparatory work was conducted at this stage (mapping protected areas, critical habitats and areas of cultural interest).
Have the implementation arrangements at the EEs been effective during the reporting period?	Yes

Section 5: Projects/programmes with unidentified sub-projects (USPs). This section needs to be completed only if the project/proramme includes USPs.

Have the arrangements for the process described in the ESMP for ESP compliance for USPs been put in place?	
Is the required capacity for ESMP implementation present and effective with the IE and the EE(s)? Please provide details.	
Have all roles and responsibilities adequately been	

assigned and positions filled?	
Has the overall ESMP been updated with the findings of the USPs that have been identified in this reporting period?	

Identified USPs in the reporting period	Application of ESMP to the USP	ESP risks identified for the USP	Has an impact assessment been carried out?	Consultation held for risks and impacts identification for USP	Gender disaggregation to identify risks and impacts	Safeguard measures identified for the USP	Monitoring indicator(s) for each impact
---	--------------------------------	----------------------------------	--	--	---	---	---

Section 6: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to environmental and social risks and impacts?	No
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received during the reporting period regarding environmental and social impacts; gender related matters; or any other matter of project/programme activities	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process	Provide the status/outcome
--	--	----------------------------

Comments

No grievance mechanism was in place during the reporting period due to a high staff turnover within the project implementation unit, however it is in place starting April 10, 2023. The impact of this delay was limited, due to a delay in the start-up of project activities on the ground.

GP Compliance

Section 1: Quality at entry

Was an initial gender assessment conducted during the preparation of the project/programme's first submission as a full proposal? Yes

Does the results framework include gender-responsive indicators broken down at the different levels (objective, outcome, output)? Yes

List the gender-responsive elements that were incorporated in the project/programme results framework

Gender-responsive element	Level	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Rated result for the reporting period
Strengthen the agro-ecological and social resilience to climate change in	Objective	No. of smallholder households benefitting from climate resilient	0	5,000 households (11,665 people, 40% - 4666 women) will benefit from	Poor

the climate vulnerable areas of Moldova, by enhancing water availability, water use efficiency, and promoting adaptive agriculture production systems and technologies for improved livelihoods and food security of rural households		improvements.		climate resilient improvements.	
Beneficiaries trained in groups of around 20 on climate resilient techniques and approaches to adapt to weather extremes and water efficient irrigation.	Output	No. of beneficiaries trained	0	At least 400 beneficiaries (40% - 160 women) trained on on-farm irrigation techniques. At least 400 beneficiaries (40% - 160 women) trained on climate resilience techniques.	Poor
Professionals trained in gender awareness, CA, and CA technical and service provision to smallholder farmers.	Output	No. of professionals trained	0	Around 40 professionals including recent university graduates and experienced professionals trained as service providers. (40% - 16 women)	Poor
Knowledge management and climate change awareness raising system established and implemented.	Output	Knowledge products produced and disseminated	0	Around 10,000 people (40% - 4,000 women) will receive improved climate change awareness through video clips broadcast on the AgroTV channel as well as social media (Facebook, Instagram and	Poor

				YouTube). Other outputs include radio programmes and the printed media including posters and leaflets.	
Annual mobilisation campaign delivered and beneficiaries supported in making grant applications	Output	No. of smallholders receiving information about the project	0	At least 5,000 households (11,665 people, 40% - 4666 women, 50% 5,383 youth) will benefit from climate resilient improvements	Poor
Beneficiary applications screened by CPIU for compliance to criteria.	Output	No. of demo plots application received No. of applications received that have successfully been granted water permits.	0	At least 400 smallholders (40% - 160 women 50% - 200 youth) successfully applied for water-efficient irrigation Around 4,600 smallholders (40% - 1,840 women 50% - 2,300 youth) successfully applied for climate adaptive training.	Poor
Improved smallholder access to water from rivers and rainwater reservoirs.	Output	No. of beneficiaries benefitting from improved access to water	0	Around 400 smallholders (40% -160 women) benefit from water access.	Poor
Households to received climate smart irrigation and support for banking fees for pro-poor escrow account	Output	No. of smallholders to receive on-farm water-efficient irrigation technology No. of farmers benefitting from escrow account	0	At least 400 households (40% -160 women) will benefit from technology that reduces irrigation water consumption, reduces production costs and increases yields and product quality. Up to 400	Poor

				farmers (40% – 160 women) benefitted from escrow account.	
Climate adaptive techniques implemented	Output	No. of climate vulnerable smallholders supported to implement climate adaptive training.	0	Around 4,600 farmers (40% – 1,840 women) will receive demand-driven equipment to help farms apply training in adapting to increased drought and flooding.	Poor
Conservation Agriculture mainstreamed into the national higher-level educational system.	Output	Number of scholarships supported	0	One male and one female beneficiaries supported with scholarships	Poor
CA research grants, demo sites, equipment, soil laboratory supported.	Output	A research institute is supported with CA	0	Gender an smallholder focused research produced	Poor
Strengthen the agro-ecological and social resilience to climate change in the climate vulnerable areas of Moldova, by enhancing water availability, water use efficiency, and promoting adaptive agriculture production systems and technologies for improved livelihoods and food security of rural households	Objective	No. of people with improved awareness about the impacts of climate change and basic measure that can be taken to adapt.	0	15,000 households (35,000 people, 40% - 14,000 women) will receive improved climate change awareness.	Poor

Section 2: Quality during implementation and at exit

List gender equality and women's empowerment issues encountered during implementation of the project/programme. For each gender equality and women's empowerment issue describe the progress that was made as well as the results.

Gender equality and women's empowerment issues	Rated result for the reporting period	Provide justification of the rating provided
Not applicable (as the active implementation phase of the project has just started on 10 March 2023)	Poor	Delays in implementation determined low achievements for the reporting period.

Section 3: Implementation arrangements

What arrangements have been put in place by the Implementing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP	A training has been provided by IFAD's Targeting and Gender Specialist to ensure a proper set up and functioning of the Grievance and Redress Mechanism. Support has also been provided for the recruitment of the Gender Expert. Aspects related to proper targeting and gender empowerment have been regularly assessed through Supervision Missions.
Have the implementation arrangements at the IE been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
What arrangements have been put in place by each Executing Entity during the reporting period to comply with the GP?	The arrangements have been effective, leading to the correct functioning of the Grievance and Redress Mechanism.
Have the implementation arrangements at the EE(s) been effective during the reporting period?	Yes
Have any capacity gaps affecting GP compliance been identified during the reporting period and if so, what remediation was implemented?	No

Section 4: Grievances

Was a grievance mechanism established capable and known to stakeholders to accept grievances and complaints related to gender equality and women's empowerment?	No
Were grievances received during the reporting period?	No

List all grievances received through the grievance mechanism during the reporting period regarding gender-related matters of project/programme activities [6]	For each grievance, provide information on the grievance redress process used	Provide the status/outcome used

Comments

Since the TRTP Targeting and Gender Strategy was approved in January 2023, it is too early to assess whether it has been effective. The implementation has also moved slowly because of a delay in recruiting a dedicated Targeting and Gender Specialist.

Rating

Implementing Entity				
Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Outcome 1.1 Climate resilient training programme established	Outcome 3	A contracted service provider (SP) will develop and implement the training programme. SP will provide practical and theoretical training through FFS using demo plots to grant and non-grant beneficiaries, which implies that in the first year of training, all participants will be non-grant beneficiaries according to the selection criteria. Sequencing of training before launching grants call is presented in the Project Implementation Manual.	Ontrack	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 1.2 Conservation agriculture (CA) professional service provider capacity built with a focus on smallholders	Outcome 4	A contracted service provider (SP) will develop and implement a training programme in CA for 40 professionals.	Delayed	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 1.3 Knowledge management	Outcome 3	A service provider for the implementation of a Knowledge Management Plan is contracted and the awareness raising activities started to be implemented.	Delayed	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 2.1 Community mobilisation undertaken with a focus on women and youth in the most climate-vulnerable areas	Outcome 3	The SP in charge of supporting the implementation of TRTP-Adapt interventions will be further involved among others in the annual mobilisation campaigns to raise awareness and generate interest in the project and ensure equal access to project activities for the most vulnerable.	Ontrack	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 2.2 Climate resilient off-farm access to water secured from tertiary canals from rivers and water harvesting ponds	Outcome 4	A Call for applications for climate resilient off-farm access to water secured from tertiary canals from rivers and water harvesting ponds is launched and applications received	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 3.1: CA mainstreamed into the national higher-level educational system	Outcome 4	A service provider responsible for implementation of CA related activities is contracted. This SP will conduct a national survey assessing the level of CA adoption in Moldova. Among other tasks, it will organize a national convention on CA, will develop CA curriculum and will select and translate CA manuals for universities and colleges.	Delayed	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 3.2 Research into CA supported with a focus on smallholders and women	Outcome 4	The CPIU will launch a Call for Proposal and will select on competitive basis a scientific research institution that will benefit from grants for CA research, CA equipment, demo plots and improving	Delayed	Marginally Satisfactory

		soil testing capacity.		
--	--	------------------------	--	--

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
Alessia Marazzi	a.marazzi@ifad.org

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project had a slow start due to a high turnover of staff within the Project Implementation Unit. The progress to date is rated moderately satisfactory, mainly related to the advancement in the recruitment of the Service Providers that pave the way for the procurement processes. However, the project is now on track to achieve its targets for the upcoming reporting period.

Executing Entity / Project Coordinator

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
Outcome 1.1 Climate resilient training programme established.	Outcome 3	A contracted SP will develop training materials on climate adaptive agricultural practices (including manual on efficient irrigation), will help potential beneficiaries in applying of grants for 10 demo plots and will start training smallholder farmers within Farmer Field Schools based on the demo plots.	Ontrack	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 1.2 Conservation agriculture (CA) professional service provider capacity built with a focus on smallholders	Outcome 4	A contracted service provider (SP) will develop and implement a training programme in CA for 40 professionals.	Delayed	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 1.3 Knowledge management	Outcome 3	A service provider for implementation of knowledge management plan is contracted and the awareness raising started to be implemented.	Delayed	Satisfactory
Outcome 2.1 Community mobilisation undertaken with a focus on women and youth in the most climate-vulnerable areas	Outcome 3	The SP in charge of supporting the implementation of TRTP-Adapt interventions will be further involved among others in the annual mobilisation campaigns to raise awareness and generate interest in the project and ensure equal access to project activities for the most vulnerable.	Ontrack	Satisfactory
Outcome 2.2 Climate resilient off-farm access to water secured from tertiary canals from rivers and water harvesting ponds	Outcome 4	A Call for applications for climate resilient off-farm access to water secured from tertiary canals from rivers and water harvesting ponds is launched and applications received. A Call for Proposals for on-farm small	Ontrack	Satisfactory

		irrigation grants is launched and applications are received.		
Outcome 2.3 Demand-driven and beneficiary co-financed on-farm water conservation management and climate adaptive techniques supported	Outcome 6	A Call for applications for climate resilient off-farm access to water secured from tertiary canals from rivers and water harvesting ponds is launched and applications received. A Call for Proposals for on-farm small irrigation grants is launched and applications are received.	Delayed	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 3.1: CA mainstreamed into the national higher-level educational system	Outcome 4	A service provider responsible for implementation of CA related activities is contracted. This SP will do a national survey regarding the level of CA adoption in Moldova, will organize a national convention on CA, will develop CA curriculum and will select and translate CA manuals for universities and colleges.	Delayed	Marginally Satisfactory
Outcome 3.2 Research into CA supported with a focus on smallholders and women	Outcome 4	The CPIU will launch a Call for Proposal and will select on competitive basis a scientific research institution that will benefit from grants for CA research, CA equipment, demo plots and improving soil testing capacity.	Delayed	Marginally Satisfactory

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email	Institution
Iurie Uşurelu	iurie.usurelu@ucipifad.md	Director of the Consolidated IFAD Programmes Management Unit, MARDE

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project has a slow start due to needed preparatory work (i.e. implementation staff recruitment, development of ToRs for the selection of service providers, procurement process to be conducted for the identification, selection and contracting of service providers, launching of call for proposals for off-farm Climate resilient off-farm access to water infrastructure, on-farm water management and CA research grant beneficiaries). At the current moment the preparatory activities are almost finished with one of the two main service providers started to work from 10 February 2023 and the selection process of the second main service provider is close to completion.

Other

Project components/outcomes	Alignment with AF outcomes	Expected Progress	Progress to date	Rating
-----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------	--------

Please provide the Name and Contact information of the person(s) responsible for completing the Rating section

Name	Email
------	-------

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

Overall Rating

Overall rating

Marginally Satisfactory

Please justify your rating. Outline the positive and negative progress made by the project since it started. Provide specific recommendations for next steps.

The project had a slow start due to a high turnover of staff within the Project Implementation Unit. The progress to date is rated moderately satisfactory, mainly related to the advancement in the recruitment of the Service Providers that pave the way for the procurement processes. However, the project is now on track to achieve its targets for the upcoming reporting period.

Project Indicators

List of indicators

Type of Indicator (indicators towards Objectives, Outcomes, etc...)	Indicator	Baseline	Progress Since Inception	Target for Project End
Objectives	Ha of smallholder agricultural land made climate resilient	0	0	25,000 ha of smallholder agricultural land that has benefitted from improved access to water, climate-smart irrigation systems and improved adaptive agricultural techniques
Objectives	No. of smallholder households benefitting from climate resilient improvements	0	0	5,000 households (11,665 people, 40% - 4666 women, 50% 5,383 youth) will benefit from climate resilient improvements
Objectives	No. of people with improved awareness about the impacts of climate change and basic measure that can be taken to adapt	0	0	35,000 people (15,000 households), 40% - 14,000 women, 50% - 17,500 youths, will receive improved climate change awareness
Outputs	Training programme	0	0. The training	Training programme

	designed		programme will be designed, once the service provider (SP1) has been selected.	designed and implemented
Outputs	No. of demo plots set up	0	0. The demo plots will be established once the service provider (SP1) has been selected, following the design of the training programme.	10 demo plots locations have been successfully identified, screened and implemented
Outputs	Number of beneficiaries trained	0	0. The trainings will happen once the demo plots are in place, following the selection of the SP.	At least 400 beneficiaries (40% - 160 women 50% - 200 youth) trained on on farm irrigation techniques
Outputs	Number of beneficiaries trained	0	0. Activities related to the training of 20 experts in efficient irrigation and climate adaptive agriculture (ToT) and the development of the related training materials will take place in Q3.	Around 4,600 farmers (40% - 1840 women 50% - 2,300 youth) trained on climate resilient techniques
Outputs	Number of professionals trained	0	0. Activities related to the training of 20 experts in efficient irrigation and climate adaptive agriculture (ToT) and the development of the related training materials will take place in Q3.	Around 40 professionals including recent university graduates and experienced professionals trained as service providers (40% - 16 women)
Outputs	Knowledge products produced and disseminated	0	0. Currently under development.	Around 10,000 people (40% - 4,000 women, 50% 5,000 youth) will receive improved climate change awareness through video clips broadcast on the AgroTV channel as well as social media (Facebook, Instagram and YouTube). Other

				outputs include radio programmes and the printed media including posters and leaflets
Outputs	No. of knowledge generating studies / research produced.	0	0	Baseline, studies on effectiveness of outcome 2.3 and impact assessment produced
Outputs	No. of smallholders receiving information about the project	0	8834 (19% women, 38% youth)	At least 11,665 people (5,000 households), 40% - 4666 women, 50% 5,383 youth, will benefit from climate resilient improvements
Outputs	No. of applications received that have successfully been granted water permits	0	0. No progress on this activity, The CPIU has defined application criteria.	At least 400 smallholders (40% - 160 women 50% - 200 youth) successfully applied for water efficient irrigation
Outputs	No. of demo plot applications received	0	0. No progress on this activity, The CPIU has defined application criteria.	Around 4,600 smallholders (40% - 1,840 women 50% - 2,300 youth) successfully applied for climate adaptive training
Outputs	No. of smallholders to receive on-farm water efficient irrigation technology	0	0. The call for application related to small irrigation grants will similarly take place from July 2023, following trainings on the demo plots.	At least 400 smallholders (40% - 160 women, 50% - 200 youth) will benefit from technology that reduces irrigation water consumption, reduces production costs and increases yields and product quality
Outputs	No. of farmers benefitting from escrow account	0	0	Up to 400 farmers (40% – 160 women, 50% - 200 youth) benefitted from escrow account
Outputs	No. of climate vulnerable smallholders supported to implement climate	0	0	Around 4,600 farmers (40% – 1,840 women, 50% 2,300 youth) will receive demand-

	adaptive training			driven equipment to help farms apply training in adapting to increased drought and flooding
Outputs	Ha with improved access to water	0	0. Not measureable at this point.	2,000 ha. of smallholder land has improved water access
Outputs	No. of beneficiaries benefitting from improved access to water	0	0. Not measureable at this point.	Around 400 smallholders (40% - 160 women, 50% 200 youth) benefit from water access
Outputs	A national CA survey conducted and White Paper produced	0	0. A service provider (SP2) will be hired in May 2023 to implement activities related to conservation agriculture. The first deliverable is related to the activity 1.1.2.5 on the establishment of a Conservation Agriculture National Inventory, informed by a nation-wide survey.	A survey is conducted and a White Paper produced making recommendations for the future of CA in Moldova
Outputs	At least one convention on CA is held	0	0. Not foreseen for the current reporting period.	At least one convention is held and consensus reached on a national strategy for CA in Moldova
Outputs	A curriculum on CA is designed and textbooks and journals translated	0	0. Activities related to the development of the curricula for Conservation Agriculture for University and College are expected to take place in Q3 and Q4, with the integration of the curricula in the educational programme by year end.	A higher-level educational curriculum is designed on CA including translating textbooks and research papers
Outputs	Scholarships supported	0	0. This is scheduled for the following reporting periods.	One male and one female scholarships supported
Outputs	A research institute is supported in CA	0	0. Delays have been accumulated with	Demo plots, equipment, soil

			regards to the Call for Applications for the selection of an agricultural research institute to conduct research on CA. The pre-selection of qualified research institutions has been finalized and the call will be launched soon.	laboratory provided Gender and smallholder-focused research is produced
--	--	--	---	---

Comments

Lessons Learned

Implementation and Adaptive Management		
Describe any changes undertaken to improve results on the ground or any changes made to project outputs (i.e. changes to project design)	Challenges & Opportunities	The maximum amount of the small grant for on-farm irrigation was increased from 2,500 to 7,500 USD in order to be competitive with the other opportunities on the market, which will finally impact either the final number of grant beneficiaries or the disbursed budget for this activity.
Have the environmental and social safeguard measures that were taken been effective in avoiding unwanted negative impacts?	Challenges & Opportunities	It is too early now to assess the effectiveness of environmental and social safeguard measures.
How have gender considerations been taken into consideration during the reporting period? What have been the lessons learned as a consequence of inclusion of such considerations on project performance or impacts? List lessons learned specific to gender, detailing measures and project/programme-specific indicators highlighting the role of women as key actors in climate change adaptation.	Opportunities	A TRTP Targeting and Gender strategy has been approved. The gender specialist is expected to be hired in the next reporting period.
Were there any delays in implementation? If so, include any causes of delays. What measures have been taken to reduce delays?	Challenges & Opportunities	The project records some delays in implementation. The delay is caused by the fact that the Adaptation Fund Senior Specialist left the role after three months and the selection of the irrigation engineer for infrastructure development took longer than expected. The other

		delays are related to the insufficient number of procurement staff that generally delayed all the processes. Measures to reduce the delays included hiring two procurement specialists and recruiting a new Senior AF Specialist.
What implementation issues/lessons, either positive or negative, affected progress?	Challenges & Opportunities	The project had a slow start due to a number of preparatory activities (i.e. staff recruitment, development of ToRs for the selection of service providers, procurement processes to be conducted for the identification, selection and contracting of service providers, launching of call for applications for off-farm climate resilient off-farm access to water infrastructure, on-farm water management and CA research grant beneficiaries.) Preparatory work is almost completed, with the recruitment of one key service provider.

Has the project already reached mid term or project completion?(yes/no).

No

Climate Resilience Measures	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing climate adaptation measures that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
What is the potential for the climate resilience measures undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Readiness Interventions (Applicable only to NIEs that received one or more readiness grants)	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in accessing and implementing climate finance readiness support that would be relevant to the preparation, design and implementation of future concrete adaptation projects/programmes?	
How have the outputs (such as manuals, guidelines, procedures or the experience from providing peer support, etc) from employing readiness grants been used to inform institutional capacity needs, gender	

issues, and environmental and social aspects in developing and implementing concrete projects/programmes for enhanced resilience to climate change?	
Concrete Adaptation Interventions	
What have been the lessons learned, both positive and negative, in implementing concrete adaptation interventions that would be relevant to the design and implementation of future projects/programmes implementing concrete adaptation interventions?	
What is the potential for the concrete adaptation interventions undertaken by the project/programme to be replicated and scaled up both within and outside the project area?	
Knowledge Management	
How has existing information/data/knowledge been used to inform project development and implementation? What kinds of information/data/knowledge were used?	
Has the existing information/data/knowledge been made available to relevant stakeholder? If so, what channels of dissemination have been used?	
Please list any knowledge products generated and include hyperlinks whenever possible (e.g. project videos, project stories, studies and technical reports, case studies, training manuals, handbooks, strategies and plans developed, etc.)	
If learning objectives have been established, have they been met? Please describe.	
Describe any difficulties there have been in accessing or retrieving existing information (data or knowledge) that is relevant to the project. Please provide suggestions for improving access to the relevant data.	
Has the identification of learning objectives contributed to the outcomes of the project? In what ways have they contributed?	
Innovation	
Describe any innovative practices or technologies that figured prominently in this project.	
Complementarity/ Coherence with other climate finance sources	
Has the project been scaled-up from any other climate finance? Or has the project build upon any other climate finance initiative?	
If you answered yes, kindly specify the name of the Fund/Organization.	

Results Tracker

Goal: Assist developing-country Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting the costs of concrete adaptation projects and programmes in order to implement climate-resilient measures.

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change.

Is this the mid-term or terminal project performance report? Not Applicable

Impact: Increased resiliency at the community, national, and regional levels to climate variability and change

Core Indicator: No. of beneficiaries

		Total	% of female beneficiaries	% of Youth beneficiaries
Baseline information	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Baseline information	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Baseline information	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)			
Target performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Target performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Target performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)			
Performance at mid-term	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at mid-term	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)			
Performance at completion	Direct beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Indirect beneficiaries supported by the project			
Performance at completion	Total (direct + indirect beneficiaries)			

Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate-related hazards and threats**Indicator 1: Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis**

	Number of targeted stakeholders - Total	Number of targeted stakeholders - % of female targeted	Hazards information generated and disseminated	Overall effectiveness
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.1 Risk and vulnerability assessments conducted and updated

Indicator 1.1: No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments

	No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments	Sector	Scale	Status
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 1.2 Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems

Core Indicator 1.2: No. of Early Warning Systems

	No. of adopted Early Warning Systems	Category targeted	Hazard	Geographical coverage	Number of municipalities
Baseline information					
Target performance at completion					
Performance at mid-term					
Performance at completion					

Outcome 2: Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-

induced socioeconomic and environmental losses

Indicator 2: Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events from targeted institutions increased

	Number of staff targeted - Total	Number of staff targeted - % of female targeted	Sector	Capacity level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of national and sub-national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events

Indicator 2.1.1: No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events

	Total staff trained	% of female staff trained	Type
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 2.1.2: No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks

	Type	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 2.2. Increased readiness and capacity of national and sub-national entities to directly access and program adaptation finance

Indicator 2.2.1: No. of targeted institutions benefitting from the direct access and enhanced direct access modality

	Number of beneficiaries	Scale	Sector	Capacity Level
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				

Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes

Indicator 3.1: Increase in application of appropriate adaptation responses

	Percentage of targeted population applying adaptation measures	Sector
Baseline information		
Target performance at completion		
Performance at mid-term		
Performance at completion		

Output 3.1: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities

Indicator 3.1.1: Percentage of targeted population awareness of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses

	No. of targeted beneficiaries	% of female participants targeted	Level of awareness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 3.2: Strengthened capacity of national and subnational stakeholders and entities to capture and disseminate knowledge and learning

Indicator 3.2.1: No. of technical committees/associations formed to ensure transfer of knowledge

	No. of technical committees/associations	% of women represented in committees/associations	Level of awareness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 3.2.2: No. of tools and guidelines developed (thematic, sectoral, institutional) and shared with relevant stakeholders

	No. of tools and guidelines	Type	Scale
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development sector services and infrastructure assets

Indicator 4.1: Increased responsiveness of development sector services to evolving needs from changing and variable climate

	Project/programme sector	Geographical scale	Response level
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Core Indicator 4.2: Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened

	Sector	Targeted asset	Changes in asset (quantitative or qualitative)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 4.1.1: Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 4.1.1: No. and type of development sector services to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change

	Number of services	Type	Sector
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and

variability-induced stress

Indicator 5: Ecosystem services and natural resource assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress

	Natural resource improvement level	Sector	Type
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 5: Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability

Core Indicator 5.1: Natural Assets protected or rehabilitated

	Natural asset or Ecosystem (type)	Total number of natural assets or ecosystems protected/rehabilitated	Unit	Effectiveness of protection/rehabilitation
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas

Indicator 6.1: Increase in households and communities having more secure access to livelihood assets

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	Improvement level
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Indicator 6.2: Increase in targeted population's sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods

	No. of targeted households	% of female headed households	% increase in income level vis-à-vis baseline	Alternate Source
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				

Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Output 6 Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability

Indicator 6.1.1: No. and type of adaptation assets created or strengthened in support of individual or community livelihood strategies

	Number of Assets	Type of Assets	Sector	Adaptation strategy
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Core Indicator 6.1.2: Increased income, or avoided decrease in income

	Number of households (total number in the project area)	Income source	Income level (USD)
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures

Indicator 7: Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy

	Integration level
Baseline information	
Target performance at completion	
Performance at mid-term	
Performance at completion	

Output 7: Improved integration of climate-resilience strategies into country development plans

Indicator 7.1: No. of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate change risks

	No. of Policies introduced or adjusted	Sector	Scale	Type
Baseline information				
Target performance				

at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 7.2: No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced

	No. of Development strategies	Regulation	Effectiveness
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Outcome 8: Support the development and diffusion of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies

Indicator 8: Innovative adaptation practices are rolled out, scaled up, encouraged and/or accelerated at regional, national and/or subnational level

	Sector of innovative practice	Geographic Scale	Type
Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			

Output 8: Viable innovations are rolled out, scaled up, encourages and/or accelerated

Indicator 8.1: No. of innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies accelerated, scaled-up and/or replicated

	No. of innovative practices/ tools technologies	Sector	Status	Effectiveness
Baseline information				
Target performance at completion				
Performance at mid-term				
Performance at completion				

Indicator 8.2: No. of key findings on effective, efficient adaptation practices, products and technologies generated

	No. of key findings generated	Type	Effectiveness
--	-------------------------------	------	---------------

Baseline information			
Target performance at completion			
Performance at mid-term			
Performance at completion			